

# Index

---

- ACA (Affordable Care Act 2010 (US))
  - see* United States, ‘Obamacare’ reform
- accessibility of healthcare 10–12
  - cross-border healthcare *see* cross-border healthcare
  - density of hospital provision *see* density of hospital provision
  - emergency services *see* emergency healthcare services
  - gatekeeping *see* gatekeeping hospital access
  - hospital admissions by GP referral only 12
  - innovative care *see* innovative healthcare
  - physician shortages *see* physicians, shortages of
  - rationing mechanisms *see* rationing of healthcare services
  - right to choose healthcare providers *see* right to choose healthcare providers
  - rural and isolated areas
    - Colombia 246
    - France 294
    - Norway 388
  - specialist services *see* specialist healthcare services
  - travel to hospitals *see* travel to hospitals
  - waiting times *see* waiting times *see also under* country reports
- accident and emergency services *see* emergency healthcare services
- Acton, Jan Paul 91
- affordability of healthcare
  - cost-efficiency concerns *see* cost-efficiency
  - hospital financing *see* hospital financing
  - physician remuneration *see* physicians, remuneration
  - private payments *see* private payments for healthcare
  - reimbursement models *see* reimbursement models *see also under* country reports
- Alternative Quality Contract of Blue Cross Blue Shield, Massachusetts 141–3
  - see also* integrated population-based contracts
- Amsterdam-Noord integrated population-based contract experiment 147–8
  - see also* integrated population-based contracts
- Austria
  - accessibility of healthcare
    - innovative care 177–8
    - patient access to hospital care 158
    - specialist services 152, 153
    - travel times to hospitals 158–9
    - waiting times 156, 159–62
  - affordability of healthcare 163
    - financial situation of hospitals 168–9
    - hospital financing 163–4
    - private payments *see* Austria, private payments for healthcare
    - reimbursement model (LKF system) 165–6, 168–9
  - governance of healthcare
    - devolved system 13, 151, 163, 167, 170, 171, 173
    - guiding principles 151
    - reform initiative 179–80
    - regulation of healthcare providers 170–71

- Social Health Insurance (SHI)
    - system (mandatory) 153–5
    - supervision of healthcare providers 172–4
  - hospital sector 169, 170
    - capacity data and bed-per-population ratio 152, 153, 166, 170
    - density of hospital provision 158–9
    - hospital types and services 152, 153
    - investments in hospital capacity and planning 166–7
    - patient discharges, data on 152, 179
    - physician remuneration 166
    - privatization and outsourcing 171–2
  - private payments for healthcare
    - co-payments 168
    - out-of-pocket payments 155, 157
    - price setting 167–8
    - private health insurance 155–6, 166
  - quality of healthcare 174–5
    - DRG coding for quality transparency 176
      - see also* diagnosis related group (DRG) financing
    - innovative care 177–8
    - inpatient quality indicators 175–6
    - other measures 176
    - physician training and accreditation 178–9
- Baeten, Rita 44
- bankruptcy of healthcare providers *see* hospital financing, bankruptcy support
- Belgium
  - accessibility of healthcare
    - entitlement to care 187–8, 198–9
    - innovative care 203
    - specialist services 183, 188
    - travel times to hospitals 188, 189
    - waiting times 188, 189–90
  - affordability of healthcare 190
    - hospital financing 190–93
    - private payments 193–4
    - reimbursement model 192–3, 196–7
  - governance of healthcare
    - Budget of Financial Means 191–2
    - devolved system 13, 181–2, 184–5
    - national programming system 184
    - oversight and enforcement of quality standards 200–201
    - policy objectives 181
    - reform of *see* Belgium, reform action plan
  - health insurance system (mandatory) 181, 182, 187–8
    - contributors 194–5
    - role of insurers 195–6
  - hospital sector
    - competition within 197–8
    - density of hospital provision 188, 189
    - ‘hospital’ defined by six cumulative criteria 182–3
    - networks of hospitals initiative 184, 186, 197–8, 204
    - physician employment status 186–7
    - recognition criteria for hospitals and healthcare facilities 184–5
    - size of hospitals 6
  - quality of healthcare 198–9
    - innovative care 203
    - oversight and enforcement 200–201
    - physician training and accreditation 199–200
    - quality criteria for hospitals and healthcare facilities 185
  - reform action plan 201
    - FFS replaced by flat-rate fees 192–3, 203–4
      - see also* fee-for-service (FFS) models
    - financing of specific tasks and missions in dedicated hospitals 202–3
  - networks of hospitals initiative 184, 186, 197–8, 204
  - patient ‘cluster’ formation 202
  - payment by performance principle 203

- Berger, Roland 169
- Berwick, Donald M. 23, 25
- Beveridge systems *see* direct funding (Beveridge) systems
- Bismarck systems *see* health insurance (Bismarck) systems
- block grants/contracts  
 advantages and disadvantages 122  
 cost-efficiency incentive 8  
 England 267–8  
*see also* reimbursement models
- Blue Cross Blue Shield (Massachusetts-based health insurer) 141–3
- Bobbitt, Philip 22
- Bradley, Elizabeth H. 35–6
- Brexit, healthcare implications 355
- budget caps  
 joint contract with fixed budget described 137–9  
*see also* integrated population-based contracts  
 undertreatment associated with 132  
*see also* rationing of healthcare services
- bundled payments  
 advantages and disadvantages 122  
 cost-efficiency incentive 8  
 Czech Republic 211  
 France, initiative to introduce 301  
 New York state *see* value-based payment (VBP) financing, New York state  
*see also* reimbursement models
- Calabresi, Guido 22
- capital investments  
 Czech Republic 212  
 England 269–70  
 France 293  
 Germany 321–3, 331  
 Netherlands 370–71, 384  
*see also* hospital financing
- capitation models  
 advantages and disadvantages 122  
 Colombia 226–7, 234  
 cost-efficiency incentive 8  
 integrated population-based contracts *see* integrated population-based contracts
- New York state *see* value-based payment (VBP) financing, New York state  
*see also* reimbursement models
- Chase, Deborah 143–5
- Chassin, Mark R. 25
- Chevreul, Karine 285, 286–7, 288, 290, 292, 297–300
- choice of healthcare providers *see* right to choose healthcare providers
- co-payments 86  
 Austria 168  
 Colombia 232–3  
 England 78–9  
 France 290, 302  
 Germany 314–15  
 Ireland 334, 338, 339  
 Netherlands 76–7, 302, 365  
*see also* private payments for healthcare
- Colombia 223–4  
 accessibility of healthcare 224  
 density of hospital provision 227–8, 229  
 emergency services 226  
 enforcement of access rights 11, 232, 244–5  
 limitations of 228  
 patient access to hospital care 228, 230  
 right to choose healthcare providers 239  
 rural and isolated areas 246  
 specialist services 228, 230, 246–7  
 waiting times 230–31
- affordability of healthcare 227  
 hospital budgeting and pricing 236–7  
 key challenges 248  
 private payments 232–3, 236  
 reimbursement models *see* Colombia, reimbursement models  
 sources of funding 233  
 health insurance system (mandatory) 224  
 competition between insurers 238–9, 245–6

