

Index

- access
 - to court 71–77
 - restriction to, freedom of expression as 48–50
- acquis*, legislative 144–174
 - adaptation right claim to all categories of work 163
 - authors, rights of 152–153, 165
 - constitutionalization of European copyright law *see* constitutionalization of European copyright law
 - exceptions permitted under 156, 161
 - flexibility in 161
 - international investment agreements 485–486
 - interpretation 155
 - ‘missing’ rights in 167
 - moral rights 168
 - parody exception 158–159
 - scope of rights granted under 151
- adaptation right claim, copyright 163–168
- Administrative Council, Select Committee 209
- administrative law judges (ALJs), US 359–360
- adversarial proceedings, and equality of arms 79–80
- Advisory Center on Investor–State Disputes (ACISD) 487–488
- Advisory Centre on WTO law (ACWL) 487
- AEPI S.A. (société hellénique pour la protection des droits d’auteur) v. Greece* 48–49
- Africa
 - African Union, recognition of property rights 127
 - Banjul Charter of Human and People’s Rights 124, 127
- Agreement on a Unified Patent Court *see* Unified Patent Court Agreement (UPCA)
- Agreement on the European Union Patent Judiciary, draft 218
- Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPs)
 - computer programming and copyright protection 123
 - and Court of Justice of the European Union 237
 - and enforcement of IP rights 91–92
 - freedom of expression and information 134–135
 - health, right to 134–135
 - and investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS) 468, 472–473, 492
 - non-WTO norms 510, 512
 - object and purpose 449, 454
 - past disputes before Appellate Body 505–509
 - Bacardi* case 505, 508–509
 - Canada 506–508
 - India 506
 - plain packaging cases 509–511
 - plant variety rights 309
 - TRIPS-plus agreements 92–93, 470, 483–484
 - and Vienna Convention 512
 - wording 458, 461
 - and World Trade Organization 447, 448, 450–452, 495
 - Appellate Body 503, 505–511
- Agreement relating to Community Patents (1989) *see* Luxembourg Agreement (1989)

- Akdeniz v. Turkey* 48
- Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v CLS Bank Intern* 435
- Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) centres 255, 256, 263
- American Cyanamid Co (No 1) v Ethicon Ltd* 496
- amicus curiae* briefs 245–250, 252, 406–407, 478
see also third party intervention
- Japan 406–408
- United States 245, 246, 394
- Anheuser-Busch Inc. v Portugal* 30, 32, 34, 94, 110, 111, 114, 127
- Anti-Counterfeiting Trade Agreement 474
- Anton Piller orders 63
- ANVISA (Brazilian National Health Surveillance Agency) 484
- appeal proceedings
 administrative continuity principle 320, 321
- Boards of Appeal *see* Boards of Appeal (BoA); Community Plant Variety Office (CPVO); European Patent Office (EPO)
- entitlement to appeal 316–317
- ex officio* examination 295–296
- ex parte* appeals 294, 318, 352
- extent of examination 295–296
- initial stages 294–295
- inter partes* cases *see inter partes* review
- locus standi* 316
- main function 292–293
- opposition proceedings and appeals 290
- recognition of legitimacy 294
- schematic overview of EPO procedure 291
- statistical information 304–305
- suspensory effect of appeal 315–316
- US Court of Appeals for Federal Circuit 392–393
- Appellate Body *see* World Trade Organization (WTO)
- Aral, Tekin and Aral v. Turkey* 24, 25, 34
- Ashby Donald and Others v. France* 44–48, 87
- Aurora Srl v CPVO (M2205 case)* 319
- Australia 510, 513
- Austria 275
- authors, rights of 46, 68, 121
 adaptation right claim to all categories of work 163–168
 constitutionalization 152–153, 162–173
 moral rights, claim to protection of 168–173
- Azerbaijani Copyright Law 30
- Bacardi* case (Section 211 Omnibus Appropriations Act of 1998) 505, 508–509
- Baker, Brook 473–474
- Bălan v. Moldova* 17, 23, 113–114
- Basic Regulation *see* plant variety rights
- Basset v SACEM* 184
- B.A.T. v. Switzerland* 82
- Baucus, Max 360
- Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works (1886) 21, 122, 453, 455
- BeSoft* 187
- bifurcation system, patents
 Germany 398–400, 421
 removal 398, 399–401, 421–423
- bilateral investment treaties (BITs) 128
- Biotech Directive, preliminary rulings requests 237, 238
- Boards of Appeal (BoA)
see also appeal proceedings; Rules of Procedure of Boards of Appeal (RPBA)
- Community Plant Variety Office (CPVO) 308, 330–333
 appeal proceedings 317–323
 composition of BoA 312
 constitution 330

- decisions subject to appeal 315–316
- diligence from CPVO, ensuring 326
- entitlement to appeal 316–317
- and EU plant variety right system 323–326
- few appeals 325–326
- functioning of 311–323
- guidance on interpreting legal framework 323–325
- independence 313–314, 330–331
- position of 331–333
- quasi-judicial body 311–312
- scope of competence 333–336
- set-up 311–314
- transparency, contributing to 326
 - see also* appeal proceedings;
 - Boards of Appeal (BoA);
 - Community Plant Variety Office (CPVO); plant variety rights
- Enlarged Board of Appeal (EBoA) 247, 250, 294, 299
- European Patent Office (EPO) 275, 289–307, 350, 351, 366
 - composition of BoAs 291–292
 - influence of Rules of Procedure on decisions 298–306
 - inter partes* appeals 291
 - judicial autonomy 292–294
 - Rules of Procedure of Boards of Appeal (RPBA) 289, 290, 296–298
- European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) 321, 337–355
 - Cancellation Division 337
 - composition of BoA 342, 351–352
 - filter function 354–355
 - functional continuity concept 338–339
 - functions of Boards 337, 354–355
 - Grand Board 341–342, 351–352
 - legal basis 351
 - legal nature of Boards 338–345
 - manifest errors of assessment 347
 - Opposition Division 337
 - partiality 344–345
 - President 339
 - Presidium 339, 342, 352
 - quasi-judicial nature of Boards 340, 348, 352
 - Registrar 342
 - review function 352–353
 - Rules of Procedure 350
 - standard of review of decisions of the Boards 345–349
 - Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market (OHIM) 346, 348
- bright line rules, patents 415, 419, 420, 425
- British Horseracing Board* 185
- British-American Tobacco* 78
- BRT v SABAM* 184
- Brussels Convention 1968, Protocol of 1971 annexed to 214
- Butterfly Music* 185
- Canada
 - see also Canada-Pharmaceuticals* (WTO panel report); *CCH Canadian Ltd v Law Society of Upper Canada*; *Eli Lilly v Government of Canada*
 - Patent Act 506, 507
 - and TRIPS Agreement 506–508
 - Canada-Pharmaceuticals* (WTO panel report) 448–449, 454
- case law
 - active case management of the UPC 233, 234–239
 - Court experts 235–236
 - requests for preliminary rulings from CJEU 236–239
 - third party intervention 236
 - Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) 197–198
 - recognition of property rights 127–129
- Cassis de Dijon* 176

