Introduction to the *Handbook of Research on Entrepreneurship and Aging*

Mikaela Backman, Charlie Karlsson and Orsa Kekezi

... research on older entrepreneurship remains underdeveloped ...
(Wainwright and Kibler, 2014, p. 5)

1. INTRODUCTION

There is a dramatic demographic change occurring across many developed countries in the world today where these countries are facing an increasing share of older individuals. Although the demographic structure within a country tends to be slowly changing over time, the effects of an aging society are already visible in many countries. One basic effect is that the labour force will become relatively smaller in proportion to the number of older individuals. Thus, a smaller labour force must carry the burden and support a larger number of older individuals. This is naturally a problem that policy makers need to address and plan for, specifically since the problem is expected to grow over time for several decades. One possible avenue which might partially reduce the problem is to encourage older individuals to stay longer in the labour force either as employed or as self-employed. Making it possible to be employed at an older age implies that the rules and regulations surrounding the mandatory retirement age need to be flexible but also that firms must be willing to retain their older employees but also to hire older individuals. Late-career transition to self-employment or entrepreneurship is another promising way to address some of the challenges of an aging population.¹ Previous studies have found that a growing share of older individuals are transitioning to self-employment and entrepreneurship (Brown, 2003). A survey in Britain shows that 84 per cent of the growth in self-employment between 2008 and 2012 can be attributed to individuals 50 years or older (Wainwright and Kibler, 2014). Moreover, Backman and Karlsson (2013) show that the relationship between age and self-employment and entrepreneurship looks like an M, that is, a curve with two maxima. People aged 65–74 have a significantly higher probability

¹ In this book we use a broad definition of entrepreneurship, including but not restricted to those that are self-employed.

of becoming self-employed and entrepreneurs than those aged 50–64. Thus, there is reason to question the conventional wisdom that entrepreneurial activity declines continuously with age after a certain age. The tendency for older individuals to engage in entrepreneurial activities including self-employment has been described using several different labels such as ‘senior entrepreneurs’, ‘grey entrepreneurs’, ‘third age entrepreneurs’, ‘elderly entrepreneurs’, ‘older entrepreneurs’, and ‘mature entrepreneurs’.

Although there has been increased interest among researchers in the relationship between age and entrepreneurship, many questions are yet unanswered. The purpose of this book is to close some of these gaps. This book contributes to the existing literature on the relationship between aging and entrepreneurship by focusing on four main themes: the international perspective; innovation, dynamics and performance; the behavioural perspective; and what entrepreneurship among the elderly looks like in different countries. By analysing these patterns, this book sheds light on older individuals’ tendency to become entrepreneurs and contributes to the current discussion of the economic effects of an aging population.

2. WHY IS THERE A SECOND MAXIMUM IN THE PROPENSITY TO BECOME AN ENTREPRENEUR?

How can we possibly understand that there seems to be a clear tendency for the propensity to become an entrepreneur increasing a second time when people come closer to retirement age? When trying to answer this question, let us start from the fundamental assumption that people during their whole life try to maximize their utility. Let us assume that the utility function for a well-informed and rational individual is $U[E(Y_E), E(Y_O), t_v, r]$, where $E(Y_E)$ is the expected income of an individual becoming an entrepreneur, $E(Y_O)$ is the expected opportunity income as a non-entrepreneur, t_v is the value of time and r is the individual’s risk valuation. Here we focus on the pecuniary benefits of becoming an entrepreneur, but it must be acknowledged that there are also non-pecuniary benefits in terms of, for example, the well-being of becoming an entrepreneur.