- Compulsory Benefit Health Plan (POS), services covered 225–6
- Payment Unit for Capitation allocated to insurance companies 226–7
- role of insurers 225
- supervisory mechanism *see* Colombia, supervisory mechanism
- hospital sector
  - competition within 5, 6, 238–9, 245–6
  - density of hospital provision 227–8, 229
  - physician employment status and conditions 237–8
  - public and private providers in 225
- quality of healthcare
  - Mandatory System to Guarantee Quality in Health framework 240–42
  - patient satisfaction survey data 242
  - quality and pricing relationship 243
  - supervision of 245, 248–9
- reimbursement models 233
  - capitation model 226–7, 234
  - DRG system 235–6
    - see also* diagnosis related group (DRG) financing
  - FFS system 234–5
    - see also* fee-for-service (FFS) model
- supervisory mechanism
  - access to and quality of healthcare 244–5, 248–9
  - competition 245–6
  - financial flows between insurers and providers 244
  - financial performance 243–4, 247
  - key challenges 248–9
- competition in healthcare provision
  - competitive tendering *see* contracting healthcare services
- England
  - collaboration and mergers
    - between healthcare providers 274–5
  - NHS commissioning function 64, 67–8, 80, 253, 272–4
  - patient choice policies 69, 71–2, 255, 258–9, 273–4
  - between healthcare providers 5, 6, 93
    - see also* right to choose healthcare providers
  - Austria 158
  - Belgium 197–8
  - France 300
  - Germany 324–5
  - Ireland 344–5
  - Netherlands 5, 6, 364–5, 367, 377–8, 379
  - Norway 402–3
  - South Africa 424–6, 427
  - United States 30–33
  - between insurers
    - Colombia 238–9, 245–6
    - France 300
    - Netherlands 380–81
    - South Africa 426
    - United States 31
  - between states *see* cross-border healthcare
- continuity of care after hospital bankruptcy *see* hospital financing, bankruptcy support
- contracting healthcare services 62
  - block contracts *see* block grants/contracts
  - Czech Republic 206
  - efficiency benefits compared with non-contracting 65–9, 80
  - England 64, 67–8
  - FFS contracts *see* fee-for-service (FFS) models
  - goals of ideal contract 133
  - GP referral model 131
  - integrated contracts, need for 129–30
    - see also* integrated population-based contracts
  - Netherlands 65–7, 133
  - Norway 410

- reimbursement models *see* reimbursement models
- see also* direct funding (Beveridge) systems; health insurance (Bismarck) systems
- cost efficiency
  - contracting and non-contracting, efficiency benefits compared 65–9, 80
- Czech Republic, concerns about 221–2
- incentives of reimbursement models compared 8
  - see also* reimbursement models
- length of hospital stays *see* length of hospital stays
- waste in healthcare systems *see* waste in healthcare systems
- cost of hospitals *see* expenditure trends
- cross-border healthcare
  - costs per patient calculations 9
  - Cross-Border Healthcare Directive *see* Cross-Border Healthcare Directive (2011/24/EU)
  - cross-border recognition of physician qualifications 355, 407
    - see also* physicians, training and accreditation
  - data for international comparisons
    - Austrian inpatient quality indicators 175–6
    - DRG coding of treatments 44–5, 176–7
      - see also* diagnosis related group (DRG) financing
    - shortages of 11, 44–5
  - England *see* England, cross-border healthcare
  - European Health Insurance Card (EHIC) regime 309–10
  - Finland 46–7
  - Germany 52, 309–10
  - Ireland *see* Ireland, cross-border healthcare
  - Netherlands 363–4
  - Norway 392
    - see also* accessibility of healthcare
- Cross-Border Healthcare Directive (2011/24/EU) 37–8
  - accessibility activated but not operationalized 38–9, 60–61
  - citizens not encouraged to seek treatment abroad 42
  - cost barriers 48–9
  - information asymmetries *see* Cross-Border Healthcare Directive (2011/24/EU), information provision under
  - intermediaries to operationalize Directive lacking 12, 38, 49–50, 53–4
  - business incentives under
    - for intermediaries 39, 54, 60–61
    - for private healthcare providers 49, 50
    - for public healthcare providers 50
  - EEA states, application in 392, 397–8
  - information provision under 43–4
  - information challenges for physicians 51–2
  - information overload for patients 53
  - ‘localization’ challenges 52–3
  - National Contact Point (NCP) mechanism 39, 42–3, 44, 51–3
  - no mechanism for harmonizing content 44–5
  - waiting list information not required 11
    - see also* waiting times
- minimalist approach to implementing Treaty-based rights 38
- discrimination on nationality grounds prohibited 50
- reimbursement restrictions, permitted grounds for 55–6, 59
  - as ‘structured ambivalence’ 40–45
  - Watts case (R. (on the application of Watts) v Bedford Primary Care Trust (C-372/04))* 40–41
- reimbursement restrictions
  - permitted grounds for 55–6, 59
  - political rationale for 54–5

- potential criteria for introduction
    - 58–9
    - when arguably justified 56–8
    - when arguably unjustified 59–60
  - reimbursements under
    - see also* cross-border healthcare
    - direct funding (Beveridge)
      - systems, implications for 45–6, 52, 56, 57
    - Finland, threatened European Commission proceedings against 46–7
    - health insurance (Bismarck)
      - systems, implications for 52, 57
    - high-level principles 39
    - no regulation of time limits for 45
    - pre-authorization requirements 40–41, 45, 48
    - travel costs not included 48–9
    - as waste of resources 56–7, 59
    - see also* cross-border healthcare
  - Cullis, John G. 92–3
  - Cuomo, Andrew M. 110
  - Czech Republic
    - accessibility of healthcare 207
    - density of hospital provision 214, 216, 219
    - innovative care *see* Czech Republic, innovative healthcare
    - right to choose healthcare providers 207
  - affordability of healthcare
    - capital investment 212
    - cost efficiency concerns 221–2
    - expenditure trends 212–14, 215, 216, 217, 218
    - global budgets 212
    - private payments for healthcare 207, 213
    - quality bonuses to hospitals 220
    - reimbursement models *see* Czech Republic, reimbursement models
  - health insurance system (mandatory)
    - 205–6
    - contracting system 206
    - incentives for long-term hospital care instead of social care 221
  - hospital sector
    - clinical trends 220
    - density of hospital provision 214, 216, 219
    - hospital types by founder 217, 218
    - particular contract centres 219
    - privatization 216, 217
    - revenue and expenditure, data on 212–14, 215, 216, 217, 218
  - innovative healthcare
    - financing of 220
    - services outside volume restrictions 211–12
  - quality of healthcare 219–20
  - reimbursement models 209–10
    - bundled payments 211
    - DRG as main model 208–9, 209–10
    - see also* diagnosis related group (DRG) financing
  - organizational challenges 221
  - reference periods 209
  - regulatory restrictions on reimbursements 209
  - Reimbursement Decree 208
  - services covered 210–12
- Dartmouth Atlas of Healthcare in the United States* 24–5
- De La Rosa, Stephane 40
  - De Witte, Floris 56
  - Delnoij, Diana 43–4
  - density of hospital provision
    - Austria 158–9
    - Belgium 188, 189
    - Colombia 227–8, 229
    - country comparisons 6, 7–8
    - Czech Republic 214, 216, 219
    - England 257
    - France 8, 290–91
    - Germany 315, 316, 317–18
    - Ireland 8, 336
    - Netherlands 8, 366
  - rural and isolated areas *see* accessibility of healthcare, rural and isolated areas