- CCH Canadian Ltd v Law Society of Upper Canada* 500
- Cefetra* 195–196
- Center for International Intellectual Property Studies (CEIPI), University of Strasbourg 5, 308
- Chappell v. the United Kingdom* 62–63
- Charter of Fundamental Rights, EU
see also fundamental rights
 classical rule-exception model 139
 constitutionalization 148–152, 154, 155
 copyright exceptions 160, 161
 rights of authors and others 167–173
 impact 136–141
 legal nature of property rights 129, 132
 preliminary rulings requests 237, 238
 primary law 134
 recognition of property rights 124, 126, 128, 164
 restrictions of human rights 139–140
- Chien, Colleen V 245
- China, specialized intellectual property courts in 271
- China – Measures affecting Trading Rights and Distribution Services for Certain Publications and Audiovisual Entertainment Products* 133
- CJEU *see* Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)
- Clinton, Hillary 464
- Coditel v Ciné Vog Films* 183
- Collins and Patricia Im-und Export/Imtrat and EMI Electrola* 184
- Commission Delegated Regulation 344
- Commission of Human Rights, former
see European Commission of Human Rights (ECommHR), former
- common law
 judicial policy making outside 499–500
 United States 361, 427–428, 436–437
 WTO Appellate Body as common law court 502–503
- Community Intellectual Property Court 214
see also Community Patent Court
- Community Patent 207–208, 213
- Community Patent Appeal Court
 Common to Contracting States (COPAC) 211–212
- Community Patent Convention (CPC 1975) 207–211, 227–228
 disputes between member states
 regarding interpretation 209–210
 and European Court of Justice 208–210
 experiment 431
 international character 210
- Community Patent Court, proposed 211, 270
 Council Decision establishing 214–215, 228
- Community Patent Regulation (CPR 2000) 213–215
 outcome 217
 and TFEU 213
- Community Plant Variety Office (CPVO)
 Administrative Council 310, 312, 330, 331
 applications received 309
 Boards of Appeal (BoA) 308
 appeal proceedings 317–323
 composition 312
 constitution 330
 control of administrative activities of the CPVO 329
 decisions subject to appeal 315–316
 diligence from CPVO, ensuring 326
 entitlement to appeal 316–317

- and EU plant variety right system 323–326
- few appeals 325–326
- ‘filter’ function for access to CJEU 325
- fumus boni iuris* 315
- functioning of 311–323
- guidance on interpreting legal framework 323–325
- independence 313–314, 330–331
- legal protection system for plant variety rights 328–336
- as OHIM bodies 332, 335
- oral proceedings 321–322
- periculum in mora* 315
- position of 331–333
- quasi-judicial bodies 311–312
- Registrar/Registry 314, 321
- removal of Board Member from office 331
- scope of competence 333–336
- set-up 311–314
- suspensory effect of appeal 315–316
- and TFEU 311, 333
- transparency, contributing to 326
- see also* appeal proceedings; Boards of Appeal (BoA); plant variety rights
- Chairmen 330
- Committees 313, 318
- diligence from, ensuring 326
- Examination Offices 310–311, 324
- Official Gazette 317
- quality audit service (QAS) 310–311
- Rectification Committee 318
- technical protocols 311
- Community Plant Variety Right (CPVR) 347
- criteria to grant 310–311
- Community Trade Mark Regulation 338, 354
- competition law
 - balancing IP rights with 192–196
 - Cefetra* 195–196
 - ‘exceptional circumstances’ doctrine 193–195
 - IMS Health* 194–195
 - Magill* 193–194
 - Volvo v Veng* 192–193
 - special rules in EU law 250–253
- Competitiveness Council 218, 225, 229
- Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership (CPTPP) 465, 479
- Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (EU and Canada) 128–129
- compulsory licensing 19–23
- constitutionalization of European copyright law 9, 144
- Charter of Fundamental Rights 148–152, 154, 155, 160, 161
- copyright exceptions 160, 161
- rights of authors and others 167–173
- European copyright law 145–155
- fair balance between competing rights 150, 151, 153, 155, 158–160, 162, 166
- fair use doctrine 160
- freedom of expression and information 153–154, 161
- harmonization 162–163
- human right to property 135–136
- Information Society Directive 146, 151, 152, 154, 157, 163
- parody exception 158–159
- potential consequences
 - adaptation right claim to all categories of work 163–168
 - flexibility in list of exceptions and limitations 159–162
 - mandatory exceptions and limitations 156–159
 - moral rights, claim to protection of 168–173
 - rights of authors and others 162–173
 - related rights 145
- contractual disputes 24–27
- Copydan Båndkopi* 154

- copyright
 - adaptation right claim to all categories of work 163–168
 - authors and others, rights of 162–173
 - bona fide* element 189
 - CJEU intervention in cases, phases 183–186
 - ‘communication to the public’ concept 189
 - computer programming and copyright protection 123
 - constitutionalization
 - of European copyright law 145–155
 - potential consequences 156–173
 - digital 140
 - exceptions and limitations
 - flexibility in list of 159–162
 - mandatory 156–159
 - exhaustion rule 183–184
 - freedom of expression and information
 - file-sharing on Internet and website blocking 44–48
 - impact of Convention’s rights on copyright law 37–50
 - monopoly of on information and freedom of expression of ‘infringers’ 38–44
 - and moral rights 170
 - The Pirate Bay (TPB) file-sharing service 45–48
 - restriction to access, freedom of expression as 48–50
 - harmonization 138
 - and human right to property 116–142
 - assessment and prospects 134–142
 - Charter of Fundamental Rights, EU 126, 136–141
 - constitutional approach 135–136
 - Gutenberg Age 120
 - historical background 120–121
 - hyperlinking 118, 119, 141–142
 - intellectual property rights (IPRs) 118, 119, 123, 124, 128, 130, 131, 133, 139, 142
 - legal fragmentation 121–124
 - legal nature of property rights 129–134
 - non-profit vs. commercial use 118–119
 - recognition of property rights 124–129
- infringement
 - direct and indirect 189
 - file-sharing on Internet and website blocking 44–48
 - monopoly of copyright on information and freedom of expression of ‘infringers’ 38–44
 - interpretation of directives 185
 - moral rights, claim to protection of 168–173
 - and non-discrimination 184
 - originality criterion 186–187
 - printing, monopoly rights granted for 120
 - secondary law 185
 - subject-matter 15, 123–124, 138, 185
 - territoriality principle 184
- Coty Germany GmbH v Stadtparkasse Magdeburg* 166, 167
- Council Legal Service 218, 219, 221
- Council of Europe, recognition of property rights 126
- Court of First Instance (EU)
 - see also* General Court
 - Amendments to Rules of Procedure 338
 - and Boards of Appeal 350
 - Court of First Instance, UPC 365, 378, 424, 431–432
 - President 366
- Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) 9–10
 - see also* European Court of Justice (ECJ)
 - assessment of restrictions of the four freedoms 137

- as a co-legislator 178–183
- and Community Patent Convention 227–228
- EU law
 - autonomous concepts 177–178
 - general principles 176–177
- formal role 176
- intellectual property law made by 175–206
 - balancing IP protection with other fundamental rights 189–192
 - case law, dynamic of 197–198
 - example of new concepts of IP law discovered 186–189
 - and free movement of goods 178–180, 179, 183, 184, 186
 - and intellectual property rights (IPRs) 179, 186, 189, 192–196
 - intervention in copyright cases, three phases 183–186
 - limits of interpretative activism and creativity 196–197
- and legal nature of property rights 131–132
- limits of interpretative activism and creativity 196–197
- need for changing adjudication system, whether 199–201
- Opinion 1/09 222–227
 - amendment of ECPC Agreement with view to 224–227
- option for specialized chamber in IP law 201–205
- patent court system, role in 207–229
 - creation of a common European patent and European patent court, historical overview 207–221
- plant variety rights 325
- preliminary rulings requests from 235, 236–239
- and TFEU 176, 181–183, 202
- trademarks, freedom of expression 58–59
- and TRIPS Agreement 237
- and Unified Patent Court (UPC) 368–369, 375–376
- Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (1966) 125, 129
- CPC *see* Community Patent Convention (CPC 1975)
- CPVO *see* Community Plant Variety Office (CPVO)
- criminal proceedings, fair trial guarantees applicable to IP proceedings 87–88
- De Geillustreerde Pers N.V. v. the Netherlands* 39–41, 43, 68
- Deckmyn v Vandersteen* 154, 157–158, 177–178, 205
- Declaration on Rights of Indigenous Peoples (2007) 125–126
- Denev v. Sweden* 86
- Denmark, technical judges 275
- Deutsche Grammophon* 180, 183
- digital environment, file-sharing on Internet and website blocking 44–48
- Dima v. Romania* 15–16, 17, 23, 29, 83
- Dinwoodie, Graeme 485
- Directmedia* 185
- Dispute Settlement Body (DSB) *see* World Trade Organization (WTO)
- Dispute Settlement Understanding (DSU) *see* World Trade Organization (WTO)
- disputes
 - administrative bodies, adjudication by 349
 - Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) centres 255, 256, 263
 - Community Patent Convention, interpretation 209–210
 - contractual 24–27
 - dispute resolution systems and UPC 256–257
 - infringement and claims for royalties 27–30

- investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS) 466–474
- interpretation-based weaknesses 469–470
- outcome-based weaknesses 470–474
- process-based weaknesses 467–469
- Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) Agreement 463–465
- ownership 30–34
- patent infringement 215
 - between private parties, state failure to regulate 23–35
 - revocation 215
 - TRIPs Agreement before WTO Appellate Body 505–509
- Doctrine of Equivalents (DOE) 436, 437
- Doha Declaration on Public Health 449
- Donaldson v. Beckett* 130
- Dreyfuss, Rochelle Cooper 386, 414, 458, 478, 485
- droit d'auteur* concept 186
- Duffy, J F 414
- Dumitru Gheorghe v. Romania* 71–72
- DUS (distinctness, uniformity and stability), plant variety rights 310, 324, 326
- eBay, Inc., v. MercExchange* litigation 3
- EC-Bananas (European Communities—Regime for the Importation, Sale and Distribution of Bananas)* 503
- ECHR *see* European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR)
- ECJ *see* European Court of Justice (ECJ)
- E-Commerce Directive 191
- Economic Partnerships (EPAs) 92
- ECPC Agreement *see* European and Community Patents Court Agreement (ECPC Agreement)
- ECtHR *see* European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)
- Edwards (Paul and Audrey) v. UK* 109
- effectiveness principle (*effet utile*) 178
- Egeda/Hoasa* 185
- Eli Lilly v Government of Canada* 459, 463–464, 466, 471
 - see also* investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS)
- EMI Electrola v Patricia Im-und Export and Others* 183
- enforcement of intellectual property rights 91–115
 - ambiguous nature of property rights in the ECHR 95–98
 - ECtHR supranational jurisdiction, scope 99–106
 - margin of appreciation 94, 99–103
 - subsidiarity principle 103–106
 - European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) 91–115
 - approach to enforcement 106–110
 - supranational jurisdiction, scope 99–106
- enforcement of judicial decisions 88
- Enlarged Board of Appeal (EBoA) 289, 294, 299
 - third party intervention 247, 250
- entitlement to intellectual property, non-recognition 14–18, 165
- EPO *see* European Patent Office (EPO)
- equality of arms, and adversarial proceedings 79–80
- EU law
 - autonomous concepts 177–178
 - competition law, special rules 250–253
 - general principles 176–177
- EUIPO *see* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)
- European and Community Patents Court Agreement (ECPC Agreement)
 - amendment with view to Opinion 1/09 (CJEU) 224–227

- and Benelux Court of Justice 223
- draft 220, 223
- revision of Article 48 220
- European Chemical Agency 347
- European Commission 43, 50, 221, 457, 490
 - communication paper (1999) 213
 - and competition law 247
 - and Court of Justice of the European Union 181–182
 - Green Paper (1997) 212, 213
 - Legal Service 224, 225, 228
 - plant variety rights 309–310
 - and Unified Patent Court (UPC) 225, 251
- European Commission of Human Rights (ECommHR), former 13, 25
 - access to court 74
 - freedom of expression and information 42–43, 51–52
 - independence and impartiality 78–79
 - and margin of appreciation doctrine 99
 - non-discrimination 68, 69
 - recognition of property rights 127
- European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR)
 - accession of EU to 10
 - adoption (1950) 97
 - ambiguous nature of property rights in 95–98
 - Article 6 70–88
 - Article 8 62–68
 - Article 10 36–62
 - invoked on the part of the rightholders 48–50
 - Article 14 68–70
 - horizontal effect 14, 23, 33
 - impact of right to freedom of expression on copyright law 37–50
 - file-sharing on Internet and website blocking 44–48
 - freedom of expression as restriction to access 48–50
 - monopoly of copyright on information and freedom of expression of ‘infringers’ 38–44
 - legal nature of property rights 129
 - Protocol No. 1, Article 1 13
 - constitutionalization 150
 - copyright and human right to property 126
 - enforcement of intellectual property rights 94, 95, 98, 101–102, 108, 111–115
 - state failure to regulate IP disputes between private parties 24, 26–29, 33–34
 - state interference with exercise of intellectual property rights 16, 17, 19, 23
 - Protocol No. 15, Article 1 104–105
 - search orders, effects on right to respect for private life 62–66
 - subsidiarity principle 104
 - violations of 94, 105–107, 115
- European Council, Community Patent Court, decision establishing 214–215
- European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)
 - see also* enforcement of intellectual property rights
 - appeal proceedings, recognition of legitimacy 294
 - as archetype ‘judicial authority’ 93
 - assessment of restrictions of the four freedoms 137
 - authors, rights of, 169, 171
 - Contracting States 100–102
 - enforcement of intellectual property rights 94, 110–115
 - approach to enforcement 106–110
 - fair trial guarantees, applicable to IP proceedings 70–88
 - freedom of expression and information 36–62
 - copyright law 37–50
 - trademarks 50–62
 - Grand Chamber 94, 105, 110–113

- human right to property as basis for protection of economic interests of IP holder 13–35
- increased involvement in IP law regulation 12
- international organizations, claims against (EPO) 34–35
- judicial restraint 100
- jurisdiction 93–94, 100
- Legal Affairs Committee 97
- and legal nature of property rights 129–134
- limited power to review alleged errors of fact or law 16–17
- non-discrimination 68–70
- privacy rights 62–68
- state failure to regulate IP disputes between private parties 23–35
- state interference with exercise of intellectual property rights 14–23
- supranational jurisdiction, scope 99–106
 - margin of appreciation 94, 99–103
 - subsidiarity principle 103–106
- and technical judges 285
- third party intervention 246
- European Court of Justice (ECJ) *see also* Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU)
- and Community Patent Convention 208–210
- function 219
- preliminary rulings 214
- European Economic Community (EEC), founding of (1957) 123
- European Intellectual Property Institutes Network (EIPIN) 5
- European Patent Convention (EPC)
 - access to court 76
 - appeal proceedings
 - Boards of Appeal (BoA) of EPO 293–294
 - extent of examination 295–296
 - and Community Patent Convention 208, 209
- Contracting States 34, 215
- experiment 430
- harmonization policy 387–388
- Implementing Regulations 294
- interpretation 293
- legal framework 290
- European Patent Court, proposed 228, 229, 282
 - creation of a common European patent and European patent court, historical overview 207–221
 - Community Patent Convention (1975) 207–211
 - Community Patent Regulation (CPR 2000) 213–215, 217
 - Council decision establishing the Community Patent Court 214–215
 - European Patent Litigation Agreement (EPLA), draft 215–216, 217
 - genesis of European and Community Patent Court proposal 218–221
 - Luxembourg Agreement (1989) 211–212
 - outcome of initial Community patent initiatives 212–213
 - Opinion 1/09 (CJEU) 222, 225
 - and technical judges of UPC 282, 286
- European Patent Judiciary (EPJ), proposals for 215–216
- European Patent Litigation Agreement (EPLA), draft 215–216
 - negotiations 281–282
 - outcome 217
 - technical judges 281–282
- European Patent Office (EPO)
 - appeal proceedings 231–232, 289–307
 - Boards of Appeal (BoA) 275, 289–307, 350, 351, 366
 - composition 291–292
 - influence of Rules of Procedure on decisions 298–306
 - inter partes* appeals 291
 - judicial autonomy 292–294

