

Index

- 3-D marks, protection 93–4
- abuse of dominant position
 - see* competition
- Alibaba 24, 26, 32–3, 38–40
- Alice Corp. v. CLS Bank International* 182
- AliExpress 24
- Anti-Counterfeiting Trade Agreement (ACTA) 162
- anti-monopoly laws
 - see* competition
- Antigua 173
- Apple 31–2, 38
- Apple v. Motorola* 81–2
- Arora, A. 11–12
- Association of Molecular Pathology v. Myriad* 181
- Australia 6, 176

- Baidu 26
- Barra, Hugo 31–2
- bianli* (convenience) 28–9
- biotechnical inventions, definitions 60–61
- Björnekulla v. Procordia Food* 134
- Bransteter, L. 11, 14
- Brazil 5–6, 172–3
- Bronner v. Mediaprint Zeitungs* 75

- Canada 177
- Chen, Y. 10
- China
 - anti-competition regime
 - development 71–2
 - essential facilities doctrine 71–4, 76–8
 - licences, refusal to grant 72–6, 78–89
 - counterfeit products
 - control development 38–41
 - fashion industry 141–5, 156
 - trends 35–7, 42
 - cybercitizens
 - characteristics 33–5, 42
 - innovation role 34–8
 - geographical indications
 - fashion industry, and 141–5, 156
 - innovation
 - affordability 36–7
 - convenience 28–31
 - cultural influences 34, 36
 - influences on 23, 27–33
 - minimalism, and 29
 - multi-functionality 28–9
 - need seeker model 31–2
 - ‘perfection,’ role of 27–33
 - shanzhai* 35–6
 - style 29–30
 - trends 22–3
 - user design influences 32–3, 36, 40
 - intellectual property, generally
 - copyright enforcement regime 166
 - policy development 38–43, 163
 - protection levels 163
 - investment trends 40–41
 - patents
 - standard-essential patent (SEP), holder duties 72, 78–80
 - trends 5–6
 - use trends 183
 - Taobao 24–30, 32–3, 35, 38–40
 - WeChat 25–32, 35, 38–40
 - Xiaomi 26–7, 29–32, 38, 40
- China Enforcement dispute (WTO)* 166, 171
- Cohen, F. 46–7
- Cohen, Julie 23, 36
- Community Trade Mark 100

- comparative law, principles 46
- competition
 - China, in 70–87
 - development 71–2
 - essential facilities doctrine 71–4, 76–8
 - licences, refusal to grant 72–6, 78–9
 - efficiency conflicts with IPR 70–71
 - essential facilities doctrine 71–8
 - Chinese interpretation 71–2, 76–8
 - EU interpretation 74–8
 - F/RAND commitments, and 79–87
 - principle 72–3
 - US interpretation 73–4, 76–7
 - exclusive rights, impacts of 120
 - generic marks/ signs/ GIs, and 120, 127–9, 146
 - nationalism, and 152–4
 - taste, and 149
 - influences on 2
 - licences, refusal to grant 72–6, 78–9
 - new product requirement 76–7
 - secondary market requirement 75–7
 - unfair competition rules 101–2, 112–13, 117–18
- compulsory licensing
 - public health obligations, and 170
- copyright
 - enforcement 160–61, 163–4
 - criminal enforcement provisions 166, 168
 - industrial designs, and
 - applied art 104–8
 - EU approach 107–8, 115–16
 - law overlaps 95–7, 102–3, 114–16
 - originality 106–8
 - Scandinavian approach 104–7
 - policy development
 - copyright avoidance trend 185
 - criticisms 163–5
 - digital world challenges 185
 - free trade agreements, and 164–5
 - over-protection, and 185–6
 - post TRIPS 164–6
 - TRIPS Agreement 163–6
 - purpose 62–3
 - rights management information, and 165
 - strict necessity principle 62–8
 - balance of interests 63–4
 - direct violation, justification for 65–6
 - exceptions and exclusions 63–6
 - freedom of expression 50, 65–6
 - images, treatment of 64
 - margin of appreciation 65–6
 - temporary acts of reproduction 65
 - work, definition of 66–8
 - technological protection measures, and 165
 - three step test 165
 - thresholds 96, 164–5
 - trade mark law overlaps 66–7, 116–17, 167, 184
 - work, definition of 66–8, 95–7, 117
 - applied art 104–8
 - World Copyright Treaty 165
- counterfeit products
 - China, in 35–7, 42
 - fashion industry, in 141–5, 156
 - international trade agreements 162
 - place or process counterfeiting 141–5
- cumulation principle 102–3
- Dangdag.com 28
- data linkages 17
- Delgado, M. 13
- Demuijinck, Geert 42
- Denmark
 - design protection law
 - chair designs, as trade marks 90, 92–3
 - copyright protection, and 95–6, 105–6
 - Egg chair 88–90
 - unfair competition rules 101, 112–13
- developing countries
 - GIs, development incentives 179
 - TRIPS Agreement impacts on 174–6
- diaosi* (cybercitizens) 33–8, 42
- dispute settlement
 - incentives 178
 - trade agreement influences on 171–3