- South Africa 420–21, 422
- Spain 8
- United Kingdom 8, 257
- volume-dependent financing *see*  
volume-dependent financing  
*see also* accessibility of healthcare
- diagnosis related group (DRG)  
financing  
Austria 165–6, 168–9  
Colombia 235–6  
cost-efficiency incentive 8  
cross-border healthcare  
*see also* cross-border healthcare  
cost per patient calculations  
facilitated by 9  
DRG coding of treatments 44–5,  
176–7  
Czech Republic 208–9, 209–10  
DRG coding for quality  
transparency  
Austria 176  
Netherlands 65–6  
France 293–5, 295–6  
Germany 318–19, 321–3, 325, 327,  
328–9  
new patient acceptance, incentives  
for 9  
Nordic state cooperation 400  
Norway 400–401  
overtreatment and waste, incentives  
for 8–9  
quality of care, lack of incentives  
for 10  
*see also* reimbursement models
- diagnosis treatment combination  
(DTC) 9  
cost-efficiency incentive 8  
Netherlands 368–9, 373, 378, 380,  
381–2, 383, 384–5  
quality of healthcare, lack of  
incentives for 378, 384–5  
*see also* reimbursement models
- direct funding (Beveridge) systems  
contracting under *see* contracting  
healthcare services  
Cross-Border Healthcare Directive  
reimbursement system,  
implications for 45–6, 52, 56, 57  
England *see* England, National  
Health Service (NHS)
- health insurance (Bismarck) systems  
distinguished 11, 82  
Ireland *see* Ireland, National Health  
Service (NHS)  
Norway *see* Norway  
Spain *see* Spain, National Health  
Service (NHS)  
states following 83  
*see also* governance of healthcare;  
hospital financing
- Directive 2011/24/EU *see* Cross-Border  
Healthcare Directive (2011/24/  
EU)
- doctors *see* physicians
- Donabedian, Avedis 25
- DRG financing *see* diagnosis related  
group (DRG) financing
- Dudash, Kellie 141–3
- Durand-Zaleski, Isabelle 300–301
- efficiency *see* cost-efficiency
- Eijkenaar, Frank 147
- emergency healthcare services  
Colombia 226  
England 256, 258–9, 260  
France 287  
Germany 315  
Ireland 334  
Netherlands 363, 365  
Norway 389, 393, 394, 399  
*see also* accessibility of healthcare
- England  
accessibility of healthcare  
density of hospital provision 257  
emergency services 256, 258–9,  
260  
foreign nationals 74  
patient access to hospitals 256,  
258  
right to choose healthcare  
providers 69, 71–2, 255,  
258–9, 273–4  
*see also* England, patient choice  
policies  
waiting times *see* England, waiting  
times
- affordability of healthcare 263  
capital funding to NHS 269–70  
'co-funding' of NHS services not  
permitted 79

- co-payments and out-of-pocket payments by patients 78–9, 253, 262
- financial control totals and Sustainability and Transformation Fund 279–80
- initiatives to address growing demand for services 281–3
- NHS financial performance 270–72
- NHS funding allocation system 263–4
- patient-commissioned services (personal health budget regime) 79–80, 81
- physician remuneration 281
- reimbursement models *see* reimbursement models
- workforce spending 280, 281
- cross-border healthcare
  - overseas users of NHS services, rules on 74
  - R. (on the application of Watts) v Bedford Primary Care Trust (C-372/04)* 40–41
  - see also* Cross-Border Healthcare Directive (2011/24/EU)
- National Health Service (NHS) 82, 250–51
  - acute trusts, characteristics of 253–4
  - capital funding to 269–70
  - collaboration and mergers between healthcare providers 274–5
  - commissioning function 64, 67–8, 80, 253, 272–4
  - core principles of 250
  - duty to provide care, compared with Dutch regime 71–6, 80–81
  - see also* Netherlands, health insurance system
  - financial performance 270–72
  - funding allocation system 263–4
  - governing bodies 64, 251–3, 275
  - National Tariff payment system 264–5
  - NHS Continuing Healthcare regime 79, 80
  - NHS Provider Licence regime 68
  - patient choice policies *see* England, patient choice policies
  - private healthcare sector, relationship with 63–4, 71–2
  - regulatory oversight 64, 68, 72, 73, 81, 254–5, 281
  - services provided 256
  - sustainability and transformation partnerships 282
  - ‘triple lock’ rules 75
  - waiting time targets 75–6, 261
  - patient choice policies 69, 71–2, 255, 258–9, 273–4
  - NHS Choices online service 258, 273
  - patient-commissioned services (personal health budget regime) 79–80, 81
  - private healthcare sector
    - clinical staff regulation 280–81
    - NHS patients transferred to private sector 75, 79, 262
    - NHS, relationship with 63–4, 71–2
    - regulatory oversight 64, 68, 69, 72, 73
    - services provided 255
    - size of 253, 255
    - waiting times 262
  - quality of healthcare
    - Best Practice Tariffs to incentivize 267
    - Care Quality Commission supervision of 64, 68, 69, 72, 252, 276, 277–8, 279
    - clinical staff shortages and regulation 280–81, 281–2
    - Commissioning for Quality and Innovation (CQUIN) framework 267, 276–7
    - NHS Improvement supervision of 73, 252, 254, 276, 278–9
    - quality indicators 275–7
    - special measures for underperforming trusts 279

