

Index

- Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 15, 241–85, 300–301, 302, 307
- arbitration between a private entity and a state 256–7, 268–9, 271–3, 278–9, 282
- arbitration for inter-state and investor-state disputes 269, 271–3, 275–6
- banking and oil industry involvement 249–50
- and bilateral investment treaty (BIT) practice 242
- content 252–8
- Convention on Foreign Investments 267–8, 270, 271–2
- definitions and provisions on Convention's entry into force 258
- dispute resolution mechanism 250–51, 255–6, 257–8, 261–3
- exceptional measures 255
- expropriation 270
- fair and equitable treatment (FET) 253, 254
- full protection and security 270
- historical context of Sir Elihu Lauterpacht's involvement 247–52
- and International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD), Loan Regulations 257, 274–5
- international investment treaty practice, influence on 242–3
- and international law status 270, 279, 282, 283–4
- investor protection standards 252–4
- investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS) procedure origin 242, 259–60, 263–80, 281–2
- Lauterpacht, Sir Elihu, role of 258–84, 342, 347
- legal biography use 244–6
- most favoured nation treatment 253, 255
- non-derogation in times of emergency 271
- OEEC Committee for Invisible Transactions 283
- OEEC/OECD Draft Convention on the Protection of Foreign Property and Sir Elihu Lauterpacht involvement 280–84
- private foreign investment legislation 247–52
- protection against expropriation without compensation 253, 254–5
- reparation obligations 270–71
- requirement for additional consent 276–7
- restoration of ICJ in dispute resolution machinery 275–6
- settlement negotiations re *Anglo-Iranian Oil Company* case 260–63, 264, 265, 278, *see also Anglo-Iranian Oil Company (UK v Iran)*
- and Suez Canal nationalization 340–41
- and umbrella clause 241–2, 254, 262–3, 265–6
- Alabama Claims Commission 106, 112, 114–15, 119, 126–8

- aliens
 - diplomatic protection of alien person and property 155–6, 158, 162
 - Harvard Draft Convention ... on Economic Interests of Aliens 225
 - state responsibility for misconduct of aliens abroad 326–9
- anachronism concerns 24–5, 26, 108–11, 142–3, 148
- Anghie, A 26, 62, 82–3, 137, 145, 146, 147, 148, 151, 152, 362
- Anglo-Iranian Oil Company (UK v Iran)* 247, 251, 256–7
 - Anglo-Iranian Oil Company nationalization 340–41
 - settlement negotiations 260–63, 264, 265, 278
- arbitral institution between investors and states, consideration of, international minimum standard of investor conduct 349–51
- arbitral jurisprudence, evolution of 74
 - see also* historiography of international investment
- arbitral reticence to reject an outcome that treaty language permits 215
 - see also* contractual protection in international law and diplomatic protection
- arbitrariness and diplomatic protection 54–5, 56
- arbitration
 - between private entity and state 256–7, 268–9, 271–3, 278–9, 282
 - inter-state and investor-state disputes 66–7, 269, 271–3, 275–6
 - as story of imperial domination 94–6
 - see also* dispute resolution
- archaeology of censurable conduct 325
 - see also* international minimum standard of investor conduct
- archives
 - accessibility considerations 217
 - library research advantages 79
 - research benefits and primary sources 182–3, 185, 193–4
 - research methodology and history of contractual protection 216–18
 - see also* origins research; primary sources; research
- Armitage, D 149
- Asante, S 237
- authorial intent, understanding 84–5, 88, 91–2, 98–100
 - see also* historiography of international investment law
- banking and oil industry involvement, Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 249–50
- Banner, S 84, 86, 99, 100
- Becker Lorca, A 5, 20, 26
- Bederman, D 4, 22, 35, 112, 117, 124, 128, 129, 137, 145, 206, 210, 211–12
- big bang comparison, international investment agreements' origins 102–6
- bilateral investment treaties (BITs) and Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 242
 - history and international law, method and mechanism 144–5, 152–3, 156, 159–60, 161, 162–3
 - and International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) Convention creation 308–9
 - international claims commissions to investment treaty arbitration change 102–4, 121–2
 - international minimum standard of investor conduct *see* international minimum standard of investor conduct, bilateral investment treaties (BITs)

- narratives of history of international investment law 55, 61–2, 64, 65–6, 67
- rise of 74–7
- bilateral treaties of commerce and consular jurisdiction 334–5
- Black, E 306
- Borchard, E 150, 156, 158, 159, 208, 217, 220, 222, 336, 337, 338, 361
- borrower states, rights of 352–3
- and corresponding investor obligations, international minimum standard of investor conduct 343–9
- see also* international minimum standard of investor conduct
- Brandon, M 251, 277, 301, 304–5, 345
- breach of contract, fair and equitable treatment (FET) clauses 230–34, 237–8
- Bretton Woods negotiations 196–7, 292
- bribery and East India Company 330–33, 363
- see also* East India Company; international minimum standard of investor conduct
- Broches, A 55, 56, 160, 290, 297, 299, 303–4, 305, 306–7, 308, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317–18, 343, 350
- Burke, E 331–2
- Calvo Doctrine 12, 60–61, 159, 324, 364
- capital-importing/capital-exporting country dichotomy of interests 63, 67–8
- see also* narratives of history of international investment law
- Carroll, L 50
- Cavallar, G 138, 147–8, 161–2
- censurable conduct
- archaeology of 325
- diplomatic protection and
- customary international law 338–9
- international minimum standard 363–6
- as limitation on exercise of diplomatic protection 335–9
- see also* international minimum standard of investor conduct
- China, Kowloon incident 333–4
- ‘clean hands’ doctrine and customary international law 355–6, 365–6
- see also* international minimum standard of investor conduct
- Cogan, J 204, 212
- colonialism
- decolonization movement effects 61 and neoliberal capitalism 52–3, 57, 59–64
- postcolonial critical theory and controversy 146–9, 162
- and practices of ‘informal empire’ 138
- see also* imperialism
- commerce
- commercial enterprise and public power separation 329–35
- commercial stability solutions focus 63–4
- see also* trade
- common law
- and judicial authority 82
- and past exercising normative authority over present 110
- compensation, protection against expropriation without compensation, Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 253, 254–5
- consent, requirement for additional, Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 276–7
- consultative procedure, International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) 310–13
- contemporary knowledge in historical work, and fair and equitable treatment (FET) 204–11

