

Index

- Bundesverfassungsgericht 3, 13, 113
- case law
- Bananas* 96
 - Counter Terrorism Database* 99
 - Gauweiler* 63–4, 66, 99, 100
 - Honeywell* 99
 - Solange I* 95
 - Solange II* 94, 95–9, 98–9, 103
 - Solange III* 3, 94, 96, 97, 102–3, 106–7, 109–10, 111, 113
 - Treaty of Lisbon* 94, 99, 100
 - Treaty of Maastricht* 96, 99
 - on constitutional identity 94, 101, 113
 - expanding function of
 - condition 102, 113
 - distinguishing levels of implementation discretion 96, 107–8
 - exclusive jurisdiction 107
 - human dignity condition 103–6
 - human dignity review 94, 95, 102, 103, 106, 109–12
 - benefiting individuals across EU 106
 - enforcement of European Arrest Warrants 104–5, 107–9
 - low threshold in 111
 - on primacy of EU law 94
 - constitutional limits 97–101
 - precedence in application 97–8
 - see also* primacy of EU law
 - reconciling primacy/human dignity 106
 - reviewing EU constitutional law 95–7
- case law 10–11
- see also* individual courts
- challenges of multilayered regimes 27–32
- balance of rights 29
 - inconsistency 30–31
 - ineffectiveness 31
 - plurality of standards 27
 - horizontal diversity 27–8
 - vertical diversity 28
- suprastate protections as maximum 28–9, 31
- suprastate protections as minimum 28, 29, 31
- synchronic 28, 29–30
- Charter of Fundamental Rights 2, 4, 12, 13, 35, 42, 47, 170, 215
- Art. 4 86, 90, 92
 - Art. 7 54, 116, 119–21
 - Art. 8 54, 55, 116, 119–21
 - Art. 47 57, 58–9, 61
 - Art. 49 67
 - (1) 56
 - Art. 51 56, 57, 62, 119
 - Art. 52 122
 - (3) 76–7, 79, 93
 - Explanation 77
 - (4) 50
 - (7) 77
 - Art. 53 28, 50, 51, 89
- circumscribing case law 11
- as codifying constitution 54
- on common constitutional traditions 50
- Explanations* 50, 77
- legally binding 75

- marginalising common
 - constitutional traditions 42
- marginalising general principles of
 - EU law 42
 - as transformative constitution 54–5
- CJEU *see* ECJ
- codification of fundamental rights 46, 48
- common constitutional traditions 45, 69–71
 - /EU common heritage 65
 - Europeanising 54
 - as evolutionary process 48, 69
 - future relevance 62–3
 - historically 43–6
 - case law 44–5
 - constitutional tolerance 46
 - the Maastricht Treaty 45–6
 - role of 43–4
 - language of 64
 - legality 67
 - marginalisation of 42, 48, 51–2
 - pluralistic nature of 66
 - recently 46
 - in Charter of Fundamental Rights 50
 - Charter of Fundamental Rights enhancing 49–50
 - CJEU argumentative use of 53–5, 56
 - increasing references to 52–3
 - limbo phase 46–7
 - new creative lease on life 55, 61–2, 69
 - as rhetorical instrument 45
 - tensions in 48
 - see also* case law
- Common European Asylum System 85
- competence gap 13
- Conference of Messina (1955) 43
- constitutional courts
 - Albania 218, 224–5
 - Armenia 218
 - challenging European Arrest Warrants 104–5
 - Germany
 - Bundesverfassungsgericht 3, 94
 - see also* Bundesverfassungsgericht
 - Italy 68
 - Taricco* case 64–5
 - Serbia 224
 - Ukraine 218
- constitutional crises 1, 208
 - Hungary 5
 - Poland 1, 5
- constitutional identity 62–3, 64–5
 - post-Lisbon narrative 68
 - singular nature of 66
 - supporting populism 64
- constitutional law
 - review of in
 - Bundesverfassungsgericht decisions 95–7
 - tension between written and unwritten 48, 55
- constitutionalism
 - cooperative 64, 66, 68
 - Euro- 206–8
 - international 207–8, 209, 212
- constitutionalization of international law 206
- Cooperation and Verification Mechanism for Romania and Bulgaria 227
- Copenhagen European Council (1993) 35
- Council of Europe 16
 - Convention on Human Rights *see* ECHR
 - Parliamentary Assembly 212, 226, 228
 - Resolution 727(1980) 217
 - Resolution 1044 (1994) 217
 - Secretary General 212
- Court of Justice of the European Union *see* ECJ
- courts
 - constitutional *see* constitutional courts
 - Court of Justice of the European Union *see* CJEU
 - European Court of Human Rights *see* ECtHR
 - European Court of Justice *see* ECJ

- national 18, 23
- role of 2
- Criminal Code
 - Art. 160(3) 67
 - Art. 161(2) 67
- data protection 134–9
 - Data Protection Officer 117
 - digital sovereignty of EU 126
 - digital surveillance *see* digital surveillance
 - in ECJ 120–6
 - annulling Data Retention Directive 122–3
 - broad interpretation 121
 - change in approach to 120–21
 - ECJ strengthening 124–5
 - in EU law 115–17, 134
 - Data Protection Directive 116
 - Electronic Communications Directive 124
 - personal data 116
 - EU/USA
 - Privacy Shield agreement 135
 - Safe Harbour agreement 123–4
 - tensions 123
 - exceptions to right to 119
 - limiting 125–6
 - habeas data right 121
 - /national security
 - conflict 118–20, 135, 136–7
 - passenger name records 136
 - package 117
 - see also* EU law; national security; privacy
- Declaration of the Rights of Man and the Citizen (1789) 43
- diachronic transformations 32–3, 32–8, 36
 - causing concern over harmonization 36, 38
 - cycles of
 - centralization/decentralization 36–8
 - in Europe 34–5, 36–7
 - fostering judicial expansion 35–6
 - in USA 33–4
- death penalty 37
- digital surveillance
 - programmes 132–4
 - Bulk Metadata Surveillance Program 133
- Directives
 - Directive 95/46/EC (data protection) 116, 123
 - Directive 2000/43/EC (race equality) 170, 171
 - Art. 13173–4, 175
 - Directive 2000/78/EC (framework equality directive) 170
 - Directive 2002/58/EC (electronic communications), Art. 15 124
 - Directive 2002/73/EC (equal treatment) 171
 - Art. 8a 175
 - Directive 2004/113/EC (gender equality) 171
 - Art. 12 175
 - Directive 2006/24/EC (data retention) 53–4, 119
 - annulment of 122–3
 - failure to delimit governmental authority 122
 - generic nature of 122
 - Directive 2014/54/EU (freedom of movement) 171, 176
 - Directive 2016/681 (passenger name records) 136
 - Directive 2017/1371 (fraud) 67
 - Directive (EU) 2016/680 (personal data) 117
- discrimination
 - age 47, 170, 178
 - combating 184–5
 - difficult to prove 173
 - direct 171, 191
 - indirect 171, 189
 - national equality boards *see* national equality boards
 - prevention 173, 175
 - strategic litigation combating 182
- Dublin System 83, 86
 - coordinating asylum applications 83
 - Dublin II Regulation 87
 - Art. 3(2) 85

- Dublin III Regulation 87
- first point of entry 83
- operating on mutual trust 83–4
- protection of individuals in 87
- ECHR 2, 13, 215
 - Art. 2 32, 76, 187
 - Art. 3 84, 187–8
 - Art. 6(1) 60
 - Art. 7(1) 67
 - Art. 14 188–9
 - Art. 53 28
 - compliance with 92–3
 - EU accession to 13, 16–18, 81
 - draft Accession Agreement 74, 79
 - making Convention formally binding 16
 - objective 14
 - Protocol No. 6 216–17, 218
 - Protocol No. 8 35
 - special significance of 75, 76
 - ratification 217
 - universal recognition of
 - fundamental rights 220
- ECJ 3, 13, 14, 43, 73–5
 - asserting direct applicability 47
 - autonomous approach 75–6, 78
 - case law
 - Åkerberg Fransson 29, 97, 99–100
 - Algera Dineke 44, 45
 - Aranyosi and Caldaru joined cases 74, 90–91, 109, 111
 - Aranyosi II 92
 - Bundesrepublik Deutschland v Kaveh Puid 86
 - Centrum voor Gelijkheid van Kansen en voor Racisme bestrijding v Firma Feryn NV 190–91
 - C.K. and Others 74, 87
 - Coleman v Attridge Law 190
 - Costa v ENEL 44, 97
 - DEB Deutsche Energiehandels- und Beratungsgesellschaft Digital Rights Ireland 53–54, 58–60, 121, 122, 126
 - El Dridi 56
 - Google Spain 120, 121, 125
 - Hauer v Land Rheinland-Pfalz 45
 - Internationale
 - Handelsgesellschaft mbH v Einfuhr- und Vorratstelle für Getreide und Futtermittel 80
 - Mangold 47
 - Marguerite Johnston v Chief Constable of the Royal Ulster Constabulary 190
 - Maximillian Schrems v Data Protection Commissioner 121, 123–4
 - mbH DEB v Federal Republic of Germany 57
 - M.E. and Others 85
 - N.S. v Secretary of State for the Home Department 80, 85, 86
 - Omega 62
 - Radu 88–9
 - Shamso Abdullahi v Bundesasylamt 80, 86
 - Stefano Melloni v Ministerio Fiscal 29, 52, 89, 97
 - Taricco I 66, 67, 68
 - Taricco II (M.A.S. and M.B.) 67–8
 - Tele2 Sverige and Watson 120–21, 124–5
 - Valeri Hariiev Belov v CHEZ Elektro Bulgaria AD and Others 191–2, 197
 - Yassin Abdullah Kadi v Council of the European Union and Commission of the European Communities 18–19, 80
 - Viking 31
 - Wachauf 61
 - characterising post-Lisbon common community traditions 53, 54–5, 57
 - creative interpretation in 55, 56–7, 58–9, 60, 62
 - criticism of 14–15, 74, 78
 - developing human rights jurisprudence 92
 - ECtHR differences 93

- ECtHR mutual recognition 13
 on execution of European Arrest Warrants 90–91
 expanding human rights role 73–4
 as extra layer of human rights protection 153
 on foreseeability 67
 as fundamental rights court 75–8
 human rights jurisprudence 12
 invalidating EU/US Safe Harbour agreement 123–4
 jurisprudence 13, 14
 on legality 66–7
 limiting effects of fundamental rights protection 89–90
 on mutual recognition 90
 on mutual trust 80, 82, 85–6, 90
 on non-retroactivity 67
 Opinion 2/1374, 79–82, 90
 compatibility with *Aranyosi* decision 91
 EU legal order as normatively self-sufficient 79
 interpreting fundamental rights 79–80
 on principle of mutual trust 80–81, 82
 no recognition of ECHR legal system 79, 92–3
 rejecting draft Accession Agreement 79, 81
 on precision of language 67
 reversal of argumentative priorities 44–45
 role interpreting Charter 74
 Art. 51 57
 Art. 52 (3) 77–8
see also Charter of Fundamental Rights; human rights protection; principles of EU law
Taricco rule 67, 68
 unpredictability of judgments 93
 ECtHR 13, 14, 67
 case law
Bosphorus v Ireland 84
D.H. and Others v the Czech Republic 188–9
M.S.S. v Belgium and Greece 84–5, 86
Nachova and Others v Bulgaria 188
Tarakhel v Switzerland 87
 CJEU differences 93
 as court of last instance 35
 ECJ mutual recognition 13
 as extra layer of human rights protection 153
 filtering capacity 17
 increasing standards of protection for asylum seekers 87
 remedies awarded 17
see also human rights protection as subsidiary system of protection 18
 undermining of judicial authority 17
 victim of own success 16–17
 EU
 accession to ECHR 16–18
 querying importance of 17–18
 Area of Freedoms, Security and Justice 82
 centralizing/decentralizing cycles 37–8
 as composite constitutional order 2
 constitutional framework, pluralistic nature of 1
 encroachment on national human rights protections 23
 enlargement 35
 European Union Military Committee 147
 Framework Decision 2009/299 52
 lacking direct mandate in field of fundamental rights 150
 multilevel judicial protection 19
 Ombudsman 12
 supremacy of community law 44
 /USA data protection comparison 134–5
 EU law
 Directives *see* Directives
 expansion of 73
 Regulation (EU) 2016/679 117, 118
 Euro-constitutionalism 206–8

- Europe, diachronic transformations in 34–5
- European Arrest Warrant 88–92
 - challenging 104–5, 110–12
 - as erosion of constitutional rights 105
- Framework Decision 2002/585 88
 - Art. 1(3) 88–9, 90
 - Art. 3 89
 - Art. 4 89
 - Art. 4a(1) 108
 - (d) 108–9
 - Recital 10, 90
- /protection of fundamental rights
 - tension 88, 92
- European Central Bank Outright Monetary Transactions Programme 105
- European Commission
 - Communication on the Fundamental Rights Agency 150
 - Decision 2000/520/EC 123
- European Commission against Racism and Intolerance 175
 - General Policy Recommendations 175
- European Commission for Democracy through Law *see* Venice Commission
- European Convention on Human Rights *see* ECHR
- European Court of Human Rights *see* ECtHR
- European Court of Justice *see* ECJ
- European Economic Community 43
 - impermeability to domestic constitutional law 43–4
 - supremacy of Community law 44
- European integration 46
- European Roma Rights Centre 188
- European Union *see* EU

- foreseeability 66, 67
- fundamental rights 81
 - act of codification 44, 46
 - Agency *see* Fundamental Rights Agency
 - exceptions 93
 - need for implementation 155
 - not absolute 81–2
 - protection, inconsistencies in 3, 5
 - see also* human rights
- Fundamental Rights Agency 12, 139–40, 149–51
 - Commission Communication on 150
 - establishment 142
 - Founding Regulation 143, 150–51
 - Fundamental Rights Platform 149
 - limited scope of 144, 151
 - monitoring activity 145–7, 151–2
 - as bridge with civil society 148–9
 - enlarging field of interest 147, 148
 - not considered essential 151
 - productivity 146–7
- Multi-annual Frameworks 143, 147, 148
 - as part of fundamental rights policy 142–3, 144
- pre- 140
 - agreement on need for 141
 - Comité des Sages* report (2000) 140
 - independent expert group 140–41
- general principle of community law, as fabrication 47
- general principles
 - effectiveness 61
 - expansive potential of 55–6
- Germany
 - Basic Law 95
 - Art. 1 102, 110, 112
 - Art. 23(1) 98, 100, 110, 113
 - Art. 79 110, 113
 - (3) 100, 102
 - constitutional identity of 101
 - human dignity as constitutive principle 102
- Bundesverfassungsgericht *see* Bundesverfassungsgericht

- Hague Program 82
- harmonization 65
- concerns over 36
 - legal aid for legal persons 60–61
- human rights
- conception of as principles 24
 - inflation *see* human rights inflation
 - intrinsic value 24
 - lack of political will to uphold 21
 - legal/actual discrepancy 19, 21
 - limitation to citizens 220
 - perception of as individual 153
 - protection *see* human rights protection
 - status of 19
 - undermining of 22
 - underreporting of violations 155–6, 198
 - violations
 - EU inaction over 20–21
 - preventive mechanisms 23
 - see also* fundamental rights
- human rights inflation 7–8, 16
- constitutional backsliding in member states 20–22
 - Hungary 20
 - EU accession to ECHR 16–18
 - negative 19, 22
 - transnationally 18–19
- human rights protection 168–9, 201
- competence creep 23
 - complaints-led model 173
 - as contested 14–15
 - ECJ development of standards 10, 11
 - as end in itself 15
 - equality bodies *see* national equality bodies
 - fora for arguing rights 14
 - fundamental rights 215
 - importance of direct effect in 221
 - Kadi* case undermining 18–19
 - less is more approach 22
 - limitations of procedures 156
 - as means to other ends 15
 - national human rights institutions 155, 156
 - see also* national human rights institutions
- national judicial complaints-handling 153
- proposals for improving 22–3
- quasi-judicial complaints-handling 154
- ombuds-institutions 154
 - reactive nature of individual complaints 155
- sources of
- ECHR 14
 - EU 13–14
 - national 13
- stages of
- first (no protections) 9
 - second (primacy of EU law) 9–10
 - third (extension of personal scope of application) 10, 11
 - fourth (soft law mechanisms) 12
 - fifth (accession of EU to ECHR) 13
- by sub-national authorities 23
- and subsidiarity 22–3
- in USA 24
- Venice Commission *see* Venice Commission
- see also* Charter of Fundamental Rights; ECHR; ECJ; ECtHR; fundamental rights; human rights; USA
- Hungary 38
- constitutional backsliding 20
 - constitutional crisis in 5
- Interights 188
- International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (1976) 187
- internationalization of constitutional law 206
- Italy
- Constitution, Art. 25(2) 68
- judicial/non-judicial dualism 1

- legal certainty 77
- legality as common constitutional tradition 67
- Maastricht Treaty 35
 - entry into force 45
- majoritarian activism approach 62
- multilayered regimes
 - challenges in *see* challenges of multilayered regimes
 - dynamic nature of 32
 - normative questions 38
 - transformations *see* diachronic transformations
- multilevel system of protection 2
- national courts, failure to decide on merits 23
- national equality bodies 170, 171–2, 179–80
 - Centre for Equal Opportunities and Opposition to Racism (Belgium) 190–91
 - Commission for Protection Against Discrimination (Bulgaria) 191
 - Commissioner for Fundamental Rights (Hungary) 184–5
 - contributing to anti-discrimination law 186
 - differences in scope 177, 178–9
 - domestic transposition of Directives on 177–8, 179
 - Equality Commission for Northern Ireland 187, 190
 - Equality and Human Rights Commission (Britain) 189
 - Equality Ombudsman (Sweden) 190
 - Equinet 192–3
 - role as NEB spokesperson 193–4
 - structure 193
 - working Group Policy formation 193
 - establishment of via European Directives 170–71, 173
 - EU model of 173–6
 - flexibility of 173
 - freedom of 172–3
 - future possibilities 198–9, 200
 - Human Rights and Equality Commission (Ireland) 186, 187
 - lost opportunities to strengthen 192
 - National Centre for Human Rights (Slovakia) 185
 - National Office against Racial Discrimination (Italy) 195–6
 - /NHRI integration 199–200
 - obstacles to 195
 - economic constraints 197–8
 - lack of competences in practice 196
 - lack of enhancement at European level 197
 - legislative constraints 195
 - limited remits 197
 - political pressure 196
 - as one-stop shops 194, 195, 198
 - proliferation of 172
 - promotional-type 178
 - Board of Equal Treatment (Denmark) 181
 - National Office for Opposition to Racial Discrimination (Italy) 181
 - role of 174, 175, 178
 - implementing EU law 180, 183
 - improving understanding of EU law 186–7
 - information exchange 192
 - potentially referring preliminary questions 191
 - promotional-type 180–81
 - supporting victims of discrimination in EU courts 187–8, 189–91
 - tribunal-type 178
 - strong potential for fostering equality 194
 - structures 178
 - tools of 183–4
 - education 185
 - information campaigns 184–5
 - tribunal-type 178, 191
 - Equality and Human Rights Commission (Britain) 182
 - unique position of 195

- see also* national human rights institutions
- national human rights institutions 172
 - British Human Rights Commission 165
 - broad legal human rights mandate 159–60, 168–9
 - challenges 166–8, 169
 - budgetary constraints 166–7
 - potential lack of independence 166
 - composition 164
 - Danish Institute for Human Rights 161, 168, 181
 - engagement with state authorities 165
 - engagement with supranational bodies 165
 - European Network of National Human Rights Institutions 168
 - German Institute for Human Rights 161
 - Human Rights and Equality Commission (Ireland) 186
 - Human Rights Defender (Armenia) 165
 - /NEB integration 199–200
 - need for international integration of 166
 - need for multi-stakeholder support for 167–8
 - Netherlands Institute for Human Rights 159
 - Northern Ireland Human Rights Commission 161
 - origins 157–9
 - Paris Principles 157, 159, 162, 166, 174–5
 - accountability 167
 - compliance with 158, 168
 - Polish ombudsman 165
 - relevance of 158
 - reports 165
 - role of 159, 164–5
 - advising governments 161–2
 - bridge-builders within human rights system 164–6, 169
 - disseminating information 161, 163
 - facilitating cooperation 160
 - independent fact-finder 160–61
 - making recommendations 161, 164
 - monitoring national human rights situations 160
 - quasi-judicial
 - complaints-handling 162–3
 - Scottish Human Rights Commission 165
 - strength of 169
 - see also* national equality bodies
- national identity 62–3
- national security
 - and data protection 124, 135
 - see also* data protection
 - digital surveillance programmes 119
 - exclusive state competence over 118
 - laws of fear 119–20
 - /privacy
 - debate 114–15
 - Safe Harbour agreement 123
 - tension 127
 - whistleblowing 114
 - see also* privacy
- negative integration 144, 150
 - limits of 145
 - /positive integration difference 145
 - see also* positive integration
- Nice Charter 69
- non-judicial bodies
 - equality bodies 3, 4
 - Fundamental Rights Agency 1, 3, 4
 - National Human Rights Institutions 3, 4
 - Venice Commission 1, 3, 5
- non-retroactivity 67
- normative questions 38–41
 - defying easy solutions 40
 - federalism and fundamental rights 39–40
 - equality 39, 40
 - identity 39, 40
 - supremacy principle 40

- how should human rights regimes work? 38
- Open Society Justice Initiative 188
- Organisation for Security and Cooperation in Europe 158, 210, 219
- Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights 158
- Paris Principles 157, 159, 162, 166, 174–5
 - accountability 167
 - compliance with 158, 168
- Poland 38
 - constitutional crisis in 5
 - positive integration 144, 150
 - /negative integration difference 145
 - requirements of 145
 - see also* negative integration
 - precision 66, 68
 - primacy of EU law 9, 11, 65, 89
 - constitutional limits 97–8, 110, 112
 - constitutional identity condition 100
 - Solange II* condition 94, 98–9, 100, 101, 103
 - ultra vires condition 99–100
 - /fundamental rights protection reconciliation 106–7
- principles of EU law
 - marginalisation of 42
 - mutual recognition 82, 89
 - mutual trust 82–3, 84, 89
 - CJEU on 80, 82, 85–6
 - as constitutional principle 82
 - Dublin System 83–7
 - European Arrest Warrant 88–92
 - non refolement 84
 - primacy of EU law *see* primacy of EU law
 - see also* Dublin System; European Arrest Warrant
- privacy 134–9
 - constitutional protection of 116, 126–9
 - data protection *see* data protection
 - /national security debate 114–15
 - right to in EU law 115, 117
 - see also* national security
- rule of law 1, 12, 83
 - decline in 22, 168
 - judicial independence 221
 - challenge of lack of 222–3
 - merit-based appointment 222
 - risk of breach of in Poland 227
 - Venice Commission
 - recommendations on 221–2
 - see also* Venice Commission
 - under threat 21, 38, 169
- self-perpetuating human rights rhetoric 15
- Snowden, Edward 114
- Spain
 - Constitution, Art. 18.4 55
- Stabilisation and Association Process of the European Union 223
- strengthening legal certainty 23, 24
- Treaty of Amsterdam 12
 - Art. 13 170
- Treaty of Lisbon 12
 - acknowledging mutual recognition 82
 - disappointment over 21
 - EU Accession Agreement incompatible with 13
- Treaty of Maastricht 12
- Treaty on the European Union
 - Art. 2 64, 83, 90
 - Art. 4 211
 - (2) 50, 62, 66, 118
 - Art. 6 51, 98–9, 106
 - (2) 79, 93
 - (3) 66
 - Art. 7 1, 143
 - (1) 227
 - activation 5
- Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union 2

- Art. 16 115–16
- Art. 325 68
- Art. 350 151
- UNESCO
 - High Commission for Immigration and Intercultural Dialogue 184
 - High commission for Migration 184
- United Nations
 - OHCHR 161
- USA
 - case law
 - ACLU v Clapper* 132, 133–4
 - Katz v United States* 128
 - Klayman v Obama* 132–3
 - Olmstead v United States* 128
 - Roe v Wade* 32
 - Smith v Maryland* 129, 133
 - United States v Jones* (2012) 134
 - United States v Miller* 129
 - United States v United States District Court* 127–8
 - United States v Verdugo-Urquidez* 128
 - Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act (1994) 130
 - Constitution 33
 - Fourth Amendment 126–7, 128–9, 133
 - Fourteenth Amendment 33–4
 - Reconstruction Amendments 34
 - constitutional right to privacy 126–7
 - EU comparison 128
 - internal/external threat distinction 128
 - and national security 129–32
 - ordinary criminal acts/national security issues distinction 127–8
 - constitutional transformation 33
 - Electronic Communications Privacy Act (1986) 129–30
 - EU comparison 25–7, 134–5
 - challenges 26, 27–32
 - normative questions 26, 27, 38–41
 - transformations 26–7, 32–8
 - federal Bill of Rights 33
 - federal system 25
 - Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act 130–31
 - Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court 131
 - Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court of Review 134
 - Freedom Act (2015) 135
 - human rights protection 24
 - codification of rights 25
 - cycles of centralization/ decentralization 36–7
 - death penalty 37
 - New Deal 37
 - Judicial Redress Act (2015) 134
 - legal right to privacy 129–30, 134–5
 - decreasing 131–2
 - US persons/foreigners distinction 130
 - national security
 - Bulk Metadata Surveillance Program 131–2
 - /right to privacy conflict 127, 130
 - national security agencies 130
 - supervision of 130–31
 - Patriot Act 131
 - Privacy Act (1974) 129
 - Supreme Court jurisprudence 33–34
 - on digital privacy 127
 - reducing scope of Fourth Amendment 128–9
 - third party doctrine 129
 - see also* data protection; privacy
- Venice Commission 201, 208–9
 - advisory nature of work 211
 - authority of 225
 - balance within 211–12
 - comparative constitutional engineering 210–11
 - conception 201, 202
 - constitutional assistance 214, 217, 218–19

- on human rights 219
- early focus 202
- founding 202
- hard law in 209, 210
- at heart of international
 - constitutionalism 207–8, 209, 212
- importance of 227
- /national state engagement 209
- novelty of 209
- opinion requests to 212–13
- opposition to 205
- pure cooperation 226
- purpose 204, 209
- role in human rights protection
 - 213–14, 219
 - abolition of capital punishment 216–19
 - constitutionalization of ECHR standards 219
 - creating catalogue of fundamental rights and freedoms 215–16
 - guidelines on freedoms 219
 - as statutory priority 213
 - soft law in 209–10, 211, 212
 - special nature of 201, 204
 - strengthening rule of law
 - judicial appointment and immovability 222
 - judicial independence 221
 - judicial reform 224–5
 - rule of law checklist 228
 - see also* rule of law
 - as suggestive rather than prescriptive 210
 - supporting monitoring of
 - international commitments 225–8
 - supporting primacy of international law 220
 - transformation of 203–4
 - working methods of 211, 212, 213
- Yugoslavia, hyperinflation in 7