- reimbursement models
  - block contracts 267–8
  - debates on 9
  - integrated budgets initiatives 268–9
  - NHS National Tariff payment system 264–5
  - Payment by Results (PbR) model 265–7, 273
- waiting times
  - hospital performance measured against targets 259–62
  - in private healthcare sector 262
  - targets 75–6, 261
  - see also* United Kingdom
- EU law on healthcare
  - Brexit, healthcare implications 355
  - Cross-Border Healthcare Directive *see* Cross-Border Healthcare Directive (2011/24/EU)
  - European Health Insurance Card (EHIC) regime 309–10
  - Professional Qualifications Directive (2005/36/EC) 407 *see also* physicians, training and accreditation
- expenditure trends 3–5
  - costs over time, country comparisons 4, 303
  - costs relative to number of MRI scanners 5
  - Czech Republic 212–14, 215, 216, 217, 218
  - Ireland 4, 339
  - Norway 391–2
  - reimbursement models, relationship with 8–9
  - Spain 84–5 *see also* hospital financing
- fee-for-service (FFS) models
  - advantages and disadvantages 122
  - Belgium, replacement with flat-rate fees 192–3, 203–4
  - Colombia 234–5
  - cost-efficiency incentive 8
  - cross-border healthcare, cost calculations facilitated by 9
  - fragmented FFS contracts, drawbacks of 129, 130–33 *see also* integrated population-based contracts
- new patient acceptance, incentives for 9
- overtreatment and waste, incentives for 8–9, 131–2
- quality of care, lack of incentives for 10, 118–19
- South Africa (private hospital sector) 423–4 *see also* contracting healthcare services; reimbursement models
- Finland
  - Nordic state DRG cooperation 400 *see also* diagnosis related group (DRG) financing
  - reimbursements under Cross-Border Healthcare Directive, threatened European Commission proceedings 46–7
- foreign healthcare provision *see* cross-border healthcare
- France
  - accessibility of healthcare
  - density of hospital provision 8, 290–91
  - emergency services 287
  - entitlement to care 284–5, 290
  - innovative care 295–6
  - right to choose healthcare providers 290, 300
  - rural and isolated areas 294
  - travel times to hospitals 290–91
  - waiting times 291, 303
  - affordability of healthcare
  - bundled payments initiative 301
  - capital investments 293
  - financial situation of hospitals 295
  - hospital financing *see* France, hospital financing
  - physician remuneration 288, 289, 301
  - private payments 284–5, 290, 302
  - reimbursement model 293–5, 295–6, 304
  - third-party payments for physicians' consultations initiative 300–301

- governance of healthcare
  - decentralized system 13
  - governing bodies 287, 297–300
  - social insurance system (mandatory) 284–5, 290
- hospital financing 291–5
  - Dutch system compared 301–2, 303–4
- hospital sector
  - bankruptcy support 291
  - capacity of hospitals by type 286
  - competition within 300
  - density of hospital provision 8, 290–91
  - hospital types 286
  - market concentration 286–7
  - number of hospitals 286, 302
  - physician employment status 288–9
  - size of hospitals 6, 8
  - quality of healthcare
    - innovative care 295–6
    - payment by performance
      - remuneration for physicians 289
    - regional initiatives to improve 301
    - supervision of 299–300
- freedom to choose healthcare providers
  - see* right to choose healthcare providers
- Friedman, Milton 33
- Fuchs, Victor 22
- Galvin, Robert W. 25
- gatekeeping hospital access 12
  - Austria 158
  - Colombia 228, 230
  - England 256, 258
  - under FFS contracts 130–33
    - see also* fee-for-service (FFS) models
    - contract model illustrated 131
  - France 290
  - under integrated population-based contracts *see* integrated population-based contracts
  - Ireland 334
  - Netherlands 365
  - see also* right to choose healthcare providers
- Germany
  - accessibility of healthcare
    - cross-border healthcare 52, 309–10
    - density of hospital provision 315, 316, 317–18
    - emergency services 315
    - innovative care 328–9
    - patient access to hospital care 314, 315
    - travel times to hospitals 315, 316
    - waiting times 315, 317
  - affordability of healthcare
    - ambulatory and inpatient services financed separated 305–6, 306–7, 317
    - capital investments 321–3, 331
    - financial situation of hospitals 317
    - hospital financing 318–23
    - private payments *see* Germany, private payments for healthcare
    - reimbursement models *see* Germany, reimbursement models
  - governance of healthcare
    - devolved system 13, 305, 312, 329–30
    - governing bodies 305, 311–13
  - health insurance system (mandatory) 305, 306, 307, 308–9
    - corporate stakeholders 312–13
    - physician representatives 313–14
    - risk-adjustment system 310–11
  - hospital sector
    - competition within 324–5
    - decentralization and fragmentation trend 330–31
    - density of hospital provision 315, 316, 317–18
    - hospital types and owners 306–7, 323–4
    - market access 324
    - physician employment status and conditions 320–21
    - private ownership trend 323–4
    - VAT status of hospitals 325
  - private payments for healthcare
    - additional contributions to

- mandatory health insurance 308
  - co-payments 314–15
  - private health insurance system 305, 306, 307–8, 309, 315
- quality of healthcare
  - clinical staff shortages 330, 331
  - hospital inspections 330
  - innovative care 328–9
  - overtreatment concerns 330
  - patient satisfaction survey data 329
  - physician training and accreditation 313–14, 329–30
  - quality management systems 325–7
  - quality-related price and budget-setting 327–8, 331
- reimbursement models
  - DRG system 318–19, 321–3, 325, 327, 328–9
    - see also* diagnosis related group (DRG) financing
  - Gesundes Kinzigtal integrated population-based contract 145–6
    - see also* integrated population-based contracts
- Glassberg, Hope 143–5
- Goldfarb, Neil I. 141–3
- governance of healthcare
  - centralized or decentralized governance 13
  - debates on 13–14
  - for funding 12
    - see also* direct funding (Beveridge) systems; health insurance (Bismarck) systems
  - norm-based regulation 14
  - public or private ownership of healthcare providers 13
    - see also under* country reports
- GP referrals to hospital *see* gatekeeping hospital access
- health insurance (private) *see* private health insurance
- health insurance (Bismarck) systems
  - Austria 153–5
  - Belgium *see* Belgium, health insurance system (mandatory)
  - Colombia *see* Colombia, health insurance system (mandatory)
- competition between insurers *see* competition in healthcare provision, between insurers
- contracting under *see* contracting healthcare services
- Cross-Border Healthcare Directive reimbursement system, implications for 45–6, 52, 56, 57
- direct funding (Beveridge) systems distinguished 11, 82
- France 284–5, 290
- Germany *see* Germany, health insurance system (mandatory)
- Netherlands *see* Netherlands, health insurance system (mandatory)
- regulatory frameworks based on 13 states following 83
  - see also* governance of healthcare; hospital financing
- health technology assessments *see* medical technology, health technology assessments
- health tourism *see* cross-border healthcare
- healthcare governance *see* governance of healthcare
- healthcare services
  - emergency services *see* emergency healthcare services
  - innovative care *see* innovative healthcare
  - preventive care *see* preventive health specialist services *see* specialist healthcare services
- hospital access control *see* gatekeeping hospital access
- hospital financing
  - Austria 163–4
  - bankruptcy support
    - France 291
    - Netherlands 367
  - Belgium 190–93
  - capital investments *see* capital investments
  - Colombia 236–7