- see also* modernity
- contemporary law, sanctioning of
 - investor misconduct in 354–8
- contextualism concerns 140–41, 142, 148–9
 - see also* history and international law, method and mechanism; language
- contractual protection in international law and diplomatic protection 213–40
 - arbitral reticence to reject an outcome that treaty language permits 215
 - archive accessibility considerations 217
 - contract claims and treaty claims, distinction between 229, 232–4
 - contractual non-payment breaches and type of diplomatic support 222–4, 233
 - contractual protection of aliens 225
 - diplomatic correspondence content 218–24
 - diplomatic correspondence tone 219–21
 - diplomatic protection to treaty protection shift 214–15
 - fair and equitable treatment (FET) clauses and breach of contract 230–34, 237–8
 - history of contractual protection 216–24
 - history of contractual protection, archival research methodology 216–18
 - history and modernity, identity between 224–34
 - investor-state contract protection and significance of history 238–9
 - pacta sunt servanda* principle and contractual obligations 234–6
 - replacement of proper law of contract 236–7
 - research time effectiveness considerations 217–18
 - umbrella clauses 226–30, 237–8
- Convention on Foreign Investments 267–8, 270, 271–2
- Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards 248
- Cromer, Lord 332
- cultural differences, effects of 360, 361, 362–3
 - see also* international minimum standard of investor conduct
- customary law
 - culpable conduct as limitation on exercise of diplomatic protection 338–9
 - and ‘clean hands’ doctrine 355–6, 365–6
 - norms and legal theory 171, 173–4
- damages, investor conduct when quantifying 356–7
- Darwin, C 107, 132
- decolonization movement effects 61
 - see also* colonialism
- depoliticization aim, International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) 290–91
- Diggelman, O 26, 104, 107, 184, 185
- diplomatic protection
 - alien person and property 155–6, 158, 162
 - and arbitrariness law 54–5, 56
 - contractual *see* contractual protection in international law and diplomatic protection
 - restrictions, bilateral investment treaties (BITs) 353–4
- dispute resolution
 - arbitration for inter-state and investor-state disputes, Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 269, 271–3, 275–6
 - dispute origin, international claims commissions 111–13, 118
 - ICSID *see* International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID)

- investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS) 52, 54–8, 62–5
- investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS) procedure origin, Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 242, 259–60, 263–80, 281–2
- mechanism, Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 250–51, 255–6, 257–8, 261–3
- political nature of disputes 56–7
- restoration of ICJ in dispute resolution machinery 275–6
see also arbitration
- Drago doctrine 299, 339
- Dupuy, R-J 21

- East India Company 60, 153–4, 156
 - bribery 330–33, 363
- economic development and rule of law enhancement 54–9
- economic liberalism 52–3
- economic self-determination and political sovereignty 342
- emergency, non-derogation in times of, Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 271
- Energy Charter Treaty (ECT) 356–7
- epistemic communities and epistemic forces 46–8, 56, 57–8
- EU, extra-EU BITs consideration 67
- European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)
 - Ališić v Bosnia and Herzegovina* 47
 - Mykhaylenko v Ukraine* 47
 - Radio France v France* 47
- European trading and investment activities, global expansion 16
- evidence
 - conclusions lacking evidentiary support, fair and equitable treatment (FET) 210–11
 - factual evidence and legal arguments, understanding, *Suez Canal Company v Egypt* 92
 - historical facts as evidence for legal ends, fair and equitable treatment (FET) 206–7
 - evolutionary theory problems 107–11, 132–5
 - expropriation, Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 270
 - protection against, without compensation 253, 254–5
 - extraterritoriality regime, UK 333–5, 361–3
 - fair and equitable treatment (FET) Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 253, 254
 - clauses and breach of contract 230–34, 237–8
 - standards 9, 13, 67
 - fair and equitable treatment (FET) definition and challenges to historical research 179–212
 - archival research benefits and primary sources 182–3, 185, 193–4
 - conclusions lacking evidentiary support 210–11
 - contemporary knowledge in historical work 204–11
 - descriptive historical narrative, need for 193, 194
 - ‘grossly unjust’ treatment and FET, relationship between 208–9
 - historical facts as evidence for legal ends 206–7
 - indirect protectionism 188–9
 - international trade context 186–90
 - minimum standard of treatment (MST) of aliens and FET, relationship between 208–11
 - periodization problem (timeframe of research) 184–92
 - research plan, need for 194
 - strategy for defining FET 205–7
 - subject matter search 192–204
 - US economic nationalism and collectivism concerns 200–201