We will now discuss each of the elements in the utility function to see how they may influence the propensity of an older individual to become an entrepreneur. The expected income of an individual becoming an entrepreneur is the following:

$$E(Y_E) = \left\{ \int_0^L [E(p_t)E(q_t) - E(q_t)E(c_t)]e^{-\delta t} dt - E(F) \right\} [1 - E(\tau_e)]$$

where $E(p_t)$ is the expected price for the product provided by the new firm, $E(q_t)$ is the expected sales volume, $E(c_t)$ is the expected unit cost for producing and distributing the product, $E(F)$ is the expected start-up cost, $E(\tau_c)$ is the expected tax on firm profits, t is time, δ is the discount factor and L is the estimated time horizon for the entrepreneurial project. We might assume that an elderly entrepreneur with his/her experiences might be superior to a younger entrepreneur in designing products that meet the demands of the customers and increase their willingness to pay, which tends to increase the stream of revenue. These superior experiences can also lead to advantages in the form of lower production costs but also lower start-up costs as a result of well-developed networks. These factors tend to increase the propensity of elderly people to become entrepreneurs. On the other hand, we can expect elderly people to have a shorter time horizon for natural reasons and possibly also a higher discount factor than younger people, which tends to reduce the propensity to become an entrepreneur.

Turning now to the opportunity income $E(Y_o)$ of not being an entrepreneur, we get the following expression:

$$E\{Y_o\} = \int_0^J \{E(\hat{Y}_t)e^{-\rho t}dt[1 - E(\tau_{tY})] + E(K_t)e^{E(i)t}e^{-\rho t}dt[1 - E(\tau_{tK})]\}$$

Where $E(\hat{Y}_t)$ is the expected income from other sources than capital or being an entrepreneur, $E(\tau_{tY})$ is the expected tax on incomes from other sources, $E(K_t)$ is the individual's capital invested in the market, $E(i)$ is the expected interest and dividend on the invested capital, $E(\tau_{tK})$ is the expected tax on capital incomes, J is the individual's time horizon and ρ is the discount factor. The income from other sources (net of income tax) has different effects on the propensity to become an entrepreneur depending on the source. If it is income from work, we can initially expect that the higher the income from work, the lower the propensity to become an entrepreneur. However, if that work income is the result of hard work and perhaps also overtime work, we could instead think that it may increase the propensity of elderly people to become entrepreneurs in order to gain control over their own work in terms of when and how much to work. However, if this income from other sources is a life-long pension income, we could assume that some elderly people now are prepared to take the risk of becoming an entrepreneur, since they are not depending on their entrepreneurial income to make a living. We can also imagine that if the pension income is low, that may generate an incentive to become an entrepreneur to earn an extra income to supplement the pension income. If the income from other sources is unemployment benefits that potentially end after

a certain period, we can expect strong incentives among elderly people to become entrepreneurs, since it is often difficult for elderly people who become unemployed to find a new job. Concerning the capital that elderly people own, we can expect that a larger amount of capital stimulates the propensity to become an entrepreneur, given that the capital is not a person's pension capital.

Concerning the influence of the value of time in becoming an entrepreneur, we can assume that the value of time increases with the age of individuals, making them over time less willing to spend time on entrepreneurship. Elderly individuals see time as a scarcer resource and thus give future outcomes a lower value (Lévesque and Minniti, 2006). We can assume that the influence of the risk factor is such that elderly people with incomes from permanent income sources and a larger amount of non-pension capital are prepared to take larger risks than other elderly people.

After this short theoretical discussion, in section 2.1 we now discuss the factors that increase the probability of elderly people becoming entrepreneurs and in section 2.2 we discuss the factors that decrease this probability.

2.1 Factors that Increase the Probability of Elderly People Becoming Entrepreneurs

We expect the following factors will increase the probability that an older potential entrepreneur will become an entrepreneur (Parker, 2009):