- Rules of Procedure of Boards of Appeal (RPBA) 289, 290, 296–298
- case law on patentability 388, 425
- claims against 14, 34–35
- and ‘European Patents’ 430
- executive 232
- Guidelines for examination 292, 293, 295
- languages 282–283
- President 293
- procedural rules in appeal proceedings *see* appeal proceedings
- Revocation Board 208
- Revocation Division 208
- schematic overview of EPO procedure 291
- and Unified Patent Court (UPC) 231–232, 378–379
- Working Party on Litigation (WPL) 215
- European Patent Organization 216
 - Administrative Council 296
- European Trade Mark Regulation (EUTMR) 341, 343, 350, 351
- European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)
 - appeals against decisions of 201
 - Boards of Appeal (BoA) 321, 329, 337–355
 - Cancellation Division 337
 - composition 342, 351–352
 - filter function 354–355
 - functional continuity concept 338–339
 - functions of 337, 354–355
 - Grand Board 341–342, 351–352
 - legal basis 351
 - legal nature 338–345
 - manifest errors of assessment 347
 - Opposition Division 337
 - partiality 344–345
 - President 339
 - Presidium 339, 342, 352
 - quasi-judicial nature 340, 344, 348, 352
 - Registrar 342
 - review function 352–353
 - Rules of Procedure 350
 - standard of review of decisions 345–349
 - and General Court 337, 341, 345, 346, 348, 353–355
 - Management Board 344
 - Evans v Gore* 371
 - evidence
 - administration of 80–81
 - new, in appeal proceedings 300–304
 - ex parte* appeals 294, 318, 352
 - ‘exceptional circumstances’ doctrine
 - competition law, balancing IP rights with 193–195
 - IMS Health* 194–195
 - Magill* 193–194
 - exhaustion rule
 - copyright 183–184
 - and Court of Justice of the European Union 175, 178–179, 181, 183, 184, 186
 - international exhaustion 140, 146
 - regional exhaustion 123, 138, 146, 147, 153
 - expertise (patents)
 - adversarial systems 268
 - expert witnesses 267
 - inquisitorial systems 268
 - integration of technical expertise and adjudication 270–271
 - party and court-appointed experts 268–270, 275, 277
 - Unified Patent Court (UPC) 235–236
 - fair balance principle,
 - constitutionalization 150, 151, 153, 155, 158–160, 162, 166
 - fair trial
 - access to court 71–77
 - criminal proceedings 87–88
 - enforcement of final judicial decision 88
 - equality of arms and adversarial proceedings 79–80

- European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO), BoA 344
- evidence, administration of 80–81
- guarantees applicable to IP proceedings 70–88
 - reasonable time guarantee 83–86
- independence and impartiality 77–79
- oral hearing 82
- reasoned judicial decision 82–83
- res judicata* 77
- technical judges and right to fair trial 278
- fair use doctrine 160
- Federal Circuit, US
 - see also* United States
 - appeals from 362
 - caseload 362
 - and Congress 371
 - contributions of 395–399
 - Court of Appeals of Federal Circuit (CAFC) 246, 392–393
 - critiques/reform proposals 412–416
 - mechanisms incorporated in Japanese IP Court for addressing US Federal Court critiques 416–420, 421, 426
 - en banc* hearing 393
 - first 30 years 362–364
 - formation (1982) 360
 - forum selection concerns 376
 - Framers 371–372
 - IP jurisprudence 380
 - judges 380, 381–382
 - jurisdiction, patents 361–362, 379, 413, 414–416
 - mechanisms incorporated in Japanese IP High Court in for addressing critiques of Federal Circuit 416–420, 421, 426
 - structural considerations 376
 - and Supreme Court 370, 372
 - Teaching-Suggestion-Motivation test 418
 - uniformity goal 370, 417–418
- Feeley, Malcolm 498
- Feist Publications, Inc., v. Rural Telephone Service Co* 500
- Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co.* 246
- file-sharing on Internet, and copyright infringement 44–48
- Fink, Carsten 483
- Finland
 - Board of Patents and Registers 79
 - District Court 80
 - equality of arms, and adversarial proceedings 79–80
- Fixtures Marketing Ltd v Svenska Spel AB* 185
- Football Association Premier League* 186, 187
- Football Dataco* 186
- foreign direct investment (FDI) 482–483
- forum selection, UPC 376–377
- forum shopping 300, 377, 415
 - eliminating/preventing 360, 361, 376, 397, 476
 - encouraging 379, 391
- Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC) 510
- France
 - access to court 74
 - Civil Code 499
 - claim by public national television channel (France 2) 41–43, 46
 - Cour de cassation* 218, 500
 - criminal proceedings, guarantees in 87
 - Déclaration des Droits de l'Homme et du Citoyen* (1789) 121
 - 'Declaration of the Rights of Genius' 121
 - freedom of expression and information 44–48
 - Intellectual Property Code 42, 45
 - Supreme Court 51–52
 - trademarks, freedom of expression 51–52
 - France 2 see Société Nationale de Programmes FRANCE 2 v. France*
- Franck, Susan 491

- FRAND (fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory declaration) 407
- Frankel, Susy 478, 511
- free movement of goods 123, 172, 237, 430
and Court of Justice of the European Union 178–180, 179, 183, 184, 186
- free trade agreements (FTAs) 92, 93
- 'free use' doctrine, Germany 158
- freedom of expression and information
constitutionalization 153–154, 161
copyright
file-sharing on Internet and website blocking 44–48
impact of Convention's rights on copyright law 37–50
monopoly of on information and freedom of expression of 'infringers' 38–44
and moral rights 170
The Pirate Bay (TPB) file-sharing service 45–48
restriction to access, freedom of expression as 48–50
- hyperlinking 118
- internet, role of 118
- and IP protection 36–62
- and property rights, 153–154
- and regional exhaustion rule 147
- trademarks
refusal of registration as challenge to applicant's freedom of expression 54–62
unauthorized use, justifiability on basis of free expression 50–54
- Friendly, Henry 266
- Frischtak, Claudio 483
- fundamental rights
see also Charter of Fundamental Rights, EU
balancing IP protection with 189–192
- Gala Schnico* 319
- G.D. & M. v. Germany; Melnychuk v. Ukraine* 34
- Geddes, Katrina 473–474
- GEMA v Commission I and II* 184
- General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) 448, 494–495, 502
copyright and human right to property 133, 134
- General Court 201
and Community Plant Variety Office (CPVO) 320, 322–323, 325
and European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) 337, 341, 345, 346, 348, 353–355
Rules of Procedure 320, 322
- Germany
bifurcation system 398–400, 421
Federal Patent Court 275
parodies, permitting under 'free use' doctrine 158
Patent Court 405
patent expertise 269
Patent Litigation System 276
Revocation Boards 276
Störerhaftung doctrine, trade mark cases 500
technical judges 275–276
- Google Spain* 188
- Gordon, Richard 229
- Grosse Ruse-Khan, Henning 511
- Grundig–Consten* 175, 180, 181, 183
- GS Media BV v. Sanoma Media* 131–132, 141, 188–190
- Gugliuzza, P R 416
- Hand, Learned 438
- harmonization
constitutionalization of European copyright law 162–163
copyright and human right to property 138
and Court of Justice of the European Union 178, 185