- US foreign investment and economic development, relationship between 195–202
- US ‘Point Four’ programme 198–9, 201–2
- US private foreign investment promotion 202–4
- US use and development of FET 180–82, 185–6, 189, 190–92 and WTO GATT ‘non-violation nullification or impairment complaint’ (NVNI), connection between 189–92
- Fasolt, C 109
- Fassbender, B 5, 18, 26, 36, 71, 74, 108, 194, 244, 287, 294, 296
- Fish, S 44, 45, 46
- Fitzmaurice, A 146, 148, 149, 154, 155, 163
- Foreign Arbitral Awards, Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of 248
- Foreign Investments Convention 267–8, 270, 271–2
- Foucalt, M 49, 325, 326
- France
 - contractual protection *see under* contractual protection in international law and diplomatic protection
 - Réclamation Decauville* 222–3
 - Réclamation Sabatier* 221
- French-German Mixed Arbitral Tribunal, *Sigwald Charles v Germany (France v Germany)* 114
- friendship, commerce and navigation (FCN) treaties 144–5, 150, 156, 157–8, 160, 162
- Gadamer, H-G 45, 49
- Gathii, J 83, 137–8, 145, 146
- genealogical approach, international minimum standard of investor conduct 325–6
- Grewe, W 18, 19, 136–7, 195, 200
- Grigg, S 78
- ‘grossly unjust’ treatment and fair and equitable treatment (FET), relationship between 208–9
- Grotius, H 138, 147, 148, 150, 151, 152–3, 158, 160, 162, 327, 334
- ‘gunboat diplomacy’ 60–61, 336–7
- Guzman, A 74–5
- Hague Peace Conferences 115, 299
- Harvard Draft Convention ... on Economic Interests of Aliens 225
- Heilperin, M 200, 203–4
- Helleiner, E 196, 197, 201, 292
- historical continuities, international minimum standard of investor conduct 361–3
- historical research challenges, and fair and equitable treatment *see* fair and equitable treatment (FET) definition and challenges to historical research
- historiography, legal theory and challenges of history in international investment law 164–5, 166–7, 171–2
- historiography of international investment law 70–101
 - authorial intent, understanding 84–5, 88, 91–2, 98–100
 - bilateral investment treaties (BITs), rise of 74–7
 - evolution of arbitral jurisprudence 74
 - future research 76–7
 - investor-state arbitration and order and continuity 72–3, 75–7
 - library research advantages 79
 - medieval rule of private reprisals 74
 - origins research 81–5, 87–9
 - origins research, criticism of 83–5
 - primary sources, use of 78–80
 - recent scholarship 71–7
 - recognizing aims of history 80–86
 - recognizing history as method 78–80, 86–7
 - scholarship improvement suggestions 77–89

- state contracts and *pacta sunt servanda* and prisoner's dilemma 75–7
- theoretical examinations 74
- historiography of international investment law, *Suez Canal Company v Egypt* 89–100, 306
- arbitration as story of imperial domination 94–6
- authorial intent, understanding 91–2, 98–100
- case identification and primary sources 90–92
- factual evidence and legal arguments, understanding 92
- historical narrative and background 92–4
- investor-state arbitration similarities 99–100
- legal principles, contestation and application 95–6
- and origins research 96–7
- and presentness of the past 99–100
- secondary sources 91
- history, investor-state contract protection and significance of history, contractual protection in international law and diplomatic protection 238–9
- history aims, recognizing aims of history, historiography of international investment law 80–86
- history challenges, and legal theory *see* legal theory and challenges of history in international investment law
- history of international investment law 3–27
 - actors and institutions, role of 14–15
 - anachronism concerns 24–5, 26
 - fair and equitable treatment (FET) standard 9, 13
 - and global expansion of European trading and investment activities 16
 - historical arguments, use of 4
 - history of events 18–19
 - human rights and denial of justice 12–13
 - instrument of critique, historical scholarship as 25–6
 - investor-state dispute settlement 7–11
 - and legal scholarship 4–6, 20–21, 111–16
 - objects and trajectories of historical inquiries 17–19
 - primary sources' role 21–3
 - protagonists' role 19–20
 - reflective uses of history 23–7
 - states' role in evolution of investment law and policy 15
 - status quo 6–16
 - substantive standards of treaties, interpretation of 8–10, 13–14
- history and international law, method and mechanism 136–63
- anachronism concerns 142–3, 148
- bilateral investment treaties (BITs) 144–5, 152–3, 156, 159–60, 161, 162–3
- colonialism and practices of 'informal empire' 138
- contextualism concerns 140–41, 142, 148–9
- diplomatic protection of alien person and property 155–6, 158, 162
- friendship, commerce and navigation (FCN) treaties 144–5, 150, 156, 157–8, 160, 162
- Grotius's theories 138, 147, 148, 150, 151, 152–3, 158, 160, 162, 327, 334
- histories of international law 139–43
- imperialism and international law 143–9, 161–3
- international lawyers, criticism of 140–41, 142, 149
- investment law 143–6
- legal training, significance of 140–41

