

Index

- acculturation
 - human rights, and 406–7
 - State interests, and 59–60
- ad hoc tribunals
 - judicial appointments 209–10
 - State attitudes towards 209
- adherence *see also* compliance
 - public international law lobbying, and 34–5
 - model for 35–43
 - reciprocity, and 31–3
 - regional trade agreements 316, 319, 326
 - welfare influences 31
- Al-Khawaja and Tahery v. UK* (2009, ECtHR) 221–2
- Albert, Hans 12
- Alvarez, Jose 169, 173
- Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty 1972 186, 192
- anti-formalism 167
- arms races 185–6
- Attorney General v. Burgoa* (1980, EU) 121
- Australia – Apples* dispute (2010, WTO) 191

- behavioural economics 13–14, 101
- Behrami v. France* (2007, ECtHR) 294
- Belgium v. Senegal* (2012, ICJ) 218–19
- Betts, Alexander 417–18
- bilateralism *vs.* multilateralism 41
- Bonn Guidelines 2002 356–7
- Borjas, George 421
- Bosnian Genocide* case (2007, ICJ) 298–9
- Brazil
 - international investment agreements 348–50

- Broude, Tomer 435
- Brunnée, Jutta 176

- Canada
 - water treaties, benefit sharing 371–2
- Castles, Stephen 422, 424
- Cheyes, Adam 193
- China
 - jus cogens*, and 92–3
- climate change remediation 184–5
 - State responsibility and cooperation 302–3
- Cohen, Benjamin 18–20
- Cole, Daniel 302
- comparative law
 - constitutional texts 269
 - role of 268–70
- compliance
 - causal effects, and 176–7
 - constructivism, and 390–91
 - customary law norms, with 55–61, 402–4
 - constructivism, and 58–60
 - human rights obligations 60
 - precedent-setting 56–7
 - rational choice 55–9, 61
 - reputation, and 57–8
 - definitions 159–61, 402
 - dimensions of 402
 - enforcement mechanisms 161, 171–2
 - focus trends 176–7
 - human rights
 - challenges 407–8
 - constructivism, and 390–91
 - domestic influences 398–9
 - enforcement 231–2, 242
 - good faith principle of 240
 - interconnectedness 385
 - measurement of 404–5

- mechanisms for 384
- motives for 384–91, 407–8
- non-State influences on 391–3
- obligations 398–9
- political economy approach 395–407
- rational choice, and 387–8
- reputation, and 387
- supervisory body powers, and 231–2, 239–40
- theories of 385–91
- trends 231–2
- identification conflicts 166–8, 173–5
- institutional effects on 170–71, 387
- interdisciplinarity, and 176–7
- judicialization and internalization 165–6
- legalization 165–7
- motives 55, 63–4
- non-compliance
 - accepted levels of 403
 - efficient breach 71–2
 - implications 56–7
 - influences on 64, 161–4, 170–71
 - punishment mechanisms 56–7
- political economy, and 170
- prisoner's dilemma, and 183–4
 - analysis challenges 189–92
 - application challenges 188–9
 - enforcement mechanisms, with 194–6
 - enforcement mechanisms, without 192–4
 - failure of 196–9
 - reasons for 192–3, 196–201
 - reciprocity, and 32, 49–50, 66–7, 194–6
 - shock or crisis, and 199–200
- public international law lobbying, and 34–5
 - model 35–43
- purpose 160–61, 170, 403
- realism, and 387
- reasons for 64, 161–4, 170–71
- reciprocity, and 31–3, 55–8, 61
- regulatory tool, as 55–6
- reputation, and 57–8, 304
- retaliation, and 57–8
- self-interest 164
- social science influences on 176–7
- studies of 161–3, 167–8, 176–7
 - design 171–3
 - limitations 172–3
 - understanding, and 168–71, 173–4
- theories of 385–91
 - fairness model 389–90
 - legitimacy model 163–4, 167
 - liberal theory 165–6, 173, 388
 - managerial model 163
 - norm-based model 388–9
 - pluralist model 167–8
 - rational choice theory 35–43, 164, 385–8
 - transnational model 164–5, 389–90
- vs. cooperation 161
- vs. responsibility 176
- welfare influences 30–31
- WTO dispute settlement rules 161
- constructivism 20, 22
 - compliance, and 58–60, 390–91
 - transnational model 164–5
- contemporaneity, principle of 105–6
- Convention on Biological Diversity 1992 356
- Corfu Channel* case (1949, ICJ) 289
- custom *see* customary international law
- customary international law *see also jus cogens*
 - breach of
 - predictability and assessment 71–2
 - compliance with 55
 - rational choice 55–8
 - reciprocity, and 56–8, 61
 - self-interest, and 63–4
 - concept development 47, 62
 - evolutive interpretation 108–11
 - Soviet influences on 77–9
 - cooperation, limitations on 49
 - creation 64–6
 - bilateral vs. multilateral parameters 65–6, 69–70
 - ergo omnes* concept 69
 - identification, and 67–8
 - justification for conduct 67
 - opinio iuris* principle 68, 70