1. They may possess more human capital than younger people due to life-long learning and on-the-job training (Singh and DeNoble, 2003; Weber and Schaper, 2004). Formal education and training can increase the likelihood for becoming an entrepreneur due to: (1) acquisition of skills; (2) credentialing; and (3) sorting people by ambition and assertiveness (Kim et al., 2006). They have gained more and more varied expertise and professional experiences through their professional life (Bergmann and Sternberg, 2007; Brüderl et al., 1992; Gray, 1998; Light and Rosenstein, 1995; Parker, 2004) including: (1) technical knowledge (Jones-Evans, 1996); (2) prior industrial experience (Shane, 2003; Storey, 1994); (3) managerial experience (Boden Jr and Nucci, 2000; Kim et al., 2006; Steiner and Solem, 1988); and (4) prior experience of starting a business (Shane, 2003). Thus, they may have developed a superior entrepreneurial ability (Lucas, 1988) and become better at identifying and evaluating business opportunities and they may know more about how to start and run a business, which will reduce both the start-up costs and the running costs.

2. They have normally accumulated a larger capital due to savings, inheritances, and investments in their own home (Blanchflower and Oswald, 1998; Lussier and Pfeifer, 2001; Singh and DeNoble, 2003; Weber and Schaper, 2004), which reduces the need to borrow money to start and run the business and thus leads to lower capital costs. This implies that the liquidity constraint becomes less of a constraint for self-employment as people become older (Evans and Leighton, 1989). There is a positive relationship between the assets of a potential entrepreneur and the probability of becoming self-employed (Brusch, 1992; Evans and Jovanovic, 1989). There are also indications that those individuals who have accumulated more wealth prefer self-employment compared to a waged or salaried job (van Solinge, 2014).
3. Low income prospects and financial necessity might encourage older workers to become entrepreneurs (Cahill et al., 2007; Smeaton and McKay, 2003; Walker and Webster, 2007):
 - a. Older workers who become unemployed might find it difficult to get a new job or a job with a wage at the same level as in their earlier job and they might consider an alternative career as an entrepreneur. Opportunities for work outside of self-employment often diminish over the age of 50.
 - b. They might want to continue their career when they are forced to retire and have to survive on a smaller pension income, which induces a search for alternative income sources of which entrepreneurship is one (Weber and Schaper, 2004).
4. They have had time to develop richer private, professional and business networks, that is, social capital in terms of social structures, connections, networks and social relations through which they may obtain the resources needed by their entrepreneurial endeavours (Aldrich and Cliff, 2003; Baucus and Human, 1994; Birley, 1985; de Bruin and McLaren, 2002; Dubini and Aldrich, 1991; Larson, 1991; Singh and DeNoble, 2003; Weber and Schaper, 2004). This will tend to reduce start-up costs, make growth easier and possibly lead to larger sales due to links to many potential customers. Singh and DeNoble (2003) indicate that early retirees have a higher likelihood of becoming entrepreneurs if they have strong networks.
5. They have a higher probability of having been self-employed before. Earlier self-employment in the past makes it more likely to become self-employed again (Mueller, 2006; Rotefoss and Kolvereid, 2005).
6. They demand other work conditions and/or are dissatisfied with their current job, as a result of, say, age discrimination (Blackburn et al., 1998; Brown, 2000; C.K. Chiu et al., 2001; de Bruin and Firkin, 2001; Dibden and Hibbett, 1993; Evans and Leighton, 1987; Light and

Rosenstein, 1995; Metcalf and Thompson, 1990; Min, 1984; Parsons and Mayne, 1996; Platman, 2004; Webster and Walker, 2005):

- a. Flexible work schedule and hours (Karoly and Zissimopoulos, 2004; Quinn, 1999; Zissimopoulos and Karoly, 2007).
 - b. Job autonomy and independence (Bond et al., 2005; Walker and Webster, 2007).
 - c. Greater opportunities for learning and development (Bond et al., 2005).
 - d. Alternative career opportunities (McClelland et al., 2005; Singh and DeNoble, 2003).
7. They want to be active and feel that they are socially included (Kautonen et al., 2008; Webster and Walker, 2005).
 8. They may have been interested in becoming entrepreneurs for a long time but have postponed the start-up as a result of the family life cycle (Singh and DeNoble, 2003; Weber and Schaper, 2004), which implies that their risk-taking propensity has increased (Kihlstrom and Laffont, 1979).