- postcolonial critical theory and controversy 146–9, 162
- private rights and commerce 150–60, 162–3
- researchers' choices and discontinuities, effects of 141–2
- Third World Approaches to International Law (TWAAIL) mode of critique 137–8
- trading companies, treaties and doctrine 156–60
- 'turn to history' controversy 5, 6, 71, 137, 139
- Vattel's theories 54, 138, 148, 150, 151, 154–6, 158, 162, 322, 326–9, 333, 363
- Vitoria's theories 138, 143, 148, 150, 151, 152–3, 158, 162
- history and modernity, identity between 224–34
- Hudec, R 189, 191
- human rights
 - and denial of justice 12–13
 - incorporation into international investment law 360, 366
 - and state responsibility 58–9, 62–3
- ideological convictions, concerns over 166–7
- Iliff, W 305, 306
- imperialism
 - arbitration as story of imperial domination, historiography of international investment law, *Suez Canal Company v Egypt* 94–6
 - exploitation and imperialism, narratives of history of international investment law 62
 - and international law, history and international law, method and mechanism 143–9, 161–3
 - and post-colonial origins, effects of, legal theory and challenges of history in international investment law 168
- see also* colonialism
- India, *Union Carbide* 364
- indirect protectionism, fair and equitable treatment (FET) 188–9
- individuals
 - having standing to bring claims against states, principle of 301, 307
 - status and role 113–14, 119, 132–3
- Institute for Transnational Arbitration 7
- Inter-American Court of Human Rights, *Yakye Axa Indigenous Community v Paraguay* 364
- inter-state arbitration 66–7
 - Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 269, 271–3, 275–6
- International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD)
 - Loan Regulations 257, 274–5
 - MTD Equity v Chile* 356
- International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID)
 - 14–15, 55, 61–2, 67, 144, 159–60, 278, 349–51, 359
 - AAPL v Sri Lanka* 8, 103, 118, 351
 - Abaclat v Argentina* 57, 64, 116, 120, 353
 - Adel A Hamadi Al Tamimi v Sultanate of Oman* 10
 - Al Warraq v Indonesia* 365–6
 - AMT v Zaire* 118
 - Asian Agricultural Products Ltd v Republic of Sri Lanka* 103
 - BIVAC v Paraguay* 227, 232, 233
 - Biwater v Tanzania* 10, 233
 - Charanne BV and Construction Investments SARL v Kingdom of Spain* 66
 - CMS Gas Transmission Company v Argentina* 228–9
 - Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija and Vivendi Universal v Argentine Republic* 10–11, 229
 - Corn Products International v Mexico* 56
- decision-makers, composition of 129–31

- Deutsche Bank v Sri Lanka* 231
- Duke Energy v Ecuador* 227
- El Paso Energy v Argentina* 229
- Electrabel v Hungary* 64
- Fraport Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v Philippines* 357
- Impregilo v Pakistan* 228
- Inceysa Vallisoletana v El Salvador* 355
- investor-state disputes registered at and resolved 72, 73
- Lemire v Ukraine* 10
- Metal-Tech v Uzbekistan* 355, 357
- Niko Resources v Bangladesh* 355–6
- Noble Ventures v Romania* 227, 230
- OI European Group v Venezuela* 10
- Pan American Energy & BP Argentina v Argentina* 229
- Phoenix Action v The Czech Republic* 355
- Plama Consortium v Bulgaria* 355
- Poštová banka and ISTROKAPITAL v Hellenic republic* 57, 64
- RREEF Infrastructure v Kingdom of Spain* 66
- Sempra Energy v Argentina* 229
- SGS v Pakistan* 226, 227–8
- SGS v Paraguay* 227
- SGS v Philippines* 11, 229, 232–3, 352
- Soufraki v United Arab Emirates* 10
- Swisslion DOO Skopje v The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia* 10
- Vattenfall v Federal Republic of Germany* 66
- Veolia Propreté v Arab Republic of Egypt* 90
- Waste Management v Mexico* 209, 231
- World Duty Free Company v Kenya* 356
- International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) Convention creation 286–320
- and bilateral investment treaties (BITs) 308–9
- consultative procedure 310–13
- depoliticization aim 290–91
- and dispute resolution separation from substantive law 304–5
- Drafting Committee 314–17
- drafting procedure and implications 310–18
- individuals having standing to bring claims against states, principle of 301, 307
- investment insurance policy 306–7
- investor-state arbitration idea 288, 292–3
- investor-state arbitration, previous post-war proposals 299–305
- legal concept analysis considerations 296
- legal training of experts-designate 311–12
- methodological choices 293–8
- political context of drafting 298–310
- political history, promise of study of 290–93
- primary document use 295–7
- primary document use, aligning with theoretical preconceptions 297–8
- research question, defining, and doctrinal analysis 293–5
- World Bank involvement 295–6, 303–4, 305–7, 310–18
- World Bank involvement, ‘double-consent requirement’ 308–9
- World Bank involvement, initial proposals 308–10
- see also* dispute resolution
- International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) 300
- international claims commissions to investment treaty arbitration 102–35
- anachronism risk 108–11