- cost efficiency concerns *see* cost efficiency
- direct funding systems *see* direct funding (Beveridge) systems
- expenditure trends *see* expenditure trends
- France *see* France, hospital financing
- Germany 318–23
- health insurance systems *see* health insurance (Bismarck) systems
- Ireland 334, 338–9, 354
- Netherlands *see* Netherlands, hospital financing
- Norway 388
- rationing and budget caps *see* budget caps; rationing of healthcare services
- South Africa 421, 423–4
  
- innovative healthcare 21
  - Austria 177–8
  - Belgium 203
  - Czech Republic *see* Czech Republic, innovative healthcare
  - France 295–6
  - Germany 328–9
  - innovation-accessibility trade-off under Cross-Border Healthcare Directive 54–60
  - Ireland 352
  - medical technology *see* medical technology
  - Netherlands 370–71, 374, 384
  - Norway 398, 405–6, 409–10
  - United States, innovation constrained 32
  - see also* quality of healthcare
- insolvency of healthcare providers *see* hospital financing, bankruptcy support
- insurance systems *see* health insurance systems
- integrated population-based contracts 133–4
  - examples 141
    - Alternative Quality Contract of Blue Cross Blue Shield, Massachusetts 141–3
    - Dutch initiatives 146–8
    - English initiatives 268–9
    - Gesundes Kinzigtal contract, Germany 145–6
    - Montefiore Medical Center contract, New York state 143–5
    - goals of 133
    - need for 129–33
    - simple model involving GPs and hospitals set-up 134–6
    - optimum contract 136
    - separate contract with service fees 136–7
    - joint contract with capitation payment 137–9
    - population-based contract with free patient choice 139–40
    - limitations of model 148–9
    - see also* capitation models; contracting healthcare services; quality of healthcare
- Ireland 332
  - accessibility of healthcare cross-border healthcare *see* Ireland, cross-border healthcare
  - density of hospital provision 8, 336
  - eHealth strategy 352
  - emergency services 334
  - foreign nationals 334–5
  - innovative care 352
  - travel times to hospitals 336
  - waiting times 336–8, 340, 355–6, 357
- affordability of healthcare
  - co-payments and out-of-pocket by patients 334, 338, 339, 345
  - expenditure trends 4, 339
  - hospital financing 334, 338–9, 354
  - physician remuneration 338, 341–3, 344
- cross-border healthcare
  - pre-authorization rules 48
  - refusal to deal with intermediaries 53
- hospital sector
  - competition within 344–5
  - density of hospital provision 8, 336

- hospital types and services 333–4, 339
- physician employment status and conditions 338, 341–3, 344
- size of hospitals 6, 8
- key healthcare challenges 354–5
  - Brexit 355
  - demographic trends 332–3, 356–7
  - quality governance concerns 356
  - waiting times 336–8, 340, 355–6, 357
- National Health Service (NHS) 338–9
  - Health Service Executive's role 338, 344–5, 346–8, 356
  - High Tech Drug Scheme 336
  - Long-Term Illness Scheme 335–6
  - medical card scheme for lower income citizens 334, 335, 339, 340
  - Sláintecare Report (2017) reform proposals 353–4, 356–8
  - universal healthcare reforms 345, 353–4, 357
- private healthcare sector
  - equity concerns 339, 340, 345
  - NHS patients transferred to private sector 345
  - per diem* reimbursement system 344
  - physician employment status and conditions 343, 344
  - private hospitals 333
  - proposal to restrict to private hospital care 354, 357
- quality of healthcare
  - complaints mechanism 347–8
  - concerns about 356
  - Guide to Professional Conduct and Ethics* (Irish Medical Council) 350
  - Health Information and Quality Authority's role and standards 348–9
  - Health Service Executive's quality assurance and improvement role 346–7
  - innovative care 352
  - physician training and accreditation 349–52, 355
- isolated areas *see* accessibility of healthcare, rural and isolated areas
- Jacobs, Laurence R. 21–2
- Jacobs, Laurie G. 143–5
- Kissick, William 22
- Knox Lovell, C.A. 93–4
- Krugman, Paul 32
- Leape, Lucian L. 25
- Lee, Maw Lin 93
- length of hospital stays
  - Czech Republic, long-term hospital care incentivized 221
  - medical technology, impact on 4–5 *see also* cost efficiency
- Martin, Stephen 89
- Meara, Anne 143–5
- Medicaid (US health insurance programme) 19, 109
  - Medicaid reform in New York state *see* New York state, health insurance reform project
- medical technology
  - as driver of healthcare spending
    - US views on 21, 22
  - health technology assessments
    - Austria 177–8
    - Norway 405–6
  - hospital costs relative to number of MRI scanners 5
  - hospital length of stay, impact on 4–5
  - Ireland, eHealth strategy 352
  - mortality following acute myocardial infarction, impact on 6 *see also* innovative healthcare
- Medicare (US health insurance programme) 18, 27, 30, 34 *see also* United States, health insurance system
- Mikkers, Misja 2
- Montefiore Medical Center integrated population-based contract, New York state 143–5 *see also* integrated population-based contracts