- Doha Declaration on Public Health
2001 169–70, 175
- Dr Who* (TV show) 141, 144
- Drahos, Peter 42, 46–7, 179
- droit d'auteur* 48–9
- eBay 28, 38
- Egg chair 88–90, 111, 114–15
- Eli Lilly 177
- emergency provisions 169–70
- Ericsson 40
- essential facilities doctrine
Chinese interpretation 71–2, 76–8
EU interpretation 74–8
F/RAND commitments, and 79–87
no injunction rule 86–7
principle 72–3
US interpretation 73–4, 76–7
willing licensee test 84–7
- European Union
essential facilities doctrine 74–8
geographical indications 150–52,
155–7, 167
industrial designs protection
copyright, and 107–8, 115–16
harmonization 97–8, 116–18
trade agreement policy development
176
traditional knowledge 150–52, 155–6
- European Union Intellectual Property
Office (EUIPO) 120
- F/RAND commitments 79–87
interpretation 81–3
- Facebook 25, 29, 38
- fashion industry
competition and nationalism 152–4
counterfeit products 141–5, 156
creator identity 153–4
cultural and traditional values 141–5,
147–9, 152–4, 156–8
digital place 147
fan theory, and 143–5
geographical indications, policy
development 156–8
identity, and 141, 147
social place of 141
taste, and 149
field of technology dominance 160
- Flos v. Semeraro Casa e Famiglia* 97,
114
- free trade agreements
see also TRIPS Agreement 1994
adoption incentives 178
copyright protection thresholds
164–5
development trends 162
dispute settlement proceedings 171–2
patent policy developments 168
technological protection measures
165
trade secrets protection, and 166
- freedom of expression 50, 65–6
- gene patents 60–62, 181
- generic marks/signs
challenges 129–30
commercial operator perception
133–4, 137
competition, and 127–9, 146
consumer perception 133–5, 137
consumer right to use 127–8
ECJ interpretation 132–3
Björnekulla v. Procordia Food 134
*Kornspitz Company v. Pfahnl
Backmittel* 135–6
inactivity, and 125–6, 136
market interests, and 123, 127, 132
new product without common name,
and 130–31
personal name of producer, and
130–32
protection by continued activity
126–9, 136–7
protection exclusions 123–6
voluntary abandonment 131
- geographical indications
development 122–3
developing countries, in 179
EU policy 150–52, 155–7, 167
incentives 179
US policy 167
exclusive rights
generic signs 123–7

- market interests, and 123, 127, 132
- purpose 119–20
- value over time 120–22
- identification
 - digital products 140, 147–8
 - fashion, and 141–5, 146, 153–4
 - relationships 138–9, 147–8
- international cooperation 141–5, 156
- know-how, protection of 167
- non-agricultural GIs
 - community sustainability, and 155
 - competition, and 152–4
 - EU policy 150–52, 155–7, 167
 - extension to, importance 150
 - fashion industry, and 141–3, 147–9, 152–4, 156–8
 - protected designations of origin (PDO) 155
 - protected geographical indications (PGI) 155
 - traditional knowledge, and 154–7
- place, interpretation
 - cultural identity, and 141–3, 147–9
 - digital place 140, 147–8
 - focus for identity, as 151–2
 - inimitability of place 148
 - nationalism, and 152–4
 - perceptions of 140–41
 - place as tool 147–8
 - social place, and 140–41, 146
- process, protection of 141–6
- property, interpretation as 139, 147–8
- taste, role of 149
- Ginarte, J.C. 4–7
- globalisation
 - intellectual property development, and 185–6
- GP Index 4–7, 9
- Harris Tweed 141–5
- Hecht v. Pro-Football* 73
- Huawei 39–40
- Huawei Technologies v. ZTE* 84
- Hungary 5, 7
- IMS Health v. NDC Health Corp* 75, 77
- India 5–6
- industrial designs protection
 - copyright, and 95–7, 102–3
 - applied art 104–8
 - EU approach 107–8, 115–16
 - law overlaps 95–7, 102–3, 114–16
 - originality 106–8
 - Scandinavian approach 104–7
- development
 - generally 114–15
 - regime overlaps 95–7, 102–3, 114–17
 - Scandinavia, in 89–94, 97–8, 114–15
- Egg chair 88–9, 111, 114–15
- EU harmonization 97–8, 116–18
- imitation, and 101–2, 106, 112–13
- limitations 97–8
- trade marks, and 99–100
 - distinctiveness 99–100, 102, 111, 113
 - essential characteristics 109–11, 113
 - mark as product of itself 93–4
 - product marks 93–4
 - product shapes 99–100, 108–12, 116–17
 - regime overlaps 66–7, 116–17
 - substantial value 109–12
- Tripp Trapp chair 92–4, 104–6, 109–12
- unfair competition rules 101, 112–13, 117–18
- unregistered design right 113, 118–19
- industrialization
 - cultural and traditional knowledge, and 141–5, 153–4
- Infopaq International v. Danske Dagblades Forening* 96–7, 108
- innovation
 - affordability, and 36–7
 - competition, and 76–8
 - convenience, and 28–31
 - cultural influences 34, 36
 - field of technology dominance 160
 - incentives 159–61, 177–8, 182, 186
 - incremental patents 159–60
 - licensing fees, and 159–60