- and bilateral investment treaties (BITs) 102–4, 121–2
- common law and past exercising normative authority over present 110
- comparative analysis 118–22
- comparative analysis, claims processing, quantity and method 120–21
- comparative analysis, dispute origin 111–13, 118
- comparative analysis, goal of peace 114–15, 119–20, 133
- comparative analysis, role of individual 119, 132–3
- evolutionary theory problems 107–11, 132–5
- international investment agreements, origins and big bang comparison 102–6
- investment treaty arbitration as ‘new development in international law’ 104–5
- judicialization of international arbitration, concerns over 133–4
- methodological problems of narrative of progress 106–11
- mixed arbitral tribunals and claims commissions 112–14
- private-public arbitration 134
- progress narrative 107–8
- source authenticity, importance of 110–11
- international claims commissions to investment treaty arbitration, decision-makers, composition of 122–32, 133
- Alabama Claims Commission 106, 112, 114–15, 119, 126–8
- evolution to investment treaty arbitration 129–31
- Jay Treaty Commissions 123–6
- Jay Treaty Commissions, *Betsey* case 125–6
- party-appointed arbitrators 130–31
- umpire approach 128–9
- international claims commissions to investment treaty arbitration, international claims commissions claims processing 115–17
- decision-makers, composition of 116–17
- and goal of peace 114–15
- mass claims commissions 115–16
- and origins of dispute 111–13
- and status of individual 113–14
- International Council for Commercial Arbitration (ICCA) 7
- International Court of Justice (ICJ)
 - Anglo-Iranian Oil Company* see *Anglo-Iranian Oil Company (UK v Iran)*
 - Barcelona Traction, Light and Power Company (Belgium v Spain)* 193, 259, 365
 - Continental Shelf* 259
 - Military and Paramilitary Activities in and Against Nicaragua (Nicaragua v United States of America)* 174
 - Nicaragua v US* 366
 - North Sea Continental Shelf* 259
 - Norwegian Loans Case (France v Norway)* 235
 - Nottebohm* 259
 - Nuclear Tests* 259
- restoration of ICJ in dispute resolution machinery, Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 275–6
- Texaco/California Asiatic Oil v Libya* 236
- international investment agreements, origins and big bang comparison 102–6
- international investment treaty practice, influence on, Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 242–3
- International Labour Organization (ILO), Tripartite Declaration Concerning Multinational Enterprises and Social Policy 348–9

- International Law Commission (ILC)
 - 13
 - Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts 47
- international lawyers, criticism of 140–41, 142, 149
- international minimum standard of investor conduct 321–66
 - arbitral institution between investors and states, consideration of 349–51
 - archaeology of censurable conduct 325
 - bilateral treaties of commerce and consular jurisdiction 334–5
 - bribery and East India Company 330–33, 363
 - censurable conduct as limitation on exercise of diplomatic protection 335–9
 - censurable conduct as limitation on exercise of diplomatic protection, and customary international law 338–9
 - ‘clean hands’ doctrine and customary international law 355–6, 365–6
 - commercial enterprise and public power separation 329–35
 - cultural differences, effects of 360, 361, 362–3
 - Drago doctrine 299, 339
 - extraterritoriality regime, UK (consular jurisdiction) 333–5, 361–3
 - genealogical approach 325–6
 - ‘gunboat diplomacy’ 336–7
 - historical continuities 361–3
 - human rights incorporation into international investment law 360, 366
- International Labour Organization (ILO), Tripartite Declaration Concerning Multinational Enterprises and Social Policy 348–9
- international minimum standard for censurable conduct 363–6
- investor conduct when quantifying damages 356–7
- Kowloon incident 333–4
- language censuring the investor for misconduct, absence of 322–3
- legal history task 324–5
- lenders’ institution consideration 349–50
- and New International Economic Order 324, 363
- Nyerere doctrine 341–2
- OECD Declaration on International Investment and Multinational Enterprises 348
- OECD, Draft Convention on the Protection of Foreign Property 342–3
- OECD Draft Protocol on Counter-Assurances proposal 346–7
- political sovereignty and economic self-determination 342
- proportionality analysis and public law 360
- public interest reintegration 359–61, 362–5
- rights of ‘borrower’ states 352–3
- rights of borrowing states and corresponding investor obligations 343–9
- state responsibility for misconduct of aliens abroad 326–9
- transnational corporations, regulation issues and UN Centre on Transnational Corporations 347–8
- UK, Parliamentary Group for World Government Report 344–5, 349
- UN Investment Charter suggestion 345–6
- international minimum standard of investor conduct, bilateral investment treaties (BITs) 351–4, 358, 359
- diplomatic protection restrictions 353–4

- first generation 353–4
- sanctioning of investor misconduct
 - in contemporary international investment law 354–8
- international minimum standard of investor conduct, investor obligations in international investment law 339–58
- confidentiality issues 358
- inadequacies 357–8
- problematization of protecting investor from decolonized state 340–43
- international trade context, fair and equitable treatment (FET) 186–90
- investment insurance policy, International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) 306–7
- investment treaty arbitration, from international claims commissions *see* international claims commissions to investment treaty arbitration
- investor conduct, minimum standard *see* international minimum standard of investor conduct
- investor protection standards, Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 252–4
- investor-state arbitration
 - Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 269, 271–3, 275–6
 - International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) 288, 292–3
 - International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID), previous post-war proposals 299–305
 - and order and continuity 72–3, 75–7
 - Suez Canal Company v Egypt* similarities 99–100
- investor-state contract protection and significance of history, contractual protection in
 - international law and diplomatic protection 238–9
- investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS)
 - history of international investment law 7–11
 - and narratives of history of international investment law 52, 54–8, 62–5
 - procedure origin, Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 242, 259–60, 263–80, 281–2
- investor-state disputes registered and resolved, International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) 72, 73
- ius dispositivum* history 174–6
 - see also* legal theory and challenges of history in international investment law
- Jay Treaty Commissions 123–6
- judicial authority, and common law 82
- judicialization of international arbitration, concerns over 133–4
- Kelsen, H 169–70
- Kemmerer, A 137, 139
- Kennedy, D 50–51, 137, 207
- Koinzer, H 308–9
- Kopper, H 307
- Koskenniemi, M 4, 5, 19, 20, 25, 36, 44, 49, 50, 52, 62, 87, 137, 141, 142, 143, 146, 147, 148, 150, 151, 152, 154, 155, 167, 185, 193, 194, 204, 206, 207, 211, 266, 293, 294, 324
- Kowloon incident 333–4
- Kulick, A 28, 41–69, 357
- La Fontaine, H 90–91
- language
 - arbitral reticence to reject an outcome that treaty language permits 215
 - censuring the investor for misconduct, absence of 322–3