- National Academy of Medicine (US)
  - see* United States, National Academy of Medicine reports
- National Health Services (NHS)
  - systems *see* direct funding (Beveridge) systems
- Netherlands
  - accessibility of healthcare 383–4
  - cross-border healthcare 363–4
  - density of hospital provision 8, 366
  - emergency services 363, 365
  - enforceability of access rights 11
  - innovative care 370–71, 374, 384
  - obstetric care 379
  - patient access to hospital care 365
  - patient choice policies *see* Netherlands, patient choice policies
  - reform initiatives 379–80
  - specialist services 362
  - travel times to hospitals 365, 366
  - waiting times 70–71, 303, 365, 366–7, 384
  - affordability of healthcare
    - capital investments 370–71, 384
    - financial situation of hospitals 371–2
    - fiscal transparency requirements 371, 376–7
    - hospital financing *see* Netherlands, hospital financing
    - private payments *see* Netherlands, private payments for healthcare
    - reimbursement models *see* Netherlands, reimbursement models
  - governance of healthcare
    - centralized system 13
    - licensing of innovative services 370–71
    - price regulation 371, 376–7, 381
    - regulatory oversight 65, 81, 374–7
  - health insurance system (mandatory)
    - 63, 302, 359–60
    - average premiums 383
    - contracting under 65–7, 80, 133
    - duty to provide care 69–71, 80, 81, 361
    - patient-commissioned services (personal care budget regime) 77–8, 81
    - regulatory oversight 65, 81, 374–7
    - reimbursement models *see* Netherlands, reimbursement models
    - risk-adjustment system 561
    - services covered 360–61
  - hospital financing 368
    - cost control initiatives 379, 381–3
    - French system compared 301–2, 303–4
  - hospital sector
    - bankruptcy support 367
    - competition within 5, 6, 364–5, 367, 377–8, 379, 380–81
    - density of hospital provision 8, 366
    - eligibility of healthcare providers 363, 376
    - hospital types and services 362–3
    - number of hospitals 302
    - physician employment status 364
    - size of hospitals 6, 8
  - key healthcare challenges 378
  - patient choice policies
    - freedom of choice restricted 63
    - patient-commissioned services (personal care budget regime) 77–8, 81
  - private payments for healthcare
    - co-payments and out-of-pocket payments 76–7, 302, 361, 365, 382
    - income-dependent contributions 360, 383
    - transparency concerns 382
  - quality of healthcare
    - citizen information on 373
    - concerns about 378
    - DRG coding for quality transparency 65–6
    - see also* diagnosis related group (DRG) financing
  - hospital requirements 363, 372, 375, 379
  - innovative care 370–71, 374, 384
  - not incentivized by DTC system 378, 384

- see also* diagnosis treatment combination (DTC)
- physician accountability 372–3, 375
- physician training and accreditation 372, 374
- quality indicators 373, 375, 384
- reimbursement models
  - debates on 304, 385
  - DTC system 9, 368–9, 373, 378, 380, 381–2, 383, 384–5
  - see also* diagnosis treatment combination (DTC)
- integrated population-based contracts, experiments with 146–8
  - see also* integrated population-based contracts
- reimbursement of non-contracted services obligation 66–7
- New York state
  - Delivery System Reform Incentive Payment Program (DSRIP) 10, 112–13
  - five pillars and their sub-goals 113
  - financial incentives, four phases of 113–14
  - financial incentives, implementation of 114–15
  - results 115–16, 117, 126
  - lessons learned 116, 118, 127–8
  - VBP financing scheme *see* value-based payment (VBP) financing, New York state
- health insurance reform project
  - aims of 110–11, 113
  - DSRIP *see* New York state, Delivery System Reform Incentive Payment Program (DSRIP)
  - global spending cap 111, 112, 114
  - health insurance options before reform 109
  - reinvestment of federal savings from 111, 112
- Medicaid (before reform)
  - as FFS model, drawbacks of 118–19
- see also* fee-for-service (FFS) models
- spending per recipient (2003–15) 112
- state-level problems 109–10
- Montefiore Medical Center
  - integrated population-based contract 143–5
  - see also* integrated population-based contracts; United States
- Newhouse, Joseph P. 93
- NHS systems *see* direct funding (Beveridge) systems
- Niskanen, William A. 94
- Nolte, Ellen 145–6
- Northern Ireland, healthcare implications of Brexit 355
- Norway
  - accessibility of healthcare
    - cross-border healthcare 392, 397–8
    - emergency services 389, 393, 394, 399
    - entitlement to care 390–91, 393–5, 398
    - innovative care 398, 405–6, 409–10
    - irregular migrants 398–400
    - patient choice and right to second opinion 396, 404
    - rural and isolated areas 388
    - specialist services 388, 391, 393–5, 396, 401, 402, 408
    - travel expenses 397
    - waiting times 395–6
  - affordability of healthcare
    - expenditure trends 391–2
    - ‘golden rule’ policy for services to vulnerable groups 388
  - hospital financing 388
  - patient payments (mandatory) 401
  - preventive healthcare investment 411–12
  - private health insurance 402
  - reimbursement models *see* Norway, reimbursement models
  - Western Norway regional budget 402

- governance of healthcare
  - decentralized system 386–7, 400, 401
  - legislation 390–91, 392–3
  - patient data collection 411
  - public supervision 408–9
  - regional health enterprises 387–8, 393–5, 400, 401, 402
- hospital sector
  - competition within 402–3, 410
  - concentration of services, calls for 388
  - contracting services 410
  - physician employment status 388, 390, 402
- key healthcare challenges
  - demographic trends 391, 410
  - prioritization and cost effectiveness *versus* citizen demands 409–10
- quality of healthcare
  - innovative care 398, 405–6, 409–10
  - key stakeholders 403
  - national quality indicators 403–4, 405
  - physician training and accreditation 406–8
  - quality-based financing 401, 405
  - supervision of 408–9
- reimbursement models
  - activity-based financing 400–401
  - debates on 9
  - DRG system 400–401
    - see also* diagnosis related group (DRG) financing
    - quality-based financing 401, 405
- ‘Obamacare’ *see* United States, ‘Obamacare’ reform
- Ortún, Vicente 93–4
- out-of-pocket payments 12
  - Austria 155, 157
  - Colombia 232, 236
  - England 78–9, 253, 262
  - France 290
  - Ireland 334, 338, 339, 345
  - Netherlands 76, 361, 365, 382
  - South Africa 415
  - see also* private payments for healthcare
- overtreatment
  - DRG financing, incentives for 8–9
    - see also* diagnosis related group (DRG) financing
  - FFS models, incentives for 8–9, 131–2
    - see also* fee-for-service (FFS) models
  - Germany, concerns about 330
    - see also* quality of healthcare; waste in healthcare system
- Palm, Willy 44
- Paolucci, Francesco 2
- patient choice policies *see* right to choose healthcare providers
- Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act 2010 (US) *see* United States, ‘Obamacare’ reform
- Patients’ Rights Directive *see* Cross-Border Healthcare Directive (2011/24/EU)
- payment systems *see* reimbursement models
- physicians
  - accountability
    - Ireland 350–51
    - Netherlands 372–3, 375
    - United States 29
  - employment status
    - Belgium 186–7
    - Colombia 237–8
    - France 288–9
    - Germany 288–9
    - Ireland 341–2
    - Netherlands 364
    - Norway 388, 390, 402
    - South Africa 423, 427
    - United States (open medical staff model) 28
- GP referrals to hospital *see* gatekeeping hospital access
- remuneration
  - Austria 166
  - Belgium 192–3
  - England 281
  - France 288, 289, 301
  - Germany 320–21
  - Ireland 338, 341–3, 344
  - South Africa 423