- European Patent Convention (EPC)
 - 387–388
 - interpretation of international instruments 442
- Ho, Cynthia 489
- Holdfast NZ Ltd v Henkel KGaA* 500
- Hotchkiss v Greenwood* 395–396
- Hughenoltz, P B 41
- human right to property *see* property rights
- Hume, David 129
- Hungary, technical judges 275
- hyperlinking 118, 119
 - and Court of Justice of the European Union 188–189
 - testing case of 141–142
- impartiality, fair trial guarantees
 - applicable to IP proceedings 77–79
- IMS Health* 194–195
- independence
 - Community Plant Variety Office (CPVO) Boards of Appeal 313–314
 - CPVO BoA members 330–331
 - fair trial guarantees applicable to IP proceedings 77–79
 - technical judges in the UPC 284–286
- India 506
- Infopaq* 185, 187
- Infopaq International A/S v Danske Dagblades Forening* 155
- Information Society Directive 146, 151, 152, 154, 157, 163
- infringement
 - copyright
 - constitutionalization 163
 - direct and indirect 189
 - file-sharing on Internet and website blocking 44–48
 - monopoly on information and freedom of expression of ‘infringers’ 38–44
 - disputes and claims for royalties 27–30
 - methodology for determining 163
 - patents 215, 231
- intellectual property
 - balancing IP protection with other fundamental rights 189–192
 - balancing IP rights with competition law 192–196
 - courts making IP law 495–500
 - courts vs legislators 496–499
 - general vs specialized 495–496
 - judicial policymaking outside common law 499–500
 - disputes *see* disputes between private parties, state failure to regulate
 - fair trial guarantees, applicable to IP proceedings 70–88
 - and freedom of expression *see* freedom of expression and information
 - human right to property as basis for protection of economic interests of IP holders 13–23
 - impact of human rights on law 9
 - interpretation of international instruments 441–462
 - investment environment 482–485
 - non-recognition of an applicant’s IP entitlement 14–18, 165
 - refusal to restore title 18–19
 - specialized chamber in IP law, option for 201–205
 - state failure to regulate IP disputes between private parties 23–35
 - state interference with exercise of rights 14–23
- intellectual property, courts making IP law, courts vs legislators 496–499
- Intellectual Property (IP) High Court, Japan 387, 398, 403–408
 - contributions of 410–412
 - mechanisms incorporated in for addressing US Federal Court critiques 416–420, 421, 426
 - proposal to create 404, 425
 - structure 403–404
 - transfer rule 417, 426

- intellectual property rights (IPRs) 338, 387
 - see also* intellectual property
 - copyright and human right to property 118, 119, 123, 124, 128, 130, 131, 133, 139, 142
 - and Court of Justice of the European Union 179, 186, 189, 192–196
 - enforcement *see* enforcement of intellectual property rights
 - and European Convention 95, 99
 - investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS) 465, 470, 472, 479–482, 485, 486, 492
 - margin of appreciation 103
 - non-discrimination 68
 - subject-matter 84, 95, 175, 179, 180
 - Unified Patent Court (UPC) 237, 239, 273
 - violations of 74
- inter partes* review 291, 318, 340–342
 - and Unified Patent Court (UPC) 352–353, 358, 376–377
- International Chamber of Commerce 495
- International Convention for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants (UPOV) (1961) 309
- International Court of Justice 210
- international IP instruments,
 - interpretation 441–462
 - harmonization 442
 - investment tribunals and VCLT
 - rules of interpretation 458–460
 - national and regional
 - institutions/actors 454–458
 - pacta sunt servanda* (in good faith) 486
 - treaties 443–447
 - World Trade Organization (WTO)
 - holistic approach 447–454
 - interpretation beyond 454–460
- International Law Commission (ILC) 444, 461
- International Law Courts 116
- international organizations, claims against (EPO) 34–35
- International Trade Commission (ITC) 359–360, 362, 371
- internet
 - file-sharing on and website blocking 44–48
 - role for freedom of expression and information 118
- internet service providers (ISPs) 148, 186, 191
- investment tribunals 458–460
- investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS) 466–474
 - appellate mechanism 489–492
 - conceptual improvements 479–486
 - determining what constitutes investment 480–482
 - international investment agreements and other multilateral obligations 485–486
 - role of IP protection in an investment environment 482–485
- costs 467
- determining what constitutes investment 480–482
- Eli Lilly v Government of Canada* 459, 463–464, 466, 471
- institutional improvements 486–492
 - Advisory Center on Investor-State Disputes (ACISD) 487–488
 - Advisory Centre on WTO law (ACWL) 487
 - small claims procedure 488–489
- intellectual property rights (IPRs) 465, 470, 472, 479–482, 485, 486, 492
- interpretation-based weaknesses 469–470
- modest proposals 479–492
 - conceptual improvements 479–486
 - institutional improvements 486–492

- outcome-based weaknesses 470–474
- Philip Morris v Uruguay* 459, 463, 464, 466, 471, 475
- prior consent mechanism 484
- process 475–476
- process-based weaknesses 467–469
- regulatory chill 471
- Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) Agreement 463–465
- Investment Chapter 474–479
- and TRIPS Agreement 468, 472–473, 483–484, 492
- IP *see* intellectual property
- IPRs *see* intellectual property rights (IPRs)
- ISDS *see* investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS)
- Jackson, Robert H. 1
- Jacob, Robin 438
- James & Others v. UK* 101, 107
- Jamriška v. Slovakia* 85
- Japan
 - amicus curiae* briefs 406–408
 - best practice, patent judiciary bifurcation system, removal 399–401, 420
 - District Courts 402–403
 - IP High Court 403–408, 410–412
 - Supreme Court of Japan 408–412
- Civil Procedure Code 407
- district courts 402–403
- Intellectual Property (IP) High Court 387, 398, 403–408
- contributions by 410–412
- mechanisms incorporated in for addressing US Federal Court critiques 416–420, 421, 426
- proposal to create 404, 425
- structure 403–404
- transfer rule 417, 426
- Japan Patent Office (JPO) 271, 399, 400, 409, 411, 418, 420
- jōkoku* appeals 408, 411
- Judicial Reform Initiative (2003) 416–417
- judicial research officials 271
- Judicial System Reform Council 402
- judiciary system, patent cases 399–412
- Kilby* decision 400–401
- Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (METI) 419–420
- Osaka District Court 402, 403, 404–405, 418, 419
- patent enforcement system, reform 387
- specialized adjudication 387
- Supreme Court 402, 408–412, 417
- Tokyo District Court 403, 404–405, 411, 418, 419
- Tokyo High Court 387, 402, 404
- Japan – Taxes on Alcoholic Beverages* 469
- judicial decisions
 - enforcement 88
 - reasoned 82–83
- Kessler v. Switzerland* 81
- Kimble v. Marvel Entertainment* 427
- Klabbers, J 455
- Kochevar, Steven 245, 247
- Korea – Various Measures on Beef* 502
- Korean Republic Patent Court 271
- Krislov, Samuel 245
- Kristiansen and Tyvik v. Norway* 75–76, 114
- Kudla v. Poland* 105
- Lagardère* 185
- Land Hessen v Ricordi* 184, 185
- Laserdisken ApS v Kulturministeriet* 146–148, 150, 153, 184
- Latvian Civil Procedures Law 21
- Latvian Copyright Law 21
- Leahy, Patrick 360
- Lefstin, Jeffrey A 413
- Lego* 187
- licensing, compulsory 19–23