2.2 Factors that Decrease the Probability of Elderly People Becoming Entrepreneurs

We expect the following factors to decrease the probability of older potential entrepreneurs becoming entrepreneurs (Parker, 2009):

1. They are more risk-averse than younger potential entrepreneurs (Lévesque et al., 2002), that is, they demand a higher risk premium.
2. They avoid riskier occupations such as entrepreneurship since the value of the information and knowledge gained is lower than when they were younger (Miller, 1984).
3. They have lower levels of formal post-secondary education (Lussier and Pfeifer, 2001; Robinson and Sexton, 1994; Weber and Schaper, 2004).
4. The human capital they possess has a lower discounted value due to general human capital depreciation and due to the possibility of unemployment during a working life (Neuman and Weiss, 1995; Parker, 2013). It might also be the case that as people age, their abilities to relate to and to understand more complex ideas might diminish.
5. Financial resources can not only be used to finance entrepreneurship. They can also be used to finance retirement. This implies that wealth can inhibit the start-up of a firm later in life since entrepreneurship is associated with risks and uncertain returns (Singh and DeNoble, 2003). Some authors also find a negative relationship between wealth

- and elderly entrepreneurship (Platman, 2003; Parker and Rougier, 2007).
6. They have a shorter time horizon than younger potential entrepreneurs and thus use a higher discount factor $e^{-\delta t}$ (Bates, 1995; Schultz, 1995). Starting a new business often leads to high sunk costs, due to the costs of setting up a business plan, doing market research, developing the product, dealing with legal and administrative problems, and so on. With a shorter expected time-span of a new business, the shorter is the period over which these sunk costs can be recouped.
 7. They may face challenges in the form of lower levels of health, energy and productivity (Curran and Blackburn, 2001; Weber and Schaper, 2004).
 8. They may value leisure more highly than younger potential entrepreneurs (Lévesque and Minetti, 2006).
 9. They may be discriminated against because of their age by financiers and customers (Weber and Schaper, 2004).

2.3 What does Elderly Entrepreneurship Imply for the Individual?

Economic theory of utility maximization tells us that workers may choose to become entrepreneurs if the expected utility they get in that case is higher than the expected utility obtained by other types of employment (Parker, 2018). Thus, despite drawbacks such as potentially lower initial income (Hamilton, 2000), in general data shows that entrepreneurs are more satisfied with their job (Blanchflower et al., 2001), as well as exhibiting lower stress levels (Hessels et al., 2017), compared to those who have waged employment.

For the elderly, the decision to become an entrepreneur is an alternative to being unemployed, employed or retired. Yet the exact reasons for entering entrepreneurship are very diverse, since they are shaped by several outside factors as well as by intrinsic and extrinsic motives (Hechavarria and Reynolds, 2009; Shapero and Sokol, 1982). The literature has established that there are several ‘*push*’ factors into elderly entrepreneurship including labour market disadvantages, redundancy, age discrimination and insufficient pensions or funds (McClelland et al., 2005; Singh and DeNoble, 2003). Researchers have also identified a number of ‘*pull*’ factors such as business opportunities, desire for independence, following their ‘dreams’, portfolio careers and growth in the knowledge service economy (Alstete, 2002; Kautonen et al., 2008; Patel and Gray, 2006). This way, the elderly also have the option and chance to create income for retirement, which creates more opportunities for maintaining their lifestyle (Kibler et al., 2012). It should also be noted that the decision to become an entrepreneur

at an older age is restricted by the availability of resources, which can be financial, but also related to time and health (Hurd, 1990; Ruhm, 1990). When comparing the objectives of older entrepreneurs when starting their firms to those of younger entrepreneurs, they usually display a stronger desire for independence and a wish that the firm will continue to operate and stay in the market, while the younger entrepreneurs prefer firm growth and higher firm profits (Ruis and Scholman, 2012).