- minimalism, and 29
- multi-functionality 28–9
- need seeker model 31
- patent impacts on 8–12, 19, 182
- research, validity of 15–17
- TRIPS Agreement impacts 11–12, 19
- user influences on 32–3, 36, 40
- intellectual property rights, generally
 - advantages 41–2
 - balancing of social costs and benefits 117–18
 - characterization 44–5
 - cumulation principle 102–3
 - disadvantages 1–2, 44–5
 - droit d'auteur* 48–9
 - duration of rights 50
 - economic aspects 47–9
 - functional view 46–7
 - heightened protection
 - incentives for 174–81
 - restrictions on 181–6
 - historical development 3, 114–15
 - interpretation overlaps 67–9
 - inventors, role of 46
 - investment agreement protections 173–4
 - mechanical view 44–5
 - natural status of ideas, and 50
 - perceptions of 1–2, 41, 44–5
 - protection
 - disadvantages 180–81
 - globalisation influences on 185–6
 - heightened, incentives for 174–81
 - incentives, generally 180–81, 186
 - other obligations, and 169–70
 - over-protection 183–6
 - trends 4–7, 160–61
 - public health protection obligations 169–70, 175
 - public interest, and 49
 - purpose 1–2, 46–52
 - regime overlaps 66–7, 95–7, 102–6, 112–17, 167
 - research
 - limitations and validity 15–17, 20–21
 - opportunities 17–20
 - trends 2
 - social contribution 50
 - strict necessity principle 52–3
 - copyright 62–8
 - patents 56–62
 - trade marks 53–6
 - targeted rights 55–6
- international technology transfer
 - see* technology diffusion
- inventors, role of 46
- investment
 - heightened IPR protections, and 177–8
 - influential factors 8–9
 - intellectual property protection, role in 46, 177–8
 - patent disputes, and 177
- investment agreements
 - intellectual property protection under 173–4
- Israel 5–6
- Ivus, O. 13
- Jacobsen, Arne 88–9, 106, 114
- Japan 5, 7, 85
- Javorcik, B.S. 14
- jianyue* (minimalism) 29
- Jordan 5, 7
- Kiribati Islands 179
- Koninklijke Philips Electronics* 109
- Kornspitz Company v. Pfahnl Backmittel* 135–6
- Kyle, M.K. 11
- laws of nature doctrine 160, 181
- LEGO Juris v. OHIM* 109
- licensing
 - dependent patents, and 58–9
 - fees 159–60
 - public health obligations, and 170
 - refusal to license restrictions 72–6, 78–80, 160
 - willing licensee test 84–7