- shortages of
  - see also* accessibility of healthcare
  - Colombia 288
  - England 280–81, 281–2
  - Germany 330
- training and accreditation
  - see also* quality of healthcare
  - Austria 178–9
  - Belgium 199–200
  - cross-border recognition of
    - qualifications 355, 407
  - England 280–81
  - Germany 313–14, 329–30
  - Ireland 349–52, 355
  - Netherlands 372, 374
  - Norway 406–8
- population-based financing *see*
  - capitation models; integrated
  - population-based contracts
- Porter, Michael E. 26, 119, 128
- preventive healthcare
  - contracts lacking incentives for 130, 132
  - see also* contracting healthcare services
  - New York state 123
  - Norway 411–12
  - under ‘Obamacare’ reform 19–20, 21
  - see also* United States
- private health insurance
  - Austria 155–6, 166
  - Colombia 232
  - England *see* England, private healthcare sector
  - France 284–5, 290
  - Germany 305, 306, 307–8, 309, 315
  - Ireland *see* Ireland, private healthcare sector
  - Norway 402
  - South Africa 415, 418–20, 425
  - United States 29–30, 31
  - see also* private payments for healthcare
- private payments for healthcare
  - Austria *see* Austria, private payments for healthcare
  - Belgium 193–4
  - co-payments *see* co-payments
  - Colombia 232–3, 236
  - Czech Republic 207, 213
  - England 78–9, 253
  - see also* England, private healthcare sector
  - France 284–5, 290, 302
  - Germany *see* Germany, private payments for healthcare
  - Ireland 334, 338, 339, 345
  - see also* Ireland, private healthcare sector
  - models of 12
  - Netherlands *see* Netherlands, private payments for healthcare
  - Norway 402
  - out-of-pocket payments *see* out-of-pocket payments
  - private health insurance *see* private health insurance
  - South Africa 415
  - see also* South Africa, private healthcare sector
  - United States 29–30, 31
- Professional Qualifications Directive (2005/36/EC) 407
- see also* physicians, training and accreditation
- public health measures *see* preventive health
- public procurement *see* contracting healthcare services
- quality of healthcare
  - contracting and non-contracting, quality benefits compared 65–6, 80
  - DRG coding for quality transparency
  - see also* diagnosis related group (DRG) financing
  - Austria 176
  - Netherlands 65–6
  - DRG, DTC and FFS reimbursement models, quality not incentivized 10, 118–19, 378
  - see also* diagnosis related group (DRG) financing; diagnosis treatment combination (DTC); fee-for-service (FFS) models
- innovative care *see* innovative healthcare

- integrated population-based contracts to promote *see* integrated population-based contracts
- overtreatment *see* overtreatment
- physician training and accreditation *see* physicians, training and accreditation
- undertreatment associated with budget caps 132
- VBP reimbursement model *see* value-based payment (VBP) financing
- see also under* country reports
- Quentin, Wilm 289
- R. (on the application of Watts) v Bedford Primary Care Trust (C-372/04)* 40–41
- see also* Cross-Border Healthcare Directive (2011/24/EU)
- rationing of healthcare services 86
- private payments *see* private payments for healthcare
- United States, 1990s 22, 23, 138
- waiting lists *see* waiting times
- see also* accessibility of healthcare
- referrals to hospital *see* gatekeeping hospital access
- regulation of healthcare *see* governance of healthcare
- reimbursement models 8–10
  - Austria 165–6, 168–9
  - Belgium 192–3, 196–7
  - block grants/contracts *see* block grants/contracts
  - bundled payments *see* bundled payments
  - capitation models *see* capitation models
  - Colombia *see* Colombia, reimbursement models
  - Czech Republic *see* Czech Republic, reimbursement models
  - DRG financing *see* diagnosis related group (DRG) financing
  - DTC *see* diagnosis treatment combination (DTC)
  - England *see* England, reimbursement models
  - FFS models *see* fee-for-service (FFS) models
  - four models compared 122
  - France 293–5, 295–6, 304
  - Germany *see* Germany, reimbursement models
  - Netherlands *see* Netherlands, reimbursement models
  - Norway *see* Norway, reimbursement models
  - South Africa (private hospital sector) 423–4, 428
  - United States *see* United States, reimbursement models
  - VBP financing *see* value-based payment (VBP) financing
  - volume-dependent financing *see* volume-dependent financing
- Reinhardt, Uwe E. 33
- remote areas *see* accessibility of healthcare, rural and isolated areas
- right to choose healthcare providers
  - Austria 158
  - Colombia 239
  - Czech Republic 207
  - England 69, 71–2, 255, 258–9, 273–4
  - France 290, 300
  - Germany 314
  - Netherlands (restricted) 63
  - Norway 396, 404
  - patient-commissioned services regimens
    - England 79–80, 81
    - Netherlands 77–8, 81
  - population-based contract with free patient choice 139–40
  - see also* integrated population-based contracts
  - see also* competition in healthcare provision, between healthcare providers; gatekeeping hospital access
- Rodríguez-Álvarez, Ana and Rosete-Rivero, Mayte *see* waiting times, theoretical model for analysing waiting lists (Rodríguez-Álvarez and Rosete-Rivero)
- rural areas *see* accessibility of healthcare, rural and isolated areas

- Sauter, Wolf 43–4
- Schut, Erik 147
- size of hospitals
- Belgium 6
  - country comparisons 6, 7
  - debates on 6
  - France 6, 8
  - Ireland 6, 8
  - Netherlands 6, 8
  - Spain 8
  - United Kingdom 6, 8
- see also* density of hospital provision
- Smith, Peter C. 89
- social security-based healthcare systems *see* health insurance (Bismarck) systems
- South Africa
- accessibility of healthcare
    - constitutional right to healthcare 414
    - density of hospital provision 420–21, 422
    - financial exclusion problem 415–16, 433
    - waiting times 430  - affordability of healthcare 421
    - FFS reimbursement for private hospital sector 423–4, 428
    - see also* fee-for-service (FFS) models
    - hospital financing 421, 423–4
    - out-of-pocket payments by patients 415  - demographic trends 413–14
  - governance of healthcare
    - decentralized system 13, 414–15
    - mixed public and private funding system 415–17
    - nationally raised tax allocations 417–18
    - reform proposals 431–3
    - regulatory oversight 428–9  - hospital sector
    - competition within 424–6, 427
    - density of hospital provision 420–21, 422
    - market failures, proposals to address 432–3
    - physician employment status and conditions 423, 427
    - private hospitals 417, 422, 423–4, 426–7, 428
    - public hospitals 416–17, 421, 423, 428, 429–30
- private healthcare sector
- competition between insurers 426
  - FFS reimbursement 423–4, 428
  - see also* fee-for-service (FFS) models
  - funder market concentration 425
  - outsourcing to care companies, conflict of interest concerns 425–6
  - private health insurance 415, 418–20, 425
  - private hospitals 417, 422, 423–4, 426–7, 428
  - quality performance compared with public sector 430, 431
- quality of healthcare
- not incentivized by FFS system 428
  - see also* fee-for-service (FFS) models
  - provincial maternal mortality ratios as proxy indicator of 430, 431
  - public and private sector compared 430, 431
  - quality assurance standards for public hospitals 428, 429–30
- Spain
- accessibility of healthcare provision
    - density of hospital provision 8
    - foreign nationals 83
    - waiting time *see* Spain, waiting time  - affordability of healthcare
    - austerity measures, impact on healthcare spending 84
    - expenditure trends 84–5
    - private healthcare sector 84  - hospital sector
    - density of hospital provision 8
    - size of hospitals 8  - National Health Service (NHS) 83
  - devolved governance of 83–4