Not surprisingly, given the motives behind starting their own business, research has found that elderly entrepreneurs have the belief that they are still contributing to society at large (Ratten, 2019), and thus are often found to enjoy a higher quality of life (Kautonen et al., 2017) as well as being more satisfied with their life (Gimmon et al., 2018).

3. WHAT DOES ELDERLY ENTREPRENEURSHIP LOOK LIKE?

As noted at the beginning of this introduction, elderly entrepreneurship has not received the attention it deserves among researchers. In this section, we give a descriptive overview of (1) what elderly entrepreneurship looks like; (2) what attracts older entrepreneurs; (3) the characteristics of firms run by elderly entrepreneurs; and (4) how elderly entrepreneurship varies with geographical space.

However, initially it is important to discuss what we mean by ‘entrepreneurship’. Defining and measuring entrepreneurship is not straightforward and there is as yet no general agreement about its definition (Davidsson, 2004; Parker, 2018). Throughout the book we define entrepreneurship as self-employment, which is one of the best available and most used measures of entrepreneurship (Blanchflower et al., 2001; Evans and Leighton, 1989). Nevertheless, not all business owners are necessarily entrepreneurs and not all entrepreneurs are business owners (Parker, 2018). Thus, a number of scholars have argued that self-employment is not an accurate measure of entrepreneurship and have instead suggested other options (Henrekson and Sanandaji, 2014).² However, if one thinks of the entrepreneur as someone who organizes and manages a business bearing the risk for the sake of profit, as argued in Glaeser et al. (2010) who follow the definition of Webster’s Dictionary, self-employment does in fact capture the most crucial aspects of entrepreneurship. Therefore, lacking better alternatives,

² For a more general discussion on self-employment as entrepreneurship, see Wallin (2017).

self-employment is the best available measure of entrepreneurship, and thus elderly entrepreneurship, that we could have.

Starting the discussion with analysing the industries in which older individuals are more likely to start their own firms, the literature is quite scarce. A few notable examples include the work of Zhang (2008), who argues that the increasing importance of the ‘knowledge economy’ has acted as a catalyst for elderly entrepreneurship. Due to their accumulated job experience, their human capital and their social ties, the elderly can use their knowledge and competences to benefit not only themselves, but also society and the economy in general. Confirming these arguments, she finds that the elderly self-employed in the US are more likely to establish their firms in knowledge-intensive sectors. This is also the case for Sweden, where the highest number of self-employed individuals over 50 years old are found in the technical consultancy industry (Klinthäll and Sundin, 2017). Using a rather different approach, Crawford and Naar (2016) stress the overrepresentation of elderly entrepreneurs in the B&B sector in the US, where 70 per cent of the B&B owners are over the age of 50. However, their study only focuses on this one sector and there are no other industries to compare it with.

The literature which has tried to connect the age of the entrepreneur with the size of their firms usually argues about the existence of an inverse U-shaped relationship between the two (Henley, 2005; Storey, 1994). These findings suggest that firms started by young entrepreneurs and older ones are smaller and less likely to grow, where the maximum was achieved among entrepreneurs at the age of 47.8 years. However, this can be connected to the fact that older individuals have a lower need of earning high additional incomes due to their relatively lower cost of living, but also because they have lower motivation and incentives to grow (de Kok et al., 2010).

Naturally, all entrepreneurs will at some point exit the firm they created; the question that arises in this context is how the firms started by elderly entrepreneurs exit the market. However, firm exit in general is understudied (DeTienne and Cardon, 2012). When looking at the relationship between firm exits and the age of entrepreneurs, we find very little information in the research literature. Combining Becker’s (1965) theory of time allocation where older entrepreneurs are more likely to exit a firm since the opportunity cost of time increases with age with the prospect theory, which defines entrepreneurs as ‘hyperbolic discounters’ rather than ‘utility-maximizers’ (Grenadier and Wang, 2007), one would expect older individuals to sell their firms rather than to keep on running their business (Wennberg et al., 2010). Family business research argues that with the increasing age of the entrepreneur, the more likely they are to exit the