- and interpretation 43–5, 49–51
 - see also* contextualism concerns
- Latin America, Calvo Doctrine 12, 60–61, 159, 324, 364
- Lauterpacht, E *see under*
 - Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention
- Lauterpacht, H 56, 57, 116, 123, 124, 127, 129, 173, 276, 279, 353
- legal biography use, Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 244–6
- legal concept analysis considerations, International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) 296
- legal ends, historical facts as evidence for 206–7
- legal historiography, legal theory and challenges of history in international investment law 164–5, 166–7, 171–2
- legal history task, international minimum standard of investor conduct 324–5
- legal principles, contestation and application, *Suez Canal Company v Egypt* 95–6
- legal scholarship, and history of international investment law 4–6, 20–21, 111–16
- legal theory and challenges of history in international investment law 164–76
 - customary international law norms 171, 173–4
 - dangers inherent in 166–70
 - and grounding of arguments 165
 - historical contingency and fragmentation 167–8
 - ideological convictions, concerns over 166–7
 - imperialism and post-colonial origins, effects of 168
 - and *ius cogens* 175
 - ius dispositivum* history 174–6
 - legal historiography 164–5, 166–7, 171–2
 - legal-scholarly ideas, use of history of 171–2
 - meta-theory and empirical studies 169–70
 - and private law 173–4
 - progress narrative concerns 166–7
 - treaty-making 173–4
 - utilization of history 171–6
 - validity-relationships and empowerment norms 168–9
- legal training
 - experts-designate, International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) 311–12
 - significance of 140–41
- lenders' institution consideration, international minimum standard of investor conduct 349–50
- Lesaffer, R 4, 19, 24, 83–4, 87, 97, 109, 110, 140–41, 166–7, 172, 173, 182, 204, 205, 212
- library research advantages 79
 - see also* archives
- Lipson, C 61, 136, 145, 159, 196–7, 199, 201, 324
- Lowenfeld, A 56, 61, 324
- Mann, FA 213, 219, 235–6, 352
- Mazower, M 291–2
- medieval rule of private reprisals 74
 - see also* historiography of international investment law
- methodological problems of narrative of progress 106–11
- Metzger, S 277–8
- Miles, K 15–16, 30, 60, 61, 62, 77, 80, 81, 82–3, 101, 103, 136–63, 167–8, 185–6, 215, 287, 295, 299, 324
- Mills, A 47–8
- minimum standard of investor conduct
 - see* international minimum standard of investor conduct
- mixed arbitral tribunals and claims commissions 112–14
 - French-German Mixed Arbitral Tribunal, *Sigwald Charles v Germany (France v Germany)* 114

- modernity
 - history and modernity, identity between 224–34
 - see also* ‘contemporary’ headings
- Montt, S 11, 58, 104
- Moorthi, K 309
- most favoured nation treatment,
 - Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 253, 255
- multinational corporations 52, 60, 62
- narrative
 - descriptive historical narrative, need for 193, 194
 - progress narrative concerns 107–8, 166–7
- narratives of history of international investment law 41–69
 - arbitrariness and diplomatic protection 54–5, 56
 - bilateral investment treaties (BITs) 55, 61–2, 64, 65–6, 67
 - capital-importing/capital-exporting country dichotomy of interests 63, 67–8
 - commercial stability solutions focus 63–4
 - construction of narratives 43–6
 - decolonization movement effects 61
 - economic development and rule of law enhancement 54–9
 - and economic liberalism 52–3
 - epistemic communities and epistemic forces 46–8, 56, 57–8
 - exploitation and imperialism 62
 - fair and equitable treatment standards 67
 - future debates 65–9
 - ‘gunboat diplomacy’ 60–61, 336–7
 - historical events as construction 45–6
 - human rights and state responsibility 58–9, 62–3
 - inter-state arbitrations 66–7
 - and investor-state dispute settlement 52, 54–8, 62–5
 - lack of historical context, effects of 53–4
 - language and interpretation 43–5, 49–51
 - meaning as social construct 44–5, 49–51
 - multinational corporations 52, 60, 62
 - narrative, story-line and non-narrative progression 51–4, 57, 58, 59
 - neoliberal capitalism and colonialism 52–3, 57, 59–64
 - New International Economic Order (NIEO) declaration 61
 - objectivity and authority projection 48–51, 57
 - political nature of disputes 56–7
 - rich and powerful, claims of bias towards 59–64
- Nasser, G 340
- Nelson, W 82, 85, 87
- neoliberal capitalism and colonialism 52–3, 57, 59–64
 - see also* colonialism
- New International Economic Order (NIEO) 61, 324, 363
- Nietzsche, F 50
- non-derogation in times of emergency,
 - Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 271
- non-payment, contractual
 - non-payment breaches and type of diplomatic support 222–4, 233
- North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) 9–10
- Nussbaum, A 18, 136, 173
- Nyerere doctrine 341–2
- O’Connell, M 114, 115
- OECD 242–3, 301–4, 305
 - Declaration on International Investment and Multinational Enterprises 348
 - Draft Convention on the Protection of Foreign Property 280–84, 342–3