- Lisbon Agreement for the Protection of Appellations of Origin and their International Registration (1958) 31, 111
- Lisbon Treaty (2007) 9, 124, 148, 222
- Lucazeau and others v SACEM and others* 184
- Luksan v Van der Let* 152
- Luxembourg Agreement (1989) and Protocol on Litigation 211–212, 214
- Magill* 193–194
- Manderieux, Laurent 431
- margin of appreciation 94, 99–103 and subsidiarity principle 103–104
- Markman v Westview Instruments, Inc* 363
- Maskus, Keith 142, 483
- Maxwell-Fife, David 97
- Media BV v. Sanowa Media Netherlands BV et al* 117–118
- Melnychuk v. Ukraine* 27, 28, 33
- Mercurio, Bryan 481
- Metronome Musik GmbH v Music Point Hokamp GmbH* 145–147, 150, 154, 184
- Microsoft* 195, 198
- Mihăilescu v. Romania* 26, 33
- Ministère public v Tournier* 184
- Moglen, Eben 429
- moral rights 67–68
- Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works (1886) 122
- claim to protection of 168–173
- Morales, Evo 468
- Morsink, Johannes 96
- Musik-Vertrieb membran et K-tel International* 183
- Nard, C A 414
- Netherlands
- Broadcasting Act (1967) 39
- Centraal Bureau voor den Omroep in Nederland* (Dutch Central Broadcasting Office) 38
- compulsory licensing 20
- Dutch Copyright Act (1912) 38, 39
- Dutch Patent Act 18–19
- Dutch Patent Council 18
- Dutch Patent Office 20
- monopoly of copyright on information and freedom of expression of ‘infringers’ 38
- Netherlands Broadcasting Foundation 39
- non-discrimination 68
- refusal to restore title 18–19
- Supreme Court 38
- technical judges 274, 275
- UPCA, ratification 369
- Netlog* 186, 192
- Nollen, Maarten 304
- non-discrimination 68–70 and copyright 184
- non-practicing entities (NPEs) 363, 384
- Nordström, Håkan 488–489
- North American Free Trade Agreement 129
- Norway
- access to court 75–76
- enforcement of intellectual property rights 114
- Norwegian Industrial Property Office (NIPO) 75, 76, 114
- Patents Act (1967) 76, 114
- NTP, Inc. v Research in Motion* 433
- Nuri Kurt v. Turkey* 108
- Odiome v Winkley* 437
- Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market (OHIM)
- Boards of Appeal (BoA) 346, 348
- Community Plant Variety Office (CPVO), BoA 332, 335
- European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO), BoA 340
- trade marks and designs 350

- OHIM *see* Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market (OHIM)
- Okediji, Ruth 471–472, 481
- Oliver Brüstle* 203–204
- Oneryildiz v Turkey* 108
- Opinion 1/09 (CJEU) 222–227
 amendment of ECPC Agreement with view to 224–227
- oral hearing 82
- originality criterion, copyright 186–187
- ownership disputes 30–34
- Padawan* 177, 198
- Paeffgen GmbH* 34
- Painer (Eva-Maria) v StandardVerlags GmbH* 151, 153, 186, 187
- Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property 453, 455, 473, 508, 509
- Paris Union 387
- Parke, Davies v Probel and Centrafarm* 182
- parody 158–159
- Pascoe, Tom 229
- Patent and Trademark Office (PTO), US 61, 358, 371, 392, 395, 416, 427
- patent litigation systems
 European 216, 218, 225, 276, 370
 German 276
 Unified Patent Litigation System 221, 224
- Patent Mediation and Arbitration Centre, UPC 255–264
 Administrative Committee 260, 261, 263
 aims 259
 Arbitration Rules 255–256, 257, 259, 260, 261, 264
 Budget Committee 260, 261
 Director 260–261, 263
 Expert Committee 260, 261, 263
 finances 260
 framework 257–258
 Judge-Rapporteurs 256, 264
 languages 260
 list of arbitrators and mediators 263
 Mediation Rules 255, 257, 259, 260, 261, 264
 Operational Rules 257–263, 261
 organizational structure 260–263
 Preparatory Committee 257, 258, 262
 seats in Ljubljana (Slovenia) and Lisbon (Portugal) 255, 258–259, 262
 status 258
 and UPC as a one-stop shop dispute resolution system 256–257
- Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB), US 358, 360, 362, 376, 378, 379, 381
- patents
see also Community Patent Court, proposed; European Patent Office (EPO); Japan; patent litigation systems; Unified Patent Court Agreement (UPCA); Unified Patent Court (UPC); United States
 best practice, patent judiciary 386–426
 bifurcation system, removal 398, 399–401, 421–423
 bright line rules 415, 419, 420, 425
 Japan 399–412, 416–420
 recommendations for specialized patent adjudication 421–426
 specialization concerns, addressing 423–425, 426
 United States 389–399, 412–416
 complexity of patent law, technical 265–266
 response to 267–274
 and Court of Justice of the European Union 199
 creation of a common European patent and European patent court, historical overview 207–221
 Community Patent Convention (1975) 207–211

- Community Patent Regulation (CPR 2000) 213–215, 217
- Council decision establishing the Community Patent Court 214–215
- European Patent Litigation Agreement (EPLA), draft 215–216, 217
- genesis of European and Community Patent Court proposal 218–221
- Luxembourg Agreement (1989) 211–212
- outcome of initial Community patent initiatives 212–213
- expertise
 - adversarial systems 268
 - expert witnesses 267
 - inquisitorial systems 268
 - integration of technical expertise and adjudication 270–271
 - party and court-appointed experts 268–270, 275, 277
 - Unified Patent Court (UPC) 235–236
- hindsight bias 418
- infringement 215, 231
- inventive step 302, 417, 418, 434, 441, 448, 457
- Patent Mediation and Arbitration Centre *see* Patent Mediation and Arbitration Centre, UPC
- pro-patent bias 398, 417, 423
- role of CJEU in court system 207–229
- Standard Essential Patent 250
- subject-matter 203, 204, 215, 234, 237, 238, 266, 433, 434, 457
- technical aspects of patent law 265–287
 - complexity 265–266
 - court specialization 272–274
 - integration of technical expertise and adjudication 270–271
 - party and court-appointed experts 268–270
 - research and training 267
 - response to complexity arising from object of regulation 267–274
- Unitary Patent Regulation 237
- US system
 - critiques/reform proposals 412–420, 421, 426
 - Federal Circuit *see* Federal Circuit, US
 - judiciary system 389–394
 - overview 358–362
- peer-to-peer (P2P) software 191
- personality rights, of authors 68
- Petherbridge, L. 413, 414
- Philip Morris v Uruguay* 459, 463, 464, 466, 471, 475
 - see also* investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS)
- plant variety rights 308–327
 - Basic Regulation 309, 312, 315–317, 319–320, 322, 324
- Commission Regulations 309–310
- Community Plant Variety Office (CPVO) *see* Community Plant Variety Office (CPVO)
- and Court of Justice of the European Union 199
- Design Regulation 335
- DUS (distinctness, uniformity and stability) 310, 324, 326
- EU system 308–311, 323–326
- legal protection and Board of Appeal of CPVO 328–336
 - constitution of Boards of Appeal 330
 - independency of Board members 330–331
 - position of CPVO Boards of Appeal 331–333
 - scope of competence of Boards of Appeal 333–336
- ornamental varieties 309
- plant breeding 308–309
- Proceedings Regulation 317, 320, 321, 324
- Poland, subsidiarity principle 105–106
- Polydor v Harlequin and Others* 183

- Portugal
- Bilateral Agreement 111, 112
 - Lisbon Agreement for the Protection of Appellations of Origin and their International Registration (1958) 31, 111
 - National Institute for Industrial Property 111
- Pravastatin Sodium* 409
- preliminary rulings
- European Court of Justice (ECJ) 214
 - European Patent Litigation Agreement (EPLA), draft 216
 - requests for, from CJEU 235, 236–239
- Prezhdarovi v. Bulgaria* 64–66
- privacy rights
- and moral rights 67–68
 - search orders, effects on right to respect for private life 62–66
- private life, effects of search orders on right to respect for 62–66
- Promusicae v Telefónica de España* 128, 148, 149, 150, 165–166, 190
- property rights
- see also* enforcement of intellectual property rights; intellectual property
 - ambiguous nature of in the ECHR 95–98
 - and copyright 116–142
 - assessment and prospects 134–142
 - Charter of Fundamental Rights, EU 126, 136–141
 - constitutional approach 135–136
 - Gutenberg Age 120
 - historical background 120–121
 - hyperlinking 118, 119, 141–142
 - intellectual property rights (IPRs) 118, 119, 123, 124, 128, 130, 131, 133, 139, 142
 - legal fragmentation 121–124
 - legal nature of property rights 129–134
 - non-profit vs. commercial use 118–119
 - recognition of property rights 124–129
 - and freedom of expression 153–154
 - legal nature 129–134
 - Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) 131–132
 - European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) 131
 - WTO panels and Appellate Body 132–134
 - ‘possessions’ 98, 99, 103
 - protection of economic interests
 - international organizations, claims against (EPO) 34–35
 - state failure to regulate IP disputes between private parties 23–35
 - state interference with exercise of intellectual property rights 14–23
 - protection of economic interests of IP holders 13–35
 - recognition of 124–129
 - African Union 127
 - in case law 127–129
 - Council of Europe 126
 - EU Charter of Fundamental Rights 126
 - treaty texts 124–127
 - United Nations 124–125
 - substance 103
 - Travaux Préparatoires* 95
 - proportionality principle
 - constitutionalization 146, 149
 - copyright and human right to property 129, 136, 137, 139, 140
 - and Court of Justice of the European Union 186, 190, 192
 - and European Court of Human Rights 11
 - economic interests of IP holders 18, 20, 22, 33, 34