market by turning the business over to younger individuals in the family (Santarelli and Lotti, 2005). DeTienne and Cardon (2012) confirm the same finding for family firms, but they also extend the analysis by suggesting that elderly entrepreneurs are more likely to use a liquidation strategy when exiting the market. Wennberg et al. (2010) argue, on the other hand, that the age of the entrepreneur relates positively to the probability of making a harvest or distress sale when exiting, relative to continuing, or liquidating. However, given the inconclusive results, there is still a great need for research to study what happens to the firms started by elderly entrepreneurs when the founder is no longer willing or able to run his/her firm.

The geography of entrepreneurship is a well-established field in the research literature. Those locations that historically have had a higher degree of entrepreneurship are also the ones which display higher shares of entrepreneurship today (Andersson and Koster, 2010). This path-dependence is often connected to the entrepreneurial culture of the location (Larsson, 2016). Simultaneously this is also linked to the idea of 'role models' where locations with a lot of entrepreneurial activities tend to spur future entrepreneurial activities since individuals who live in these locations can observe what their peers are doing and therefore be inspired to try to do the same (Sorenson and Audia, 2000). Along these lines, Andersson and Larsson (2014) argue for a 'local feedback effect' of entrepreneurship where the existing entrepreneurs affect the emergence of new start-ups. However, the role of location for elderly entrepreneurs is not studied to the same extent, but similar observations could be expected in that case as well. Zhang (2008) argues that elderly individuals who live in areas with lower tax rates and higher levels of cultural openness are more likely to become entrepreneurs. Backman and Karlsson (2018) discuss the case of Sweden where older individuals who live in more rural locations are more likely to become entrepreneurs than those who live in more urbanized areas. Their explanation for this result is that the job opportunities are much sparser in rural locations, and therefore entrepreneurship there can be a way of avoiding unemployment or of earning additional income for an elderly individual. Thus, it is crucial to understand the location patterns of elderly entrepreneurship in order to implement policies which encourage older individuals to start their own firms as a potential tool for bringing forward local development.

4. HOW SUCCESSFUL IS ELDERLY ENTREPRENEURSHIP?

Wagner and Sternberg (2004) and Mueller (2006) found that the survival rates of businesses established by older entrepreneurs are higher than for those started by younger entrepreneurs (Cressy and Storey, 1995), which may be due to more extensive and more varied experiences, larger human capital, superior business networks and a stronger financial situation (Arkebauer, 1995; Blackburn et al., 1998; Hindle and Rushworth, 2002). It appears, on the other hand, that businesses established by older entrepreneurs exhibit slower growth rates than the ventures undertaken by younger entrepreneurs (Peters et al., 1999). An explanation for this might be that the age of the entrepreneur has a negative effect on the ambition to grow the new firm (Autio, 2005; Bager and Schøtt, 2004; Lau and Busenitz, 2001; Verheul et al., 2010). These results suggest that while the ability to establish and run a firm is greater at an older age, there is an age after which the motivation for entrepreneurial behaviour and the ambitions to grow start to decline. It seems, for example, that the social and human capital acquired by older individuals may reduce the rate of business failure (Botham and Graves, 2009), indicating that they might be better equipped than younger entrepreneurs to manage the risks associated with business venturing (Wainwright and Kibler, 2014).

One reason that firms run by older individuals tend to have a slower growth might be that older entrepreneurs are less innovative, more likely to adhere to the status quo, and more risk averse (Verheul and van Mil, 2008). Research on older individuals also suggests less capacity for creativity and innovation and thus for innovative entrepreneurship in that age group than among younger individuals (Bönte et al., 2009; Colovic and Lamotte, 2013). The propensity of entrepreneurs to innovate is affected by an aging process involving both physical and cognitive drivers, since aging induces an alteration of both physical