- LinkedIn 25, 29
 logos 166–7
- McGahan, A.M. 11
 market power 2
 Marrakesh Treaty for the visually impaired 2013 165
 Maskus, K.E. 13–14
 mass produced goods, design protection
 Egg chair 88–90, 111, 114–15
 Tripp Trapp chair 92–4, 104–6, 109–12
MCI Communications Corp. v. AT&T 73
 Merges, Robert 41–2
 Mexico 5–6
 Microsoft 30, 39
Microsoft v. European Commission 76–7
 mobile phones industry
 China, in 26–7, 39
Motorola 84
- Nagaoka, S. 14
 National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) 30
 New Zealand 184
 Norway
 design protection law 91–3
 copyright law overlap 104–5
 Tripp Trapp chair 91–4, 104–6, 109–12
- Opsvik, Peter 91–2
Orange Book 84
- Painer v. Standard Verlags* 107–8
 Park, W.G. 4–7
 patents
 enforcement 168
 essential facilities doctrine
 Chinese interpretation 71–2, 76–8
 EU interpretation 74–8
 F/RAND commitments, and 79–87
 no injunction rule 86–7
 principle 72–3
 US interpretation 73–4, 76–7
 willing licensee test 84–7
 field of technology dominance 160
 generally
 gene patents 60–62, 181
 GP Index 4–7
 innovation impacts 8–12, 19
 investments, role as 177–8
 protection trends 3–7
 purpose 46–7
 technology diffusion, and 12–15
 incremental patents 159–60
 laws of nature doctrine 160, 181
 patentable subject matter 160, 169, 181–2
 pharmaceutical industry
 incentives for 182–3
 second and third use patents 168, 182–3
 technology diffusion, and 13–15
 term extensions 168, 182
 policy developments 168–9
 purpose 181–2
 second and third use patents 168, 182–3
 strict necessity principle
 ECJ patents approach 56–7, 60–62
 experimentation exception 57–8
 interpretation restrictions 57–8
 licenses and dependent patents 58–9
 public benefit exceptions 58–60
 technical contribution of art 61–2
 term of protection 168
 two-step process 182
 pharmaceutical industry
 patent incentives 182–3
 patent term extensions 168, 182
 patents and technology diffusion 13–15
 second and third use patents 168, 182–3
Philip Morris v. Uruguay 173–4
pinwei (style) 29–30
 piracy, in China 35–7
 place
 see geographical indications
 policy experiments 18–20
 Portugal 5, 7

- preferential trade agreements (PTAs) 3
- protected designations of origin (PDO) 155
 - see also* geographical indications
- protected geographical indications (PGI) 155
 - see also* geographical indications
- public benefit 58–60
- public health, protection obligations 169–70, 175
- public interest 49, 170
- Puttitanun, T. 10

- Qian, Y. 10, 19–20
- Qihoo 360 30

- Radio Telefis Eireann v. Commission (Magill case)* 74–6
- Rakuten 30
- R&D
 - patents role in 2, 7–8
 - innovation, and 11–12, 19, 182
 - uncertainty, and 182
- refusal to deal 71–3, 160
 - China, in 72–6, 78–9
- research
 - data linkages 17
 - limitations and validity 15–17, 20–21
 - national surveys 17–18
 - opportunities 17–20
 - panel surveys 20
 - policy experiments 18–20
- rights management information (RMI) 165

- Samsung* 84
- Samsung v. Apple* 85–6
- Schneider, P.H. 9–10
- Sexwax Incorp. v. Zoggs International* 184
- shanzhai* (counterfeit products) 35–6
- Singapore 5–6
- smells, trade mark protection 166
- South Africa 5
- South Korea 5–6, 85–6
- standard-essential patents (SEPs)
 - Asian interpretations 85–6
 - Chinese restrictions 78–80
 - definition 78
 - F/RAND commitments, and 79–87
 - hold-up/ lock-in abuse 79–84
 - injunctions against 82–4
 - refusal to license restrictions 79–80
 - safe harbours 79
 - standardization conflicts 80
 - willing licensee test 84–7
- Steiner, Gertrude 107–8, 115–16
- strict necessity principle
 - copyright 62–8
 - balance of interests 63–4
 - direct violation, justification for 65–6
 - exceptions and exclusions 63–6
 - freedom of expression 50, 65–6
 - images, treatment of 64
 - margin of appreciation 65–6
 - temporary acts of reproduction 65
 - work, definition of 66–8
 - patents
 - ECJ patents approach 56–7, 60–62
 - experimentation exception 57–8
 - interpretation restrictions 57–8
 - licenses and dependent patents 58–9
 - public benefit exceptions 58–60
 - technical contribution of art 61–2
 - trade marks 53–6
 - availability requirement 54
 - in course of trade restriction 54–5
 - limitations 54
 - purpose 55–6
 - speciality principle 54–5
 - targeted rights 55–6
- Sunder, Madhavi 42
- surveys, benefits of 17–18, 20
- Sweden 90, 106

- Taiwan 5–6
- Taobao 24–30, 32–3, 35, 38–40
- technological protection measures (TPMs)
 - copyright protection, and 165
- technology diffusion
 - need for 175