- no direct competition between hospitals 93
- restricted services to immigrants 83
- unemployment, health impacts of 104–5
- waiting times 11, 84, 86
  - data on 88
  - regulations and action plans to reduce 87, 88
  - theoretical model applied to Spanish data 98–106
    - see also* waiting times, theoretical model for analysing waiting lists (Rodríguez-Álvarez and Rosete-Rivero)
- specialist healthcare services
  - Austria 152, 153
  - Belgium 183, 188
  - Colombia 228, 230, 246–7
  - Netherlands 362
  - Norway 388, 391, 393–5, 396, 401, 402, 408
  - remuneration of specialists *see* physicians, remuneration
  - see also* accessibility of healthcare
- Spicer, Michael W. 93–4
- tax-based healthcare systems *see* direct funding (Beveridge) systems
- technology *see* medical technology
- travel to hospitals
  - Austria 158–9
  - Belgium 188, 189
  - Colombia 228
  - France 290–91
  - Germany 315, 316
  - Ireland 336
  - Netherlands 365, 366
  - travel expenses
    - coverage in Norway 397
    - not reimbursed under Cross-Border Healthcare Directive 48–9
    - see also* accessibility of healthcare
- Triple Aim healthcare policy (US) 23, 24–6, 111
  - see also* United States, governance of healthcare
- undertreatment associated with budget caps 132
  - see also* budget caps; quality of healthcare
- United Kingdom
  - Brexit, healthcare implications 355
  - density of hospital provision 8
  - devolved system of healthcare governance 13
  - England *see* England
  - size of hospitals 6, 8
- United States 17
  - Dartmouth Atlas of Healthcare in the United States* 24–5
  - governance of healthcare 27
    - barriers to physician and non-physician entry 31, 32, 35
    - competition constrained by 30–33
    - ‘Obamacare’ *see* United States, ‘Obamacare’ reform
    - physician-hospital relations 28
    - physician privileges 28, 33
    - rationing approach, 1990s 22, 23, 138
    - reform proposals 34–6
    - technology viewed as driver of healthcare spending 21, 22
    - Triple Aim 23, 24–6, 111
  - health insurance system 29–30
  - competition constraints 31
  - insurability and affordability concerns 18, 21–2
  - Medicaid 19, 109
  - Medicaid reform in New York state *see* New York state, health insurance reform project
  - Medicare 18, 27, 30, 34
  - private insurance offered by employers 29–30, 31
  - reform proposals 34–5
  - healthcare spending, data on 20
  - integrated population-based contracts, US examples
    - see also* integrated population-based contracts
    - Alternative Quality Contract of Blue Cross Blue Shield, Massachusetts 141–3

- Montefiore Medical Center  
contract, New York state  
143–5
- National Academy of Medicine  
reports  
*Best Care at Lower Cost* (2012)  
24  
*Crossing the Quality Chasm* (2001)  
26  
*To Err Is Human* (1999) 25–6
- ‘Obamacare’ reform  
healthcare quality and efficiency  
measures 19–21  
insurance coverage and  
affordability measures 18–19,  
110  
preventive health measures 19–20,  
21  
quality of healthcare  
concerns about 26  
life expectancy and infant  
mortality, data on 20  
medical profession’s influence  
on 29  
‘Obamacare’ measures 19–21  
physician accountability 29  
reimbursement models  
‘Obamacare’ reform alternatives  
20  
VBP financing in New York state  
*see* value-based payment  
(VBP) financing, New York  
state  
waste in healthcare system 9, 23–4,  
34  
from malpractice suits 29  
medicalization of social problems  
35–6  
regulatory constraints on  
competition 30–33  
sources of 24
- value-based payment (VBP) financing  
design principles 128  
New York state 119, 120  
*see also* New York state, Delivery  
System Reform Incentive  
Payment Program (DSRIP)  
impact on providers’ margins 120  
lessons learned 127–8  
paying for value component 121,  
123–4  
results 126  
subpopulations prioritized 121,  
123  
shared savings and assumption of  
risk component 124–5  
*see also* quality of healthcare;  
reimbursement models  
value of healthcare *see* quality of  
healthcare
- Van de Voorde, Carine 295
- volume-dependent financing 9  
*see also* density of hospital  
provision; reimbursement  
models
- voluntary payments *see* private  
payments for healthcare
- waiting times 86–7, 336–7  
Austria 156, 159–62  
Belgium 188–9  
binding norms on 11  
Colombia 230–31  
Czech Republic 207  
England *see* England, waiting times  
France 291, 303  
Germany 315, 317  
Ireland 336–8, 340, 355–6, 357  
lack of information for country  
comparisons 11  
medical technology, impact on 11  
Netherlands 70–71, 303, 365, 366–7,  
384  
Norway 395–6  
reimbursement models, relationship  
with 9  
South Africa 430  
Spain *see* Spain, waiting times  
theoretical model for analysing  
waiting lists (Rodríguez-Álvarez  
and Rosete-Rivero) 89–90  
applied to Spanish data 98–106  
demand for healthcare 90–92  
market equilibrium, conditions  
for and impact of changes in  
supply and demand 96–8  
supply of healthcare 92–5  
*see also* accessibility of healthcare;  
rationing of healthcare services

- waste in healthcare systems  
  DRG financing, incentives for 8–9  
    *see also* diagnosis related group (DRG) financing  
  FFS models, incentives for 8–9, 131–2  
    *see also* fee-for-service (FFS) models  
  overtreatment *see* overtreatment  
  reimbursements under Cross-Border Healthcare Directive 56–7, 59  
    United States *see* United States, waste in healthcare system  
    *see also* cost-efficiency  
  *Watts* case (*R. (on the application of Watts) v Bedford Primary Care Trust (C-372/04)*) 40–41  
    *see also* Cross-Border Healthcare Directive (2011/24/EU)  
  Wennberg, John E. 24–5  
  West, Peter A. 92–3