- Draft Convention on the Protection of Private Foreign Investment 224
- Draft Protocol on Counter-Assurances proposal 346–7
- OEEC Committee for Invisible Transactions, Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 283
- oil industry and banking industry involvement, Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 249–50
- Oppenheim, L 171–2, 174, 336
- Orford, A 25, 86, 110, 142, 143, 149, 193, 205, 206–7, 210, 211
- origins research 81–5, 87–9, 96–7
see also archives; primary sources; research
- pacta sunt servanda* principle 75–7, 234–6
- Pahuja, S 137, 146, 147
- Paparinskis, M 13, 24, 48, 56, 57, 58, 59, 183, 185, 207, 209, 291
- Parra, A 14–15, 249, 287, 290, 295, 304, 306, 308, 311, 314, 324
- Paulsson, J 7, 12–13, 24, 53, 74, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 101, 104, 107, 131, 183, 351
- Payk, M 26, 137, 139, 140
- peace goal 114–15, 119–20, 133
see also international claims commissions to investment treaty arbitration
- periodization problem (timeframe of research) 184–92
- Permanent Court of Arbitration (PCA) 115, 299
Ecuador v United States 66–7
Hulley Enterprises (Cyprus) v Russian Federation 57
Mesa Power Group v Government of Canada 66
Philip Morris v Commonwealth of Australia 57, 66
Veteran Petroleum (Cyprus) v Russian Federation 57
Yukos Universal (Isle of Man) v Russian Federation 57, 355–7
- Permanent Court of International Justice (PCIJ)
Chorzów Factory (Germany v Poland) 247, 270–71
Mavrommatis Palestine Concessions Case (Greece v United Kingdom) 219
- Peters, A 5, 18, 26, 36, 71, 74, 108, 194, 244, 287, 294, 296, 297
- Pittard, E 336–7
- political context of drafting, International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) 298–310
- political corruption concerns 332–3
- political history, promise of study of 290–93
- political nature of disputes 56–7
- postcolonial critical theory and controversy 146–9, 162
see also colonialism
- presentness of the past, *Suez Canal Company v Egypt* 99–100
- primary sources
 archival research benefits and 182–3, 185, 193–4
 case identification and 90–92
 International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) Convention creation 295–7
 role 21–3
 source authenticity, importance of 110–11
 use, historiography of international investment law 78–80
see also archives; origins research; research
- private entity and state, arbitration between, Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 256–7, 268–9, 271–3, 278–9, 282
- private foreign investment legislation, Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 247–52
- private law, and legal scholarship 173–4

- private reprisals, medieval rule of 74
- private rights and commerce 150–60, 162–3
- private-public arbitration 134
- progress narrative concerns 107–8, 166–7
- proportionality analysis and public law 360
- protectionism
- Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 252–5, 270
 - indirect, and fair and equitable treatment (FET) 188–9
- public interest reintegration 359–61, 362–5
- see also* international minimum standard of investor conduct
- public law and proportionality analysis 360
- public power, commercial enterprise and public power separation 329–35
- Rajagopal, B 146
- Rawls, J 49
- reflective uses of history 23–7
- Reid, J 206, 210, 211–12
- reparation obligations, Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention 270–71
- replacement of proper law of contract 236–7
- see also* contractual protection in international law and diplomatic protection
- Reports of International Arbitral Awards (RIAA)
- Neer (US v Mexico)* award 8–9, 10, 208
 - Norwegian Shipowners' Claims (Norway v USA)* 61
 - Trail Smelter Arbitration (US v Canada)* 328
- research
- choices and discontinuities, effects of 141–2
 - library research advantages 79
 - origins research 81–5, 87–9, 96–7
 - periodization problem (timeframe of research) 184–92
 - plan, need for 194
 - question, defining, and doctrinal analysis 293–5
 - time effectiveness considerations 217–18
 - see also* archives; origins research; primary sources
 - rich and powerful, claims of bias towards 59–64
 - see also* narratives of history of international investment law
- Roberts, A 47, 48, 53, 104
- Root, E 321, 361, 363
- Rubin, E 89
- sanctioning of investor misconduct in contemporary international investment law 354–8
- Schill, S 3–37, 47, 48, 55, 58, 107, 120, 226, 231, 243, 249, 254, 323, 355, 359, 360
- Schreuer, C 3, 21, 56, 58, 59, 103, 118, 166, 179, 209, 225–6, 231, 291, 292, 324
- Schwarzenberger, G 125, 186–7, 277, 288, 301, 342
- Schwebel, S 183, 236, 351
- Seidl-Hohenveldern, I 225, 277
- Shapcott, R 45
- Shawcross, H *see* Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention
- Shihata, I 56, 107, 290
- Sinclair, A 241–2, 263, 265–6
- Skinner, Q 24, 84–5, 108–9, 140, 148–9
- Skouteris, T 26, 71–2, 74, 141
- Smith, A 331
- social construct, meaning as 44–5, 49–51
- Sornarajah, M 52, 60, 62, 64, 103, 136, 156, 159, 171, 234, 237, 238, 323, 353
- sources, primary *see* primary sources
- state contracts and *pacta sunt servanda* 75–7