- freedom of expression and information 43, 46, 48, 51, 57–58, 60
- Unified Patent Court (UPC) 232
- Rai, A K 416
- Rantanen, J 414
- Rapos v. Slovakia* 34
- reasonable time guarantee 83–86
- recognition of property rights
 - African Union 127
 - in case law 127–129
 - Charter of Fundamental Rights, EU 164
 - Council of Europe 126
 - EU Charter of Fundamental Rights 126
 - non-recognition of an applicant's IP entitlement 14–18, 165
 - treaty texts 124–127
 - United Nations 124–125
- Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) 465–466
- regional exhaustion rule 123, 138, 146, 147, 153
- Reichman, Jeremy 142
- religious symbols, and trademarks 55–57
- Rental and Lending Right Directive 145
- res judicata* 77, 215
- Revocation Boards
 - European Patent Office (EPO) 208
 - Germany 276
- Ricordi/Puccini* 184, 185
- Romania
 - access to court 71–72
 - Copyright Office (RCO) 72, 73
 - CRUCIFIX sign, sought to be registered in 55–57, 60
 - Law on Manufacture and Marketing of Religious Objects 56
 - Law on Trademarks and Geographical Indications 57
 - National Trademark and Patent Office (OSIM) 55–56
 - trademark office 60
 - trademark registration authority 59–60
 - royalties, claims for 27–30
 - RPBA *see* Rules of Procedure of Boards of Appeal (RPBA)
 - Rubin, Ed 498
 - Rules of Procedure of Boards of Appeal (RPBA) 289, 290, 296–298, 306
 - Art 12(2) 297, 298
 - Art 12(4) 297, 298–301
 - Art 13(1) 297, 298, 301–303
 - Art 13(3) 297, 298, 303–304
 - influence on decisions of the Boards of Appeal 298–306
 - new claim requests 298–303
 - new facts or evidence 300–304
 - Russia, Intellectual Property Court 270
 - SABAM (Belgian collective rights management society) 191
 - Safarov v. Azerbaijan* 29
 - Salini Costruttori SpA v Kingdom of Morocco* 481
 - Scarlet* 186, 190–192
 - Schniga v CPOV* 320, 323
 - search orders, effects on right to respect for private life 62–66
 - Anton Piller orders 63
 - quality of law requirement 66
 - Seimora* 319
 - SGAE/Hoteles Rafael* 185
 - Shaffer, Gregory 488–489
 - SIA AKKA/LAA v. Latvia* 21
 - Slovakia, reasonable time guarantee 85
 - Smith Kline v. the Netherlands* 20, 23, 99, 127
 - Société Nationale de Programmes FRANCE 2 v. France* 41–43, 46
 - Spangenberg Center for Law, Technology & the Arts, Case Western Reserve University School of Law 5
 - specialized chamber in IP law, option for 201–205

- Specialized Intellectual Property (IP) Jurisdictions (SIPJs) 495
- stare decisis* doctrine 429, 469
- World Trade Organization (WTO) 498, 499, 502
- state failure to regulate IP disputes
- between private parties *see* disputes between private parties, state failure to regulate
- state interference with exercise of intellectual property rights
- compulsory licensing 19–23
 - non-recognition of an applicant's IP entitlement 14–18, 165
 - refusal to restore title 18–19
- Sté. MATROT v. France* 74
- Strasbourg Court *see* European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)
- subject-matter
- appeal proceedings 297, 300, 302, 303
 - copyright 15, 123–124, 138, 185
 - EUIPO Boards of Appeal 344, 348
 - intellectual property rights (IPRs) 84, 95, 175, 179, 180
 - patents 203, 204, 215, 234, 237, 238, 266, 433, 434, 457
 - best practice, patent judiciary 389, 392, 394, 415
 - Unified Patent Court (UPC) 266, 275, 361, 364, 365
 - protected 145, 180
 - technical or scientific 266
 - and United States 433, 434
- subsidiarity principle 103–106
- Sunglow* 316
- supplementary protection certificates (SPCs) 199
- 'sweat of the brow' theory 186
- Sweden
- reasonable time guarantee 86
 - technical judges 275
- Sweet, Alec 501
- Switzerland
- access to court 73–74
 - evidence, administration of 81
 - Federal Patent Court 276–277
 - oral hearing 82
 - technical judges 275, 280, 285
- technical complexity, patent law 265–266
- see also* patents
 - court specialization 272–274
 - integration of technical expertise and adjudication 270–271
 - party and court-appointed experts 268–270
 - research and training 267
 - response to, arising from object of regulation 267–274
 - technical judges 274–286
 - advantages and disadvantages 277–281
 - concept and national experiences 274–277
 - in the UPC 278, 281–286
- technical judges
- advantages and disadvantages 277–281
 - concept and national experiences 274–277
 - in the UPC 281–286
 - independence 284–286
 - panels, composition 283–284
 - previous attempts at regional level 281–282
 - requirements to become 282–283
 - and right to fair trial 278
 - Televizier (N.V.) v. the Netherlands* 38, 39
- territoriality principle 184
- TFEU *see* Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU)
- third party intervention
- see also amicus curiae* briefs; Unified Patent Court (UPC)
 - active case management 236
 - amicus curiae* briefs 245–250, 252
 - EU competition law, special rules 250–253
 - flooding of electronic submissions, risks of 249–250
 - general rules 249–250

- judicial tailoring 245
- 'legal interest' requirement 249, 250
- role of third party interveners 244–248
- Rules of Procedure (RoP) 236
- before the UPC 248–253
- title to intellectual property, refusal to restore 18–19
- Tod's v Heyraud* 184
- TPP *see* Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) Agreement
- trade marks
 - CJEU case law 181–182, 187–188
 - Community Trade Mark Regulation 338, 354
 - European Trade Mark Regulation (EUTMR) 341, 343, 350
 - freedom of expression
 - CAMEL wording in anti-smoking advertising campaign 50–54
 - CRUCIFIX sign, sought to be registered in Romania 55–57
 - proportionality principle 57
 - refusal of registration as challenge to freedom of expression 54–62
 - Tanderil (drug) case 52–53
 - unauthorized use, justifiability on basis of 50–54
 - Uniform Benelux Trade Mark Act 53
 - and OHIM 350
 - Störerhaftung* doctrine (German), 500
 - tri-dimensional shapes 187–188
- Trans-Pacific Partnership Commission 477
- Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP) Agreement 463–465, 493
- investment chapter 464, 474–479, 480, 492
- ISDS process 475–476
- negotiations 490
- sovereignty and regulatory space 474–475
- transparency 477
- tunnel vision 478–479
- treaties
 - see also* Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT); *specific treaties*
 - bilateral investment treaties (BITs) 128
 - interpretation 441, 443–447
 - texts 124–127
- Treaty on European Union (TEU) 124
- Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU)
 - and Community Patent Regulation 213
 - and Community Plant Variety Office (CPVO) 311, 333
 - copyright and human right to property 123, 135
 - and Court of Justice of the European Union 176, 181–183, 202
 - preliminary rulings requests 237
- Tremblay and Others v Commission* 184
- TRIPs Agreement *see* Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPs)
- Trump, Donald 464–465, 490
- Turkey
 - enforcement of intellectual property rights 108
 - Turkish Law on Intellectual and Artistic Works 24
- Ukraine, reasonable time guarantee 84
- Unified Patent Court Agreement (UPCA) 225, 226–227
 - see also* Patent Mediation and Arbitration Centre, UPC; Unified Patent Court (UPC)
 - active case management 234
 - amendment difficulties 373
 - best practice considerations 387
 - bifurcation system, removal 422
 - Brexit, impact of 228
 - Chapter IV 227
 - courts 425