- prisoner's dilemma, as 65–7, 178–9, 184, 186–8, 195
 - rule codification 70
 - State role in 72–3
 - fundamental human rights 53, 60
 - game theory, and 48–9, 65–7
 - identification 67–8
 - judicial, constraints on 51–5
 - interpretation 70–72
 - priority variations 71–2
 - rationality, and 71
 - legal certainty, and 51–3
 - opting-out mechanisms 53
 - political economy
 - identification role 65–9, 73–4
 - interpretation role 70–72
 - principles 48–9, 62
 - social science, and 48
 - State responsibility and obligations 67–9, 72–3
 - vs. social conduct 67–8
 - customary law *see* customary international law
- Dauvergne, Catherine 424
- de Visscher, Charles 440–41
- decision-making, selectorate theory of 334–5
 - causal influences on 338–9
 - FDI policy influences 339–40
 - interest groups, and 336–7
 - political contributions 338–9
 - political influences on 335–7
- dependency theory 19
- developing countries
 - international investment agreements
 - adherence 329–30
 - Brazil, in 348–50
 - corporate role, domestic vs. foreign 343–4, 351–2
 - economic benefits 342–3
 - FDI inflows, and 341–3, 352–4
 - imitation of peers, and 345–6
 - influences on 340–43, 346–7
 - labour unions, and 343
 - motives 330–32
 - neo-colonialism, and 341–2
 - non-ratification, reasons for 348–50
 - non-unitary State perspective 353–5
 - predictions 347–8
 - public opinion 341–2
 - rational choice, and 344–5
 - South Africa, in 350–52
 - national court cooperation 267
 - prisoner's dilemma
 - Least-Developed Country BITs 186–7
 - Least-Developed Country FTAs 187–8- dispute settlement
 - diffusion of State responsibility, and 295
 - human rights 233, 236
 - international investment agreements 329
 - international mandates 207
 - WTO mechanism 175, 196–8
- Dunoff, Jeffrey 172, 176

EC – Hormones dispute (1998, WTO) 191, 200

economics

 - international political economy, and 17–21
 - social science, as 17–18

efficient breach hypothesis 118

emerging technology

 - informal law approach 137–8
 - nanotechnology regulation 139–42
 - state actor perceptions of 142–54

enforcement *see also* compliance; human rights

 - definition 241–2
 - purpose 242

ergo omnes concept 69

European Court of Human Rights

 - enforcement powers 245

European Union

 - migration restrictions 430
 - Russia South Stream dispute 115–16
 - TEFU, compatibility with other treaties 120–24

- fair and equitable, interpretation 356–7
 fairness model of compliance 389–90
 Finnemore, Martha 172
 Fitzmaurice, Gerald 105–6
 folk theorem 118
 Franck, Thomas 163–4, 389
 free-riding 50–51, 418
 Friedman, Milton 427
 Frisch, Max 413
- Gabcíkovo-Nagymaros Project* (1997, ICJ) 381–2
- game theory 25–6, 118–19
 - blame games strategies 300–301
 - customary international law 48–50
 - limitations 48
 - multiple equilibria, influences of 49–50
 - public-good games 50–51
- General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade Article XXIV 321–8
- Germany v. Italy* (2012, ICJ) 86–91
- global public goods theory 417–18
- globalization
 - human rights, and 405–6
 - international law interpretation, and 2, 259–60
 - migration, and 419, 421, 424–7, 432–3
 - national court role 276–7
- Goldsmith, Jack 48–50, 67
- Goodman, Ryan 59
- Gourevitch, Peter 25
- greenhouse gas emissions 185
- Grey, David 360–62
- Grossman-Helpman political support model 26–31
- Guzman, Andrew 164, 167
- Habré v Senegal* (2010, ECW) 218
- Hatton, Timothy 417
- hearsay 220–22, 228
- hegemonic stability theory 19
- Helsinki Rules on the Use of Waters of International Rivers 1966 362–3, 365–7
- Henkin, Louis 232, 401–2
- human rights
 - attitudes to, changing 399–401, 405–6
 - community norms, as 243
 - compliance
 - challenges 407–8
 - constructivism, and 390–91
 - domestic influences 398–9
 - enforcement 231–2, 242
 - good faith principle of 240
 - interconnectedness 385
 - measurement 404–5
 - mechanisms 384
 - motives for 384–91, 407–8
 - non-State influences 391–3
 - obligations 398–9
 - political economy approach 395–407
 - rational choice, and 387–8
 - reputation, and 387
 - supervisory body powers, and 231–2, 239–40
 - theories of 385–91
 - trends 231–2
 - criminal responsibility, and 401
 - customary international law, and 53, 60
 - discrimination 237
 - dispute settlement 233, 236
 - enforced disappearance 238–9
 - enforcement
 - compliance with 231–2
 - costs 242, 247–52
 - countermeasures 244
 - definition 241–2
 - domain characteristics, and 250–52
 - humanitarian justifications 251–2
 - issue specificity, and 252–4
 - legal status influences on 241–6, 255–6
 - methods 242–6
 - powers 244–5
 - pronouncements 246–56
 - purpose 242
 - rational choice, and 247–50
 - reciprocity, and 250–51, 387, 396–7, 442

- responsibilities and obligations 241–4
- soft law 255–6
- trends 246–7, 252
- globalization, and 405–6
- hearsay, and 220–22, 228
- Helsinki Declaration 79–80
- institutions, diversity of 397–8
- interest groups, role of 110–11
- international protection regime 230–31
- jus cogens*, and 79–81, 86–92, 400
- migration law, and 411–12, 418, 434–5
- monitoring bodies 434
- prisoner's dilemma, and 192–3
- prisoners' right to vote 253–4
- pronouncements
 - binding vs. non-binding 242–3, 255–6
 - concluding observations 236–7
 - dispute settlement rulings 233, 236
 - enforcement 246–56
 - general comments 238
 - inquiries and urgent actions 238–9
 - interim measures 236, 240–41
 - legal status 239–41
- rape 237
- right to fair trial 253–4
- soft law, and 400–401
- sovereign immunity, and 90–91, 214–15
- State controls over 86–92, 256–7, 393–4
- State interests, as 393–4
- State obligations 236–41, 243–4, 398–9
- supervisory bodies 230–31
 - legal status 239–41, 255–6
 - output trends 234–5
 - powers 231–2, 245–6
 - pronouncements 233–9
- torture 237–8
- treaties
 - complexity of 395–6, 406–7
 - regulation of 395–6
 - research limitations 404–7
 - types of 396–7
- Hussein v. Albania* (2006, ECtHR) 294, 296
- immigration *see* migration; migration law
- individualism 23–4, 27–8
- institutionalism
 - human rights compliance, and 387–8
- interest groups
 - decision-making, role in 336–7
 - evolutive interpretation, role in 110–11
- international constitutionalism 16
- international cooperation
 - conditional cooperation 56–7
 - forms of 118
 - limitations 49–50
 - national courts, inter-judicial cooperation 264–77
 - organizational structures for 22–3
 - political economy, and 117
 - prisoner's dilemma, and 266–7
 - public-good games 50–51
 - public international law lobbying model 35–43
 - reciprocity vs. retaliation 31–3, 49–50, 56–7, 61
 - soft law, and 118
 - transboundary water systems 360–61
 - trends 137
- International Court of Justice
 - consular notifications and post-conviction remedies 219–20, 227–8
 - customary international law, identification 51, 54–5, 68, 214
 - international crimes, retroactivity 218–19
 - jurisdiction 205–6
 - jus cogens* 86–91
 - limitations on 228
 - sovereign immunity interpretation 86–91, 214–15, 224–5
 - treaty interpretation 99–100, 102–4
- international courts and tribunals
 - ad hoc tribunals 209–10

- consular notifications and
 - post-conviction remedies 219–20, 227–8
- human rights, and 220–22, 228
- international criminal law
 - hearsay, and 220–22, 228
 - imputed responsibility for crimes 222–3, 228–9
 - retroactivity, and 217–19
- investment tribunals 215–17
- judiciary
 - appointment 208–10
 - retention 210–11
- jurisdiction 205–8
 - dispute settlement mandates 207
 - influences on 213
 - limitations 205–7
 - standing rules 206
 - withdrawal from 206–7, 225
- purpose 204, 213–14
- regional tribunals 213
- sovereign immunity rulings 214–15
- special purpose tribunals 213–14
- State interests, responsiveness to 212–13
- State relationships with 204–11
- taxation as appropriation 215–17, 225–6
- international criminal law 217–19
 - extraterritoriality, and 217–18
 - human rights, and 401
 - hearsay 218, 220–22
 - imputed responsibility for crimes 222–3, 228–9
 - principle of legality 217
 - retroactivity, and 217–19, 227
- International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia 222–3, 228–9
- International Labor Organization Treaty 193–4
- international law *see also* customary
 - international law; public international law
 - adherence, welfare influences on 31
 - comparative analysis, and 268–70
 - compliance
 - influences on 30–31, 63–4
 - motives 67
 - welfare, and 30–31
 - domestic causes of 24–6
 - evolution of 91–3
 - identification of 64–5
 - informal mechanisms 128–31
 - negative reasons for 131–5
 - positive reasons for 135–8
 - interest groups, influence of 110–11
 - legal scholarship, role of 440–41
 - normative conflict 111–19
 - AB/AC conflict model 113–19
 - judicial mechanisms 112–13
 - presumption against conflict 112–13
 - political economy, and 82–3
 - political support model 26–31
 - prisoner's dilemma, and
 - arms races 185–6
 - climate change remediation 184–5
 - compliance with customary law 183–4
 - creation by 65–7, 178–9, 184, 186–8, 195
 - economic protectionism, and 181–4
 - purpose 67–8
 - sanctions role in 67–8
 - soft law role in 132–7, 154–5
 - State roles 72–3
 - systematization 441
 - trade protectionism, and 181–4
 - two-level game, as a 20–26, 111, 205, 260–61
- international organizations
 - characteristics 127–8
 - diffusion of State responsibility, and 286–8, 291, 299
 - governance/ law-making role 127–8
 - advantages 145, 150–54
 - decision-making process 142–4, 146–8
 - emerging technology 137–8
 - expertise 144–5, 148–50
 - political economy approaches 130–31
 - studies, generally 128–9
 - migration law policy design role 434
- international political economy

- analysis, limitations 20–21, 95–6
- diversity of approaches, influences on 18–20
- economic approach 17–18
- evolutive interpretation of law 108–11
- interest groups, role of 110–11
- political decision-making theory 20–21
- role of 13, 95–6
- study trends 95–6
- variations in 17–21
- international relations
 - acculturation influences on 59–60
 - compliance role in 160
 - game theory, and 25
 - individualism 23–4
 - judicial welfare function 102–4
 - liberal theory 23–4
 - public international law lobbying model 35–43
 - social psychology, and 59–60
 - social science approach 10
 - study trends 96–7
- investment agreements
 - definition 329
 - developing countries, by
 - adherence 329–30
 - Brazil, in 348–50
 - corporate role, domestic vs. foreign 343–4, 351–2
 - economic benefits 342–3
 - FDI inflows, and 341–3, 352–4
 - imitation of peers 345–6
 - influences on 340–43, 346–7
 - labour unions, and 343
 - motives 330–32
 - neo-colonialism, and 341–2
 - non-ratification, reasons for 348–50
 - non-unitary State perspective 353–5
 - predictions 347–8
 - public opinion 341–2
 - rational choice, and 344–5
 - South Africa, in 350–52
- dispute settlement 329
- features of 329
- motives 329–31
- selectorate theory of decision-making 331–2, 334–5
 - causal mechanisms 338–9
 - FDI policy influences 339–40
 - interest groups, and 336–7
 - political contributions 338–9
 - political influences on 335–7
- Iraqi State v. Corporation Dumez GTM* (2006, France) 272
- ISO Technical Committee on Nanotechnology 139–42
- Italy
 - right to fair trial 253–4
- Jenks, Wilfred 112
- Jinks, Derek 59
- Jongryn Mo 26
- judicial law-making 202
 - globalization, and 2, 259–60
- international courts and tribunals, by
 - consular notifications and post-conviction remedies 219–20, 227–8
 - human rights, and hearsay 220–22, 228
 - international crimes, imputed responsibility for 222–3, 228–9
 - international crimes, retroactivity 217–19, 227
 - model for 203, 229
 - sovereign immunity 90–91, 214–15, 224–5
 - taxation as appropriation 215–17, 225–6
- national courts, by
 - benefits of 270–77
 - comparative law role 268–70
 - domestic democratic processes, and 262–4
 - higher courts, role of 266–7
 - inter-judicial cooperation 264–77
 - international law implications 262–4
 - international law obligations 258
 - limitations 260, 263
 - motives 259–67

- trends 259
- judiciary
 - evolutive interpretation 109–10
 - international courts and tribunals, in
 - appointment 209–10
 - retention 210–11
 - judicial welfare function 102–4, 109–10
 - political economy studies of 109–10
 - role of, legal model interpretations 101–2
 - treaty interpretation 102–3
- jus cogens*
 - functions 77–8, 81, 92–4
 - historical development 76–82
 - human rights law 79–81
 - Soviet theory 77–9
 - treaty law 78–9
 - US influences on 80
 - international law, and
 - continuing evolution 90–94
 - ICJ interpretation 86–91
 - litigation, role in 84–5
 - place in 77, 81, 84
 - public choice theory, and 84–6
 - stakeholder interactions 84–5
 - State sovereignty, and 78–81, 86–94
 - peaceful coexistence theory 78–9, 85–6
 - political economy, and 75–6, 92–4
 - principles of 75, 77, 81, 84
- Kadi* case (2008, EU) 121, 271–4
- Kansas v. Colorado* (1907, US) 364–5
- Kantorowicz, Hermann 11–14, 21
- Kelsen, Hans 111
- Kennedy, David 174–5
- Keohane, Robert 31
- Kingsbury, Benedict 160–61, 400–401, 403–4
- Koh, Harold 164–5, 389–90
- kompetenz-kompetenz* principle 205
- Koser, Khalid 421
- Koskenniemi, Martti 175–6
- Kyoto Protocol 185
- Lance Armstrong v. Tygart* (2012, US) 275–6
- law, generally *see also* judicial
 - law-making; soft law
 - concept interpretations 167
 - evolutionary nature 108–9
 - intention, and 100–102
 - law-making methods 133–5
 - law vs. non-law 132–3
 - vs. economics 174
 - wealth maximization, and 175
- legal norms
 - comparative analysis 268–70
 - compliance, and 402–4
 - conflict 111–19
 - AB/AC conflict model 113–19
 - judicial anti-conflict mechanisms 112–13
 - lex prior vs. lex posterior derogat priori* 113–14
 - presumption against 112–13
 - executive authority, and 134–5
 - moralization, and 284–6
 - pyramid of 111
 - soft law, and 132–7
- legal positivism 15–16
- legalization, concept of 132–3
- liberal theory of international relations
 - 23–4
 - compliance, and 389
- lobbying
 - political support models 27–31
 - public international law lobbying 33–5
- Malloy, Robin 173–4
- managerial model of compliance 389–90
- many hands problem 279–81, 289–94
- Marovcsik, Andrew 23
- Martin, Lisa 170–71, 176–7, 402
- Mayda, Anna 417
- Merle, Marcel 440–41
- Meron, Theodore 53
- migration
 - anti-immigrant sentiment 424–5
 - burden shifts 429, 431
 - illegal migration 424–5, 427–9

- reasons for 409–10, 413–16
 - system theories 419–22
- temporary vs. permanent 427
- trends 409, 424–7
- voluntary vs. forced 409–10, 418, 426–7
- migration law
 - asymmetry in 410
 - costs and benefits identification 413–14
 - free riding, and 418
 - globalization impacts 419, 421, 424–7, 432–3
 - human rights, and 411–12, 418, 434–5
 - illegal migration
 - policy approaches 427–8
 - trends 424–5, 429
 - migrant workers
 - agreement dualism 418
 - protections 412–13
 - regulation, influences on 423–5
 - skilled vs. unskilled workers 418, 421–7, 430–31
 - temporary vs. permanent migration 427
 - trade liberalization, and 416–17, 424
 - non-discrimination principle 411–12
 - policy design
 - economic crisis, and 431–2
 - influences on 423–5, 428–9, 431–5
 - international organizations role 434
 - non-arrival strategies 429–30
 - non-economic influences 433–5
 - political economy approaches 413–17, 425–6, 432–3
 - trends 428–34
 - public welfare, and 414
 - conflicts 427, 432–3
 - refoulement* prohibition 410–11
 - refugee protections 418, 426
 - soft law role 411
 - stakeholder interests 413–14
 - State prerogatives 410–11
 - State self-interest, and 423–5
 - theories
 - economic dualism 420–22
 - global public goods 417–18
 - immigration market theory 420–21
 - individualism 415
 - labour market segmentation theory 420–22
 - limitations 421–2
 - migrant transnationalism theory 419
 - rational choice 416, 419–20
 - structural theories 415, 419–21
 - world system theory 419–20
 - trade agreement influences on 422
 - trade in services agreement 412–13
 - voluntary migration protections 410, 418
- Milner, Helen 19
- Minors Oposa* case (1994, Philippines) 268
- Mitrany, David 22–3
- Moavcsik, Andrew 388
- moral behaviour
 - common and shared responsibilities 288–9
 - judicial welfare function, and 109–10
 - moralization trends 284–6
 - political economy, and 82–3
- Morelli, Gaetano 67
- multilateral environmental agreements
 - benefit sharing 356–8
- multilateralism
 - cooperation limitations 49–50
 - creation of customary international law, and 65–6, 69–70
 - vs. bilateralism 41
- nanotechnologies
 - informal law
 - approach 137–8
 - state actor perceptions 142–56
 - ISO Technical Committee on 139–42
 - national courts, generally *see also*
 - judicial law-making
 - international law, and
 - comparative law role 268–70
 - constraint variations 211–12
 - developing countries, and 267

- domestic democratic process
 - relationships 260–64
- evolution, influences on 262–3
- global private regulation, and 276–7
- higher courts role 266–7
- inter-judicial cooperation 264–77
- judicial law-making, benefits of 270–77
- two-level game, as a 20, 260–61
- purpose 204
- NATO Treaty 78
- Navigable Waterways Convention 1921 370
- neo-institutionalism
 - treaties, interpretation 101–2
- neo-liberalism
 - wealth maximization 175
- Nicaragua v. US* (1986, ICJ) 55, 67, 207–8, 222
- non-compliance *see* compliance
- non-government organizations *see* international organizations
- Norman, George 32, 49–50
- nuclear weapons
 - prisoner's dilemma 185–6, 192
- Ohlin, Jens 193
- Open Economy Politics 19–20
- Open Skies* cases 122–3
- opinio iuris* principle 52–3, 55, 68, 70
- Piore, Michael 420–21
- pluralism 167–8
- political economy, generally *see also* international political economy
 - benefits of 1–2, 154
 - definition 1, 82
 - economic approach 17–18
 - historical background 17
 - interest groups, role of 110–11
 - international law, and
 - creation and identification 65–9, 73–4
 - differences in approach 442–3
 - evolutive interpretation 108–11
 - integration into 11–16
 - interdisciplinary research needs 445
 - interpretation 70–72, 440
 - paradoxes 440–42
 - rational conduct 67–9
 - rule codification 70
 - synergies and complementarity 444–5
- interstate cooperation theories, and 117
- limitations 82, 174
- methods 74, 96–7
- role of 10, 13, 16, 63–4, 70, 82–3, 95–6
- political support model 26–31
- political welfare 22, 30–31
- Pollack, Mark 172, 176
- positivism 167
- Posner, Eric 48–50, 67, 101, 175, 195, 198, 209
- prisoner's dilemma 32, 65
 - arms races 185–6, 192
- climate change remediation 184–5
- compliance with customary and international law 183–4
 - analysis challenges 189–92
 - application challenges 188–9
 - enforcement mechanisms, with 194–6
 - enforcement mechanisms, without 192–4
 - failure of 196–9
 - non-compliance 196–9
 - reasons for 192–3, 196–201
 - reciprocity, and 32, 49–50, 66–7, 194–6
 - shock or crisis, and 199–200
- coordination and cooperation 266–7
- creation of customary and international law 65–7, 178–9, 184, 186–8, 195
- difficulties with 66–7
- economic protectionism, and 181–4
- human rights, and 192–3
- Least-Developed Country BITs 186–7
- Least-Developed Country FTAs 187–8

- limitations 189
- political economy, and 200–201
- principles 179–80, 359
- public-good games 50–51
- rational choice, and 200
- reciprocity, and 32, 49–50, 66–7, 194–6
- regional trade agreements, as 320
- repeated games 189–90, 200
- State interests, and 32, 49–50, 65
- WTO, and 186–7, 190–91, 194, 196–9
- Prosecutor v. Tadic* (2000, ICTY) 298
- Protection of the Rights of Migrant Workers Convention 1990 412
- protectionism
 - political support model 27–9
 - prisoner's dilemma, and 181–4
- public choice theory 21–2, 83
 - international law application 83
 - jus cogens*, and 84–6
 - non-market decision-making, and 175
 - public international law lobbying 34–5
- public goods
 - compliance with customary norms 59–60
 - migration, and 417–18
 - State responsibility, and 305
- public international law
 - causes and effects 13–14, 21–3
 - compliance role in 160
 - doctrinal perspective 12–14
 - interpretation variations 15–16
 - law application perspective 14–16
 - lobbying 33–5
 - normative perspective 12–13
 - object and purpose 14–16
 - political economy integration into 11–16
 - positive perspective 12–13
 - sociological perspective 12–13, 15–16
 - State interests, and 21–3
 - trends 9
- public international law lobbying 33
 - compliance and adherence, and 35–6
 - model 35–43
 - purpose 34–5
- public welfare 22, 30–31
 - migration law, and 414, 427, 432–3
 - public-good games 50–51
- Pulkowski, Dirk 160, 169, 171
- Putnam, Robert 25–6
- R v. Secretary of State for Defence* (2007, UK) 272
- rational choice *see also* prisoner's dilemma
 - customary international law compliance, and 55–9, 61
 - interpretation 71
 - limitations 42–3
 - models of compliance and adherence 35–43
- human rights enforcement, and 247–50
- international investment agreements
 - developing countries, by 344–5
- theory 13, 19–20, 169
 - compliance, and 387–8
 - limitations 175
 - migration, and 416, 419–20
 - treaty interpretation, and 100–101, 103
- Ravenhill, John 318–19
- realism
 - human rights compliance, and 387
- reciprocity 31–3, 63
 - customary International law compliance, and 56–8, 61
 - prisoner's dilemma 32, 49–50, 66–7, 194–6
 - human rights enforcement, and 250–51, 387, 396–7, 442
 - limitations 32, 49–50
 - public international law lobbying model 35–43
 - specific *vs.* diffuse 56
- Regina v. Horncastle* (2009, UK) 221
- regional trade agreements
 - adherence 316, 319, 326–8
 - definition 312–13
 - economic benefits 317
 - features 313–14, 327

- hubs and spokes 314–15
- international law
 - conflicts between 324–5
 - coordination mechanism 321–8
 - customary regional exception 325–6
 - margin of appreciation 325–6, 328
 - place in 321, 326–7
 - transparency mechanisms 323
 - labour migration, and 422
- motives 316–20
- political economy, and
 - conflicts between 311, 324
 - interpretation 312–13
 - purpose of RTAs 316–20, 324
- prisoner's dilemma, as 320
- trade creation *vs.* trade diversion 317
- trade liberalization, and 315–16
- trends 311–14
- WTO trade agreement regime, and 321–8
- reputation 57–8, 304, 387–8
- retaliation *vs.* reciprocity 32–3, 61
- Risse, Thomas 60
- Rosenau, James 422
- Russia
 - EU South Stream dispute 115–16
 - Soviet *jus cogens* theory 77–9
- Sadoff, Claudia 360–62
- Salt, John 421
- Sari v. Turkey and Denmark* (2001, ECtHR) 292
- self-interest, influences of 63–4, 164, 242
- Sengal Water Charter 2002 372–3
- Serbia and Montenegro v. Belgium* (2004, ECJ) 296
- Sikkink, Kathryn 172
- Smith, Adam 409
- social psychology
 - compliance with customary norms 59–60
- social sciences
 - customary international law, and 48
 - international constitutionalism, and 16
 - international law design, and 16
 - international relations, in 10
 - perspectives of law 12–16
 - treaty interpretation, and 14–15
- soft law
 - distributive approach 136
 - emerging technology 137–8
 - human rights, and 255–6, 400–401
 - international law-making, and 128–31, 135
 - interpretation 15–16, 123–34
 - interstate cooperation, forms of 118
 - legalization 132, 136–7
 - migration law, in 411
 - nanotechnology regulation 139–42
 - reasons for
 - negative 131–5
 - positive 135–8
 - state actor perceptions 142–54
 - trends 154–5
- South Africa
 - international investment agreements 350–52
 - Lesotho Highlands Project 372, 380
- sovereign immunity
 - human rights, and 90–91, 214–15
 - jus cogens*, and 90–91
- Stark, Oded 416
- State interests
 - acculturation, and 59–60
 - conduct, influences on 59–60
 - cooperation, and
 - attitudes towards 31–3
 - free-riding 50–51
 - organizational structures for 22–3
 - customary international law
 - change of attitudes or conduct 72–3
 - conflicts with 67
 - dualism, and 72–3
 - human rights as 393–4
 - international relations, and
 - adherence 31–3
 - causal influences 24–6
 - compliance 30–33
 - domestic bargaining 26
 - game theory 25–6
 - liberal theory 23–4
 - political support models 26–31

- public international law lobbying
 - 33–43
- reciprocity *vs.* retaliation 31–3, 61, 63
- jus cogens*, and 86–93
- non-compliance, and 64
- prisoner's dilemma, and 32, 49–50, 65
- public *vs.* political welfare models
 - 21–2
- self-interest influences 63–4
- State responsibility, and 298
- State responsibility
 - diffusion 307
 - benefits 302–3
 - blame game strategies 300–301
 - bystander effect, and 292–3
 - common and shared
 - responsibilities 280–81, 287–9
 - concerted action, and 279–80, 299
 - cooperation obligations, and 282–4
 - cooperation, willingness 303–4
 - costs 303–7
 - definition 278–9, 301–2
 - delegation 300
 - dual attribution 293–4
 - examples 280–81
 - identifying responsibility 290–94, 296–7
 - incentives 304–5
 - independent responsibility
 - principle 290, 292–4
 - influences on 282–7, 296–7
 - informational influences 296–7
 - institutional limitations 294–6
 - interdependence, and 282–4
 - international organizations, and 286–8, 291, 299
 - justice for victims, and 306–7
 - many hands problem 279–81, 289–94
 - moral dimensions 284–6, 288
 - multiplicity of actors, and 286–7, 299
 - normative challenges 289–94
 - obligations, assignment of 291–2, 305
 - orchestration 300
 - performance obligations, and 304–5
 - political influences on 297–9
 - private actors, and 286–7
 - private costs 306–7
 - public goods, and 305
 - reparation and restitution 304–5
 - reputation of international law, and 304
 - responsibility gaps 289–97, 302–7
 - soft powers 298–9
 - State interests, and 298
 - strategies 299–301
 - international law principles 67–9
 - Stockholm Declaration 1972 288
 - Swaine, Edward 49
 - Sykes, Alan 195, 198
 - tariffs, and protectionism 181–4
 - Thompson, Dennis 279–80, 289–94
 - TMPH (many hands problem) 279–81, 289–94
 - Toope, Stephen 176
 - trade agreements *see also* international investment agreements; regional trade agreements; World Trade Organization
 - lobbying 27–31, 34–5
 - prisoner's dilemma 186–7, 320
 - trade creation *vs.* trade diversion 317
 - welfare effects 28–9
 - WTO trade agreement coordination regime 321–4
 - trade liberalization
 - dual policy paradox 417
 - migrant workers, and 416–17, 424
 - reciprocity, and 33
 - regional trade agreements, and 315–16
 - transnational model of compliance 164–5, 389–90
 - treaties
 - boundary treaties 103
 - definition 98–9
 - interpretation 14–15, 62, 99–100, 102–3
 - approaches 107–8

- conflicts 113–18
- context-dependence 103–4
- evolutive interpretation 105–11
- existence of, disputes over 99–100, 102–4
- generic terms 108
- intention, and 100–102, 105–7
- lex prior vs. lex posterior derogat priori* 113–14
- non-State determination 102–3
- political economy role in 104–5, 108–11, 125–6
- principle of contemporaneity 105–6
- rights and obligations 121
- TEFU compatibility with other treaties 120–24
- jus cogens*, and 78–9
- nature 96, 106
- object and purpose 14, 65, 107
- political economy, and
 - interpretation role 104–5, 108–11, 125–6
 - study trends 96, 98–9
 - termination of treaties 119, 124
- public international law, and 95
- rational choice theory, and 100–101, 103
- termination
 - compatibility of treaties 120–24
 - political economy, and 119
- Turkey
 - human rights obligations 254
- unitary State perspective 2
- United Kingdom
 - prisoners' right to vote 253–4
- United States
 - Columbia River Treaty 1961 371–2
 - International labor Organization Treaty 193–4
 - political campaign contributions 338
 - water treaties, benefit sharing 371–2
 - WTO agreement compliance 190
 - US – Byrd Amendment* dispute (2004, WTO) 197–8
 - US – Cotton Subsidies* dispute (2009, WTO) 197–8
 - US – FSC/US – 1916 Act* disputes (2002, WTO) 197–8
 - US – Gambling* dispute (2007, WTO) 198
 - US v. Iran* (1980, ICJ) 207–8
 - van Gend en Loos* case (1963, ECJ) 275–6
 - Viner, Jacob 317
 - Voeten, Eric 212–13
 - von Stein, Jana 172
 - von Verdross, Alfred 77–8
 - Waite and Kennedy v. Germany* (1999, ECtHR) 274–5
 - water law
 - benefit sharing
 - basin management agreements 375–6
 - basket of benefits concept 361, 369–70, 377, 382–3
 - beneficial use, interpretation 366–7
 - benefits, interpretation 376
 - benefits model 360–62
 - concept development 356–8, 364–5
 - ecosystem and community protection agreements 372–4
 - environmental impacts 381–2
 - equitable and reasonable use principle 357–8, 362–7, 373–4, 376, 382–3
 - equitable apportionment 364–5
 - equity criteria 367–9
 - flexibility, and 376
 - infrastructure agreements 369–72
 - institutional roles 378–9
 - international law, place in 362–9
 - issue linkages, and 377–8
 - limitations 375–82
 - navigation agreements 369–70
 - non-party State entitlements 380–81
 - non-State actor interests, and 379–80, 382
 - opportunities, assessment of 368–9
 - political economy, and 357–8, 366–7, 383

- population impacts 382
- principles 358
- regional agreements 375–6
- time challenges 376
- water allocation agreements 369
- water treaty approaches 369
- Columbia River Treaty 1961 371–2
- Helsinki Rules 1966 362–3, 365–7
- Lake Tanganyika Water Management Convention 2003 374
- Lesotho Highlands Project 372, 380
- Navigable Waterways Convention 1921 370
- Sengal Water Charter 2002 372–3
- transboundary water systems
 - benefits 359–62
 - coordination and cooperation 360–61
 - interdependence 358–60
 - State obligations 362–3, 366
 - TWO analysis 368–9
- Watercourses Convention 1997 363, 365–7, 376, 379, 382–3
- Watercourses Convention 1997 363, 365–7, 376, 379, 382–3
- wealth maximization 175
- Weber, Max 12
- welfare 21–2
 - adherence, and 31
 - compliance, and 30–31
 - judicial welfare function 102–4, 109–10
 - lobbying, and 26–7
 - maximisation 181–4
 - public-good games 50–51
 - public welfare
 - migration law, and 414, 427, 432–3
 - vs. political welfare 22
 - regional trade agreements, and 317
 - total welfare 27–8
- welfarism 17
- Williamson, Jeffrey 417
- Wolfe, Robert 168, 175
- World Trade Organization
 - compliance challenges 190–91
 - dispute settlement mechanism 175, 196–8
 - GATS and movement of persons 412–13
 - GATT Article XXIV 321–8
 - prisoner's dilemma
 - compliance with customary and international law 190–91, 194, 196–9
 - creation of customary and international law 186–7
 - regional trade agreements, relationship with 321–8
- Yoo, John 209
- Yukos v. Russian Federation* (214, PCA) 215–17
- Zolberg, Aristide 421