- state responsibility and human rights
58–9, 62–3
see also human rights
- state responsibility for misconduct of
aliens abroad 326–9
see also international minimum
standard of investor conduct
- substantive law, dispute resolution
separation from 304–5
- substantive standards of treaties,
interpretation of 8–10, 13–14
- Suez Canal Company v Egypt see*
historiography of international
investment law, *Suez Canal*
Company v Egypt
- Suez Canal nationalization, and
Abs-Shawcross Draft Convention
340–41
- Sullivan, C 182, 209
- Third World Approaches to
International Law (TWAAIL) 16,
137–8
- Thorp, W 198, 199, 200
- timeframe of research 184–92
see also research
- Trachtenberg, M 21, 80, 194, 298
- trade
commercial enterprise and public
power separation 329–35
commercial stability solutions focus
63–4
fair and equitable treatment (FET)
and international trade context
186–90
trading companies, treaties and
doctrine 156–60
UNCITRAL *see* UNCITRAL
- Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) 76, 77
- Transatlantic Trade and Investment
Partnership Agreement (TTIP)
66, 76, 77
- transnational corporations, regulation
issues 347–8
- treaty claims, contract claims and
treaty claims, distinction between
229, 232–4
- treaty protection, diplomatic
protection to treaty protection
shift 214–15
- ‘turn to history’ controversy 5, 6, 71,
137, 139
- UK
Alabama Claims Commission 106,
112, 114–15, 119, 126–8
Britain (Finlay) v Greece 151, 159
Claims of Mr Pacifico upon the
Portuguese Government (Great
Britain v Greece) 151
contractual protection *see under*
contractual protection in
international law and
diplomatic protection
- East India Act 332
- extraterritoriality regime 333–5,
361–3
- Jay Treaty Commissions 123–6
- Model Investment Promotion and
Protection Agreement (IPPA)
351–2
- Parliamentary Group for World
Government Report 344–5, 349
- Regulating Act 331–2
- Sicilian Sulphur Monopoly Case,*
Britain v The Kingdom of the
Two Sicilies 151, 159
- Tyler against the Government of*
Austria/United States 223
- umbrella clauses 226–30, 237–8,
241–2, 254, 262–3, 265–6
- UN Centre on Transnational
Corporations 347–8
- UN Investment Charter suggestion
345–6
- UNCITRAL 248
Bilcon of Delaware v Canada 9
CME v Czech Republic 55
decision-makers, composition of
130
Glamis Gold v United States 9–10
Merrill & Ring Forestry v Canada
9
Mesa Power Group v Canada 9

- Methanex Corporation v United States of America* 10
- Oxus Gold v The Republic of Uzbekistan* 226, 227
- Paushok v Mongolia* 64
- US
- Alabama Claims Commission 106, 112, 114–15, 119, 126–8
 - censurable conduct and diplomatic protection 336–8
 - contractual protection *see under* contractual protection in international law and diplomatic protection
 - diplomatic protection history 335–6
 - economic nationalism and collectivism concerns 200–201
 - fair and equitable treatment (FET) 180–82, 185–6, 189, 190–92, 195–204, 208–11
 - foreign investment and economic development, relationship between 195–202
 - friendship, commerce and navigation (FCN) treaty programme 15
 - ‘gunboat diplomacy’ 336–7
 - Jay Treaty Commissions 123–6
 - La Abra Silver Mining Co v United States* 337–8
 - La Constancia* 336
 - Lawrence* 338
 - minimum standard of treatment (MST) of aliens 208–11
 - ‘Point Four’ programme 198–9, 201–2
 - private foreign investment promotion 202–4
 - Ross v McIntyre* 361
 - Young v United States* 338
- validity-relationships and empowerment norms 168–9
- see also* legal theory and challenges of history in international investment law
- Van Harten, G 62, 103, 104–5, 323
 - Vanderzee, L 114
 - Vandevelde, K 3, 11, 15, 55, 58, 59, 136, 156, 180, 195, 196, 287, 295, 299
 - Vattel, E de 54, 138, 148, 150, 151, 154–6, 158, 162, 322, 326–9, 333, 363
 - Venezuela Mixed Claims Commissions 128
 - Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT) 8, 43–4, 215, 230, 235
 - Vitoria, F de 138, 143, 148, 150, 151, 152–3, 158, 162
 - von Bernstorff, J 20, 139
 - von Savigny, F 174
 - Wälde, T 21–2, 362
 - Walker, H 186, 191, 203
 - Webber, J 193, 212
 - Weiler, T 13–14, 24, 73, 80, 183, 185, 207, 209, 324
 - White, H 43
 - Wilson, R 182, 191
 - Windscheid, B 174
 - Woods, G 303, 314, 315
 - World Bank
 - and Bretton Woods negotiations 196–7, 292
 - and investor-state arbitration 289
 - World Bank involvement, International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) Convention creation 295–6, 303–4, 305–7, 310–18
 - ‘double-consent requirement’ 308–9
 - initial proposals 308–10
 - World Trade Organization (WTO), GATT ‘non-violation nullification or impairment complaint’ (NVNI) 189–92
 - Yackee, J 12, 22, 29, 35, 70–101, 293, 365