- goals of UPC 368
- Japanese system compared 425
- members 421
- Patent Mediation and Arbitration
 - Centre established by 255
 - ratification by Netherlands 369
 - source of law 432
 - specialization concerns, addressing 424, 426
 - structure established by 375
 - technical judges 282, 283, 286
 - transitional period, provision for 369, 374
- Unified Patent Court (UPC) 231–254
 - active case management 233, 234–239
 - Court experts 235–236
 - requests for preliminary rulings from CJEU 236–239
 - third party intervention 236
 - Administrative Committee 242–243
 - appeals to 231–232
 - Court of Appeal 375, 424, 431–432
 - Court of First Instance 365, 378, 424, 431
 - President 366
 - and Court of Justice of the European Union 368–369, 375–376
 - decision-making 239–241
 - decisions on the merits 239, 240
 - impact and importance 232
 - rules of own motion, applying 240–241
 - default judgment 235
 - European and Community Patents Court Agreement (ECPC Agreement) 226
 - and European Patent Office (EPO) 231–232, 378–379
 - experts 235–236
 - fee structure, potential incentives relating to 382–384
 - forum selection concerns 376–377
 - goals 368
 - imminent creation of 438
 - intellectual property rights (IPRs) 237, 239, 273
 - and *inter partes* review 352–353, 358, 376–377
 - judges 233–244
 - active case management 234–239
 - decision-making 239–241
 - eligibility criteria and appointment 241–243
 - as gatekeepers 265
 - legally qualified 242, 365
 - part-time 284, 366
 - technically qualified *see below*
 - third party intervention *see below*
 - training framework for 243–244
 - jurisdiction 367, 379
 - oversight of EPO 378–379
 - Patent Mediation and Arbitration Centre *see* Patent Mediation and Arbitration Centre, UPC
 - proposed structure and function 357–384
 - potential incentives relating to fee structure 382–384
 - specialization and diversity 379–382
 - structural considerations 370–379
 - US patent system, overview 358–362
 - Rules of Procedure 368
 - and specialized chamber in IP law, option for 201
 - Statute 282, 284, 285
 - structural considerations
 - forum selection concerns 376–377
 - oversight of EPO 378–379
 - uniformity 370–377
 - structure and development 365–369
 - technical judges in 242, 281–286, 365
 - independence 284–286
 - panels, composition 283–284
 - part-time 284
 - previous attempts at regional level 281–282
 - requirements to become 282–283
 - and right to fair trial 278
 - technology-based values, bias towards 233

- third party intervention
 - active case management 236
 - EU competition law, special rules 250–253
 - general rules 249–250
 - role of third party interveners 244–248
 - Rules of Procedure (RoP) 236
 - before the UPC 248–253
 - Unified Patent Court Agreement (UPCA) *see* Unified Patent Court Agreement (UPCA)
 - uniformity 370–377
 - unitary patent package, adopting 231, 238
- Unified Patent Litigation System 221, 224
- Unitary Patent Regulation 237
- United Kingdom
 - Intellectual Property Office 423
 - Leasehold Reform Act (1967) 101
 - ratification procedure 229
 - Referendum vote on exiting the EU (Brexit) 228, 229
 - Statute of Anne (1710) 120, 121
 - technical judges 274, 275
 - viability of continued participation in the Unitary Patent and Unified Patent Court following Brexit 228–229
- United Nations
 - Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (1966) 125, 129
 - Declaration on Rights of Indigenous Peoples (2007) 125–126
 - Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR, 1948) 95–96, 124–125, 141
- United States
 - administrative law judges (ALJs) 359–360
 - amicus curiae* briefs 245, 246, 394
 - best practice, patent judiciary 389–399
 - contributions of Federal Circuit
 - critiques/reform proposals 412–420, 421, 426
 - judiciary system, patent cases 389–394
 - Circuit Courts of Appeals 360
 - and common law 361, 427–428, 436–437
 - ‘complexity exception’ 279
 - Congress 361, 371, 391, 427, 428
 - copyright and human right to property 120–121
 - district courts 358, 380
 - Doctrine of Equivalents (DOE) 436, 437
 - double-track system, patents 399
 - Federal Circuit *see* Federal Circuit, US
 - federal district courts 358, 380, 389–392
 - Fifth Amendment 279
 - First Amendment 61
 - inventive effort 396
 - judiciary system, patent cases 389–394
 - federal district courts 358, 380, 389–392
 - Supreme Court 393–394
 - US Court of Appeals for Federal Circuit 392–393
 - jury trial 390–391
 - Lanham Act 61
 - Leahy-Smith America Invents Act (AIA) 358, 359, 364, 372, 376–377
 - lessons from patent law 427–439
 - experiment 430–438
 - Markman* hearings 391
 - Merit Systems Protection Board 361
 - Office of Compliance 362
 - Patent Acts 358, 396–397
 - Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) 61, 358, 371, 392, 395, 416, 427
 - Patent Pilot Program 358, 426
 - patent system, overview 358–362

- Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB) 358, 360, 362, 376, 378, 379, 381
- Seventh Amendment 279
- Sherman Act (1890) 396
- substantiality test 437
- Supreme Court 135, 265, 362, 364, 373, 393–394
- and Federal Circuit 370, 372
- Rules of the Supreme Court 250
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR, 1948)
- ambiguous nature of property rights in the ECHR 95–96
- hyperlinking 141
- legal nature of property rights 129
- recognition of property rights 124–125
- University of Illinois Foundation v. the Netherlands* 18, 23
- UPC *see* Unified Patent Court (UPC)
- UPCA *see* Unified Patent Court Agreement (UPCA)
- US – Gambling* 502, 503
- Van den Eynde, Laura 246
- Van Gend and Loos* 176
- Vanhonnaeker, Lukas 481
- Venice European Judges' Forum (2008) 281
- Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties (VCLT)
- copyright and human right to property 134
- principles 486
- rules of interpretation 293, 443–447, 451, 454, 456, 457, 504, 510
- consistent use 461–462
- and investment tribunals 458–460
- and TRIPS Agreement 512
- Volvo v Veng* 192–193
- Wagner, R Polk 413
- Warner Brothers v Christiansen* 183
- Warner-Jenkinson Co. v Hilton Davis Chemical Co.* 363
- Warren, Elizabeth 464
- website blocking, and copyright infringement 44–48
- Wittgenstein, L. 446
- World Trade Organization (WTO) 494–516
- Advisory Centre on WTO law (ACWL) 487
- Agreement/Multilateral Trade Agreements 504
- Appellate Body 116, 447, 451–452, 469, 490, 491, 495
- as common law court 502–503
- as a court 500–502
- as 'general' court 503–504
- and panels 132–134, 450
- Shrimp Turtle* report 460
- TRIPS Agreement before 505–511
- Dispute Settlement Body (DSB) 443, 464, 474, 494, 501
- Dispute Settlement Understanding (DSU) 448, 450, 494
- holistic approach 447–454
- interpretation beyond 454–460
- panels and Appellate Body 132–134, 450, 469, 491
- stare decisis* doctrine 498, 499, 502
- and TRIPS Agreement 447, 448, 450–452, 495, 505–511
- X. v. Switzerland* 73–74
- Yukos Oil 470
- Zhurba v. Ukraine* 84
- Zosymov v. Ukraine* 66