# Index

AARP 3–4  
caregivers for persons with dementia 82–3  
Public Policy Institute 43  
Abendroth et al. 134  
ambsenteeism 19, 23, 25, 26, 28, 37, 137, 159, 161, 163, 166  
accelerated cellular aging 209–10  
accommodations, workforce 25, 46, 49, 52, 53, 55, 56, 58  
ADLs (activities of daily living) 40, 44, 47, 48, 55, 55–6, 82, 86, 91  
adult day services centres 84, 85  
Affordable Care Act (ACA) 201  
African Americans  
attitude to caregiving 196  
elderly, profile of 197–200  
double jeopardy 197  
education 199  
health 199  
living arrangements 198  
poverty rate 197–8  
social and economic disadvantages 197  
wage disparities 199  
future health prospects 211–12  
health of infants 201  
mortality rates 200  
infant 200  
neonatal 200–201  
quality of health care 202  
racial residential segregation 203–4, 205–8, 208–9  
social determinants of health 209–11  
job opportunities for graduates 209  
premature aging 209–10  
preterm births and lower birth weights 210  
single mother households 210–11  
socioeconomic disadvantages 200  
socioeconomic status and health 204–9  
comparisons with white families 208  
education 206–7  
environmental quality 205  
icarceration 208  
neighborhoods 204–5  
youth unemployment and criminality 207–8  
Age and Generations Study (2009) 160  
aged care work see MAWAC study  
Aging, Demographics and Memory Study (ADAMS, 2010) 82  
aging parents  

discussions with 33  
helping their children 12–13  
Alzheimer’s Association 15, 81, 92  
Alzheimer’s disease  
benchmark initiatives 15  
concerns about 14  
demographics of 81  
different effects on male/female caregivers 14  
financial cost of 88  
milestone objectives 15  
ambivalence approach 66–7  
American Association of Retired Persons see AARP  
American College of Physicians 212  
Arcaya et al. 211  
assisted living 83, 84  
associational solidarity 65  
Austen et al. 218  
Austen, S. and Ong, R. 26  
Australia 113  
mature age women in aged care see MAWAC survey  
autoethnography 262  
autonomy 248–9  
Aycan, Z. and Eskin, M. 132
baby boomers 9, 99, 152, 153–4
divorce rates 154–5
Backett-Milburn et al. 22
Baines, D. and Armstrong, P. 30
Barbosa, F. and Matos, A.D. 8
Barnett et al. 26
beanpole family structure 154
Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance
System (BRFSS, 2010) survey 82
Behson, S.J. 133
Best Buy 185–6
births, first 153
Blusi et al. 27
boosting hypothesis 107
breadwinner–homemaker gender role
181
broaden-and-build theory 103
Buffardi et al. 24
burden 54
of care 44, 46, 48–50
caregiver 43–4
economic/financial 13
on home care aides 85–6
index 44
subjective 44
burnout
couple 7, 159
job 7, 159
multiple roles and 159
businesses, impact of caregiving on
28–9
Calvano, L. 25
Canada 27–8
aging population 63
caregiving responsibilities and costs
28
Ontario 29
see also intergenerational relations
care recipients 9, 10, 14, 18, 22, 24, 55, 56
care work 244–5
unpaid 245
caregivers
benefits to 21–2
challenges faced by 40–42
dependency ratios 41, 42, 59
health and social outcomes 9
meta-analysis of 16–17
sandwiched caregiving study 42–3
data 42–3
descriptive analysis results 46–50
measures 43–4
multivariate regression results
50–56
procedures 44–6
social class and 158
strategies for 30–31
stress and health problems 5
support for 23–4
see also employees; female
caregivers; formal caregivers/
caregiving; informal caregivers/
caregiving; male caregivers;
multigenerational caregivers/
caregiving
caregiving
balance with work 18–19
broadened concept of 156
complexities in relationships of 8–9
definition 243–4
emotional challenges of 265–6
informal 4, 9, 16, 20, 81–3
overview 15–16
planning for future in-home care 59
positive and negative outcomes 16
practical challenges of 263–4
professional challenges of 266–7
stages and transitions 10
tasks of 4
see also informal family caregiving
Caregiving in the U.S. 2015 survey 55, 56
Carers UK 3
Caro, F.G. 6
Casper et al. 116, 131, 134
Centers for Disease Control (2013)
84
certified nursing assistants (CNAs) 85, 93–4
Chappell, N. and Funk, L. 72
Chast, R. 265
Chen et al. 23–4
child poverty 247
childcare
dependable and safe 166
organizational support and 131–6
in Sweden 251–3, 256
China, one-child policy 8
Chisholm, I. 3
Index

Clark et al. 135
core-sidence 68–9
core-sidential care 8
communities, impact of caregiving 28, 29
community care workers (CCWs) 223, 224, 225, 226, 229–30
Congressional Budget Office 40–41
conservation of resources (COR) theory 100, 106, 107, 111, 120
fundamental proposition 102–3
substitution hypothesis 101
contemporary lifespan developmental theories 142
Couch et al. 14
country differences 7
country policies and programs 8
couple burnout 7, 159
Cravey, T. and Mitra, A. 10–11
cries 11
culture(s)
definition 178
employees’ needs 181
equality and 69–70
flexible working and 187, 188
GLOBE project 178
Hofstede’s dimensions 178
integration of 7
living arrangement preferences 69
cumulative resources 119
daddy months 252–3
daughters 72
transitions in caregiving relationship 10
daughters-in-law 9
de-familization 248–51, 256
De Meijer et al. 28
defined contribution plans 167
Del Campo et al. 10
Dembe et al. 24, 137, 144
dementia
care of the caregiver 89–90
caregiver trajectory 89
caregiving training 93–4
concerns about 14–15
demographics of caregivers 82–3
ethics of caregiving and caregiver support 91–3
formal caregiving 83–6, 91
grief and loss experienced by caregivers 88–9
impact of caregiving on caregivers 87–8
positive aspects 88
impact on family dynamics 12
informal caregiving 31–3
Japan, initiatives for dealing with 14–15
social support for caregivers 90–91
unique aspects of caregiving 86–7
see also Alzheimer’s disease
demographic trends 153–8
den Dulks et al. 184
dependency ratios 41, 42, 59
dependent care 166–7
depression 16–17, 18, 19, 87
multigenerational caregiving 159
Dhar, V.E. 6
division of labor
egalitarian 181
gendered 71, 72, 157–8
grandparents 73
drift hypothesis 105
Duxbury et al. 18
Duxbury, L. and Dole, G. 18–19
dyadic research 143
daytime education 206
economic de-familization 249
economic growth 185–6
economic insecurity, global 63–4
economic structures 179
education 199, 204
comparison of black/white graduate job opportunities 209
racial residential segregation and 206–7, 208–9
effort–recovery model 105
Elder Economic Security Index 198
elder people
help for 13–14
quality of life 13
elder care
benefits 166
definition 263
direct 263
impact on women’s employment 8
indirect 263
organizational support and 136–40

Ronald J. Burke and Lisa M. Calvano - 9781785364969
Downloaded from Elgar Online at 02/18/2019 11:49:20AM
via free access
The sandwich generation

perceived organizational eldercare support (POES) 138–9, 140
in Sweden 253–6
unpredictability of 162
emotional ambivalence 66
emotional strain 18, 46, 50, 54
emotions, caregiver 265–6
employee assistance programmes (EAP) 55, 58
employees
entitlement, sense of 181–2
expectations for support 181–3
flexible working options 161
healthy/unhealthy 105
leaving the labor force 160–61
managing childcare and eldercare 23, 28
needs 180–81
non-sandwiched 17
sandwiched 17
stigmatization of 31
support for 23, 24, 25–6
well-being 159
work/home competing demands 99
employer-driven policies see work-life policies
employers
caregiving, impact of 28, 29
as moral actors 183
support for employees 58–9
see also family-friendly workplace policies; organizations
employment
balance with caregiving 18–19
flexibility for employees 26
impact on caregivers 22
outcomes 17–21
racial residential segregation and 207–8, 208–9
employment-based childcare assistance 166
enrolled nurses (ENs) 223, 224, 225, 229–30
entitlement 181–2
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) 164–5, 169
Esping-Andersen, G. 183
ethics 91–3
ethnicity
caregivers for persons with dementia 82–3
culture and 69–70
differences in caregiving 10–11, 16
exchanges, intergenerational 67–9, 70
extended families 181
extra-residential care 8
extrinsic motivation 105
families
dynamics, impact on 12
types of 12
see also intergenerational relations
Families and Work Institute’s National Study of Employers 137
familization 248, 256
Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA) 161–2, 165, 169
Family Caregiver Support Program (FCSP) 23–4
family composition 179
family-friendly workplace policies 32, 33, 34, 153–4
access to 142–3
caregiver and organizational support 131, 132, 135
caregiver/elder care, differences in access to 160
dependent care support and assistance 166–7
eldercare and organizational support 139
Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA) 161–2, 165, 169
future research on 167
retirement plans 167
stages of implementation 160
see also flexible working
family interventions 90
Fast, J. 30
female caregivers
advice for 32–3
differences with male caregivers 8, 31–2, 71
employment
effect of ill health and informal care roles 26
impact of eldercare on 8
at greater risk 20
negative outcomes 17
Index

| Relationship with caregiving recipients | 9 |
| Feminist economics | 219 |
| Fertility rates | 40, 153, 154 |
| Financial strain | 18, 46, 50, 54, 56 |
| Finland | 113 |
| Flexible working arrangements | 32, 131, 135, 160, 163–6, 188 |
| and work–family conflict | 188 |
| Best practice guidelines for employers | 164, 164–5 |
| Employees' fear of stigmatization | 163–4, 165 |
| Hours | 46, 55, 56, 112, 131, 135–6, 137, 161 |
| Influence of culture | 187 |
| Opportunity costs for employed caregivers | 165 |
| Schedules | 25, 26, 27, 32, 137, 161 |
| Foley et al. | 135 |
| Food work | 181 |
| Formal caregivers/caregiving | 83–6, 91 |
| Training | 93–4 |
| France | |
| Industrial relations | 184 |
| Tax system | 185 |
| Work–life policies/support | 182–3 |
| Fredrickson, B.L. | 103 |
| Friedman et al. | 157 |
| Full-time equivalents (FTEs) | 84 |
| Functional solidarity | 65–6 |
| Galinsky et al. | 143–4 |
| Gender | |
| Breadwinner–homemaker role | 181 |
| Differences of caregivers | 8–9, 71, 72 |
| Division of labor | |
| Grandparents | 73 |
| Male/female | 71, 72 |
| Egalitarianism | 187–8, 188 |
| Equality | 179–80, 186–7 |
| Gendered divisions | 157–8 |
| Intergenerational relations | 72–3 |
| Women as serial caregivers | 64 |
| Women's responsibility for informal caregiving | 157–8 |
| Gender Empowerment Measure (GEM) | 187–8 |
| Gender equality | 179–80, 186–7 |
| Gender-related development index (GDI) | 186 |
| Generation boomerang | 5 |
| Global Leadership and Organizational Behavior Effectiveness (GLOBE) project | 178 |
| Globalization | 63–4 |
| Governments | |
| Caregiving, impact of | 28 |
| Support demanded from | 74 |
| Support for caregivers | 34 |
| Grandchildren, intergenerational relations | 68, 72–3 |
| Grandey et al. | 140 |
| Grandparents | |
| As caregivers | 3 |
| Grandmother care | 66–7 |
| Intergenerational relations | 72–3 |
| Greenberger, H. and Litwin, H. | 21 |
| Grief | 88–9 |
| Griggs et al. | 132 |
| Halbesleben, J.R. and Wheeler, A.R. | 118 |
| Hammer et al. | 139–40 |
| Hammer, L.B. and Neal, M. | 17–18 |
| Hansen, T. and Slagsvold, B. | 20, 22 |
| Health | |
| Cost of care | 201 |
| Disparities in care | 202 |
| Of elderly African Americans | 199 |
| Insurance | 201 |
| Investment in | 200 |
| Outcomes | 18, 19 |
| Racial disparities in the US | 200–203 |
| Social determinants of | 209–11 |
| Social disadvantages and | 200 |
| Healthcare advocates | 264 |
| Heisler et al. | 9 |
| Hjalm, A. | 68 |
| Hofstede, G.H. | 178 |
| Home care aides | 85 |
| Home health aides (HHAs) | 85–6 |
| Hospices | 84, 85 |
| Husbands | 17–18 |
| IADLs (instrumental activities of daily living) | 40, 44, 47, 48, 55–6, 82, 86, 91 |
ICT (information and communication technologies) 27
immigrants, co-residence 68–9
individual interventions 89–90
individualism–collectivism, cultural dimension 178, 187
industrial relations systems 179, 184
industrialization 179, 185
informal caregivers/caregiving 4, 9, 16, 20, 40, 41, 81–3
financial costs of 154
gendered divisions 157–8
reducing the availability of 153–4
training 93
Ingersoll-Dayton et al. 9, 12–13, 143
institutionalization 10, 40, 83, 92
intergenerational relations 62–3
culture and ethnicity 67–70
exchanges 67–9, 70
forces shaping 63–4
gendered divisions 71, 72
grandchildren 68, 72, 73
grandparents 72–3, 73
men’s involvement 71–2
theorizing
ambivalence approach 66–7
conflict approach 65, 66
solidarity approach 65–6
intergenerational relationships 7
interventions 89–90
intrinsic motivation 105
Israel 26
Jakobsson et al. 8
Japan 14–15
job burnout 7
job demands control (JDC) model 107, 111
job demands resources (JDR) model 101, 102, 107
job satisfaction 10, 18, 23, 118, 141
childcare and organizational support 133, 134, 135–6
eldercare and organizational support 138, 139, 140
job sharing 57–8
Jones et al. 7
Juin, S. 9
Kaida, L. and Boyd, M. 69
Kasper et al. 211
Katz et al. 26
Katz, R. 7
Keene, J. and Prokos, A. 17
Kemper et al. 40
Kim et al. 24, 139
Kim, J.S. and Faerman, S.R. 187
Knop, B. and Brewster, K.L. 71
Koerin et al. 4, 136
Korea see Republic of Korea (ROK)
Kossek et al. 139
Kotsadam, A. 8
labor laws 179
later life families 62–74
Lauzon et al. 25
Lechner, V. and Neal, M. 8
Lechner, V.A. and Gupta, C. 10
legal structures 179
Leitner, S. and Lessenich, S. 249
Leopold, T. and Skopek, J. 72
Li et al. 117–18
licensed professional nurses (LPNs) 84
licensed vocational nurses (LVNs) 84
life expectancy 40, 153, 154, 204
long-term care 82, 83–4
financial costs of 154
loss, dementia patients 88–9
low-income families 158
Lyness, K.S. and Kropf, M.B. 186
male caregivers 4
advice for 32–3
differences with female caregivers 8, 31–2, 71
intergenerational relations 71–2
Malhotra et al. 24
marriage 153
Martin et al. 14
masculinity–femininity, cultural dimension 178
Matthew effect 107
mature age women in aged care see MAWAC survey
Mauno, S. and Rantanen, M. 119
Index

MAWAC survey data collection methods 220–23
demands of aged care work 221–2, 225, 226, 234
fitness and injuries 234
informal care roles 221, 222, 223–4, 225, 226, 227, 231–3, 235–7
studies 232
intention to leave (ITL) 221, 223, 224, 225–6, 227, 228–31, 232–3, 237–8
interview data 233
key findings 219
logistic regression model
measures 227–8
results 228–37
rates of pay 227
sample characteristics 223–7
shortage of care workers 218
work ability 221–2, 224–5, 227, 228–31, 233–5, 237
McNall et al. 135
Medicaid 57, 59, 83, 84, 201
Medicare 59, 83, 198
mental health 21
MetLife Juggling Act Study (Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, 1999) 136–7
Metlife Mature Market Institute 57
migration 64
mild cognitive impairment (MCI) 87, 92
morality 182, 183
mothers, single 210–11
motivation 104–5
Mudrak et al. 13
multi-component interventions 90
multigenerational caregivers/caregiving 155, 156, 159
multiple resources concept 100
multiple roles 141, 142
well-being and 159
National Alliance for Caregiving (NAC) 3–4, 42
caregivers for persons with dementia 82–3
National Association of Social Workers 145
national context
definitions
culture 177, 178
structures 177, 179–80
economic growth/recession 185–6
employees’ ability to use policies
supervisors’ perception of
employees’ work–family
balance 188–9
supervisors’ perceptions of take-up 187–8
employees’ expectations for support
level of 181–2
responsibility for provision of 182–3
employees’ needs
culture 181
in developed/developing countries 180
structure of families 181
future research 189
gender equality 186–7
industrial relations 184
industrialization 185
public policies 183–4
tax system 185
National Home Health Aide Survey 86
National Long Term Care Survey 162
National Parkinson’s Foundation 92
National Study of Long-term Care Providers (NSLTCP) 83–4
National Study of the Changing Workforce 17
Neal et al. 8, 27
Neal, M.B. And Hammer, L.B. 23, 117
needs fulfilment 106
neighborhoods 204–5
Netherlands 20
Nichols, L. and Junk, V. 13
normative solidarity 65
Norway 22
nursing employees 84, 85
nursing homes 29, 83–4, 86
O’Driscoll et al. 131
old age dependency ratio 41, 42
Olshansky et al. 204
organizational support
caregiving initiatives and activities 145

Ronald J. Burke and Lisa M. Calvano - 9781785364969
Downloaded from Elgar Online at 02/18/2019 11:49:20AM
via free access
The sandwich generation

childcare 131
cultural differences 136
female/male benefits 135
main effects of 131–4
moderating effects of 134–6
definition and assessment of 129–30
elder care 136–7
employer-sponsored programs
and benefits 137
family-friendly policies 139
main effects of 137–8
managerial support 137, 138
moderating effects of 138–40
perceived organizational elder care
support (POES) 138–9, 140
perceptions of assistance
programs 144
workplace benefits 144–5
family-friendly policies and practices 131
formal 132, 133
future research directions 140–43
single-source perceptions 143
individual characteristics and 141
informal 132, 133
multiple-role involvement 141
practical advice 143–5
studies on 130
organizations
elder care services/assistance 24–5, 26
employees and care 22–3
employer-based work–family
programs 143–4
initiatives, value of 24–7, 33
policies, benefits and services 27, 160
support for employees 24, 25–6, 58
see also employers; family-friendly
workplace policies; paid leave

Paid Family Leave (California)
program 165–6
paid leave 46, 55, 56, 112, 139, 144,
161, 163, 165–6, 185
Panel Study of Income Dynamics data
(2013) 157
Parkinson’s disease 14
perceived organizational elder care
support (POES) 138–9, 140
person–environment fit theory 100–101
person–situation interaction theory 100
personal care assistants (PCAs) 85,
224, 225, 226, 229–30
Pew Research Center 157
survey (2013) 4, 13
physical strain 18, 46, 49, 50, 54, 55
Pines et al. 7, 159
Pinquart, M. and Sorensen, S.
16–17
pollution and hazardous substances 205
Portugal 8
poverty 56–7
African American elders 197–8
child 247
comparison of African American
and white families 208
racial residential segregation and
205–6, 208–9
power distance, cultural dimension
178
Premeaux et al. 137–8
presenteeism 266
Protestant ethic 182
proximity, geographical 68
psychiatric morbidity 20
psychoeducational interventions 90
psychological death 88
psychological distress 18
psychotherapeutic interventions 90
public policies 183–4
in liberal countries 183
and provisions 179
in sociodemocratic countries 183
Pyke, K. and Bengtson, V. 12
quality of life 13
Quebec study 74
racial residential segregation 203–4,
205–8, 208–9
REACH trials (Resources for
Enhancing Alzheimer’s Caregiver
Health) 93
recession 185–6
registered nurses (RNs) 84, 223, 224,
224–5, 229–30, 231
relationships, complexities in
caregiving 8–9
Remennick, L. 6
Republic of Korea (ROK) 187
Index

residential care
long-term 30
progressive practices 30
residential care communities 83, 84, 85
residential segregation, racial 203–4, 205–8, 208–9
resource caravans 106
resource effects 99–101, 120–21
multiple resources concept 100
resources
contextual features 100, 101
definition 100
dispositional characteristics 100
review of empirical research
main findings 116–17
resource gains 117
resource interactions 118–19
resource loss 117–18
resource spirals 118
selection of studies 113–15
taxonomy of resource combinations
see taxonomy of resource combinations
theoretical frameworks 100–101
work-home resources model 99–100
Results Only Work Environment (ROWE) 185–6
retail industries 22
retirement plans 167
Riley, L.D. and Bowen, C. 24
Robison et al. 9
role overload 135
Rosenthal et al. 263
Sahibzada et al. 139
sandwich generation
characteristics of 4
definition 242
factors contributing to 5
financial support 156–7, 160
global phenomenon 6
country differences 7
integrating cultures 7
international and cross-cultural
caring issues 6–7
increasing size of 4–5
instrumental support 157
profile of 155–7
sandwich generation 3
sandwiched caregivers see caregivers
savings, spending to support
Generation boomerang 5
Scandinavian welfare state 246–7
aged Scandinavians’ care preferences 250
de-familization 248–51, 256
familization 248, 256
universalism 247–8
Scandura, T.A. and Lankau, M.J. 135–6
Scharlach, A.E. 131, 134
Scharlach, A.E. and Boyd, S.L. 137
Seaward, M. 26
self-determination theory 101, 104–5, 106
self-evaluations 100, 135, 136, 141
Seltzer, M.M. and Li, L.W. 10
senior sandwich generation 167
Sharkey, P. 203–4
Shoptaugh et al. 166–7
sick leave 26, 163, 165
on-site childcare 134
smoking 210
social class 158
social de-familization 249–50
social exchange theory 134
Social Security benefits 57
Social Security Caregiver Credit Act (2016) 57
social structures 179–80
social support 90–91
solidarity approach 65–6, 67
Somech, A. and Drach-Zahavy, A. 135
sons 72
Sorensen et al. 89–90
spatial mismatch theory 207
Statistics Canada (2010) 4
Stephens et al. 19, 89
Stock et al. 136
strain 23, 46, 142
organizational support and 139
see also emotional strain; financial strain; physical strain
stress 19, 142
caring for parents 5
chronic 209
multigenerational caregiving 159
and premature aging 209–10
psychological 18
stress-buffering effect 99
The sandwich generation

stressor–strain–outcome models 142
structural ambivalence 66
subjective burden 44
Supplemental Poverty Measure (SPM) 198
supportive interventions 90
Sweden
childcare, development regarding
educare policies 251
parental leave 252–3
policy 256
tax-funded childcare 252
universal access 251–2
defamilization 256
eldercare, development regarding
filial care 254, 256
motivation of needs 255
municipal programs of payments
254
policy 255
publicly financed 253–4
elderly, sources of care for 250–51
employment rate of women 243
part-time work 243
familization 256
fertility rates 242, 243
gender equality model 254–5
Szinovacz, M.F. 12
tax system 179, 185
taxonomy of resource combinations
interactional effects of resources 106
age and life stage 112
boosting effect 106–9, 111
compensation effect 109–13, 119
demands 111–12
macro environment policies
112–13
resource gain and loss 101–2
gain criterion 102
loss criterion 102
resource gain and loss spirals 102–6
energy management 105
gain and loss spiral criterion 1
104
gain spiral criterion 2 104
loss spiral criterion 2 104
motivation 104–5
needs fulfillment 106
technology 27

telework 133, 187
therapeutic services 84–5
Thompson et al. 131–2
trade unions 184
training, caregiving 93–4
trait activation theory 101
Tuithof et al. 19–20

uncertainty avoidance, cultural
dimension 178
unemployment 186
United States of America (USA)
African Americans see African
Americans
caregiving 15–16
economic cost of Alzheimer’s disease
88
elderly population
disadvantages faced by 197
living arrangements 198
social and economic disadvantage
of minorities 197
graying of 196
Healthy People 2020 203
national plan for Alzheimer’s disease
15
paid federal family leave 160
poverty rate 197–8
Protestant ethic 182
racial disparities in health 200–203
Social Security 198, 199
wage disparities 199
see also Equal Employment
Opportunity Commission
(EEOC); Family and Medical
Leave Act (FMLA)
universalism 247–8
unpaid leave 161, 162
values, individualistic/collectivist
systems 12
Vitaliano et al. 16
Voyandoff, P. and Donnelly, B. 18

Wallen, J. 144
Wassel, J. 167

welfare state
care needs 245
Scandinavian 246–51
Index 281

well-being 7
  emotional 142
organizational support and 141
outcomes 17–21, 141
workers’ 159
Wider Opportunities for Women (WOW) 198
wives
  impact of caregiving on work 17–18
  transitions in caregiving relationship 10
work see employment
Work Ability Index (WAI) 221–2, 227
work–family conflict 25, 28, 57, 58, 129, 131, 132, 135, 140
  character traits and 141
  flexible working and 188
work–family programs 10, 33, 136, 143–4
work–home resources model 99–100, 120
work–life balance 99, 188–9
work–life policies/support
  economic growth/recession 185–6
  employees’ ability to use 187–8
employees’ expectations for 181–3
employees’ needs for 180–81
  in France 182–3
  gender equality 186
  industrial relations 184
  industrialization 185
  public policies, influence of 183–4
  responsibility for provision of 182–3
tax system 185
  in the US 182
workers see employees
World Economic Forum 185
Yahoo! 185–6
Yee, J.L. and Schulz, R. 20
youth dependency ratio 41, 42
Zacher et al. 21
Zacher, H. and Schultz, H. 23, 138–9
Zacher, H. and Winter, G. 24, 138
Zarit Burden Interview 44
Zeytinoglu et al. 27
Zhang, Y. and Goza, F.W. 8
zoning laws 207
Zuba, M. and Schneider, U. 25