- patent impacts 12–15, 19
- research, validity of 15–17
- trends 15
- TRIPS Agreement impacts 13–15, 19, 175
- Tencent 25–6, 32, 35, 38–40
- Tmall 24–5, 29–30
- tobacco industry 177
- Towson, Jeffrey 27
- trade marks
 - 3-D marks, protection 93–4
 - Community Trade Mark 100
 - copyright law overlaps 66–7, 116–17, 167, 184
 - exclusive rights
 - generic marks/signs 124–7
 - investment/ advertising function 126–7
 - market interests, and 123, 127, 132
 - protection by continued activity 126–9, 136–7
 - purpose 119
 - value over time 120–22
 - generic marks/signs/GIs
 - Björnekulla v. Procordia Food* 134
 - challenges 129–30
 - commercial operator perception 133–4, 137
 - consumer perception 133–5, 137
 - doctrine, reasons for 130–31
 - ECJ interpretation 132–6
 - establishment as 124–6
 - Kornspitz Company v. Pfahnl Backmittel* 135–6
 - new product without common name, and 130–31
 - personal name of producer, and 130–32
 - protection by continued activity 126–9, 136–7
 - industrial designs, and
 - distinctiveness 99–100, 102, 111, 113
 - essential characteristics 109–11, 113
 - mark as product of itself 93–4
 - product marks 93–4
 - product shapes 99–100, 108–12, 116–17
 - substantial value 109–12
 - Tripp Trapp chair 91–4, 104–6, 109–12
 - industrial designs overlaps 66–7, 116–17
 - investment agreement dispute settlement 173–4
 - logos 166–7
 - principles 99–100
 - protection
 - limitations 99
 - over-protection 183–4
 - policy development 166–7
 - regime overlaps 183–4
 - well-known marks 184
 - purpose 55–6, 126–8
 - shapes
 - policy development 166
 - product shapes 99–100, 108–12, 116–17
 - smells 166
 - strict necessity principle 53–6
 - availability requirement 54
 - in course of trade restriction 54–5
 - limitations 54
 - purpose 55–6
 - speciality principle 54–5
 - targeted rights 55–6
 - well-known marks 184
 - work, definition of 66–8, 117
- trade secrets, protection 166, 168
- traditional knowledge
 - community sustainability, and 155
 - EU policy development 150–52, 155–6
 - fashion industry, and 141–5, 147–9, 152–4, 156–8
 - importance 150
 - IPR protection role, generally 179
 - nationalism and competition 152–4
 - place, role of 154–6
- Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) 3, 162, 164–6, 168–72
- Tripp Trapp chair 91–4, 104–6, 109–12
- TRIPS Agreement 1994 3, 19
- adoption, reasons for 174–5

- compliance obligations 163
- copyright policy development 163–6
- criminal enforcement provisions 166
- developing countries, impacts on 174–6
- dispute settlement 171–3
- enforcement role 171–4
- influences of 162–3
- innovation impacts 11–12
- licenses and dependent patents 58–9
- national emergency provisions 169–70
- patent policy development 168
- public health protection obligations, and 169–70, 175
- purpose 169
- technology diffusion impacts 13–15, 19, 175
- three step test 165, 169
- trade mark policy development 166–7
- TRIPS-Plus provisions 3–4, 7, 163, 171
- Twitter 25

- unfair competition
 - imitation products 101–2, 106, 112–13
 - IPR regime overlaps 112–13, 117–18
 - rules 101, 112–13
- United Kingdom
 - geographical indications 141–5
 - industrial designs protection 117
 - industrialization and cultural knowledge 141–5, 153–4
- United States
 - dispute settlement 172–3
 - patents
 - essential facilities doctrine 73–4, 76
 - F/RAND commitments 81–4
 - innovation impacts 11
 - law reform lobbying 160
 - trends 5–7
 - trade agreement policy development 176
 - unregistered design right 113, 118–19
 - Uruguay 173–4
 - US v. Terminal Railroad Association* 73
 - utility, definition 36–7
 - Vanzetti, Adriano 130
 - Verizon Communications v. Trinko* 73–4, 76
 - von Hippel, Eric 36
 - WeChat 25–32, 35, 38–40
 - well-known marks, protection 184
 - Westwood, Vivienne 144
 - WhatsApp 27, 38
 - work, definition
 - applied art 104–8
 - industrial designs, and 66–8, 95–7, 117
 - World Copyright Treaty 1996 (WIPO)(WCT) 165
 - World Economic Forum 4
 - World Intellectual Property Organisation (WIPO) Copyright Treaty 1996 165
 - dispute settlement 171–3
 - World Trade Organization (WTO)
 - see also* TRIPS Agreement 1994
 - Doha Declaration on Public Health 2001 169–70, 175
 - membership, reasons for 174–6
 - Xiaomi 26–7, 29–32, 38, 40
 - Yang, L. 13–14
 - Yu, Peter 38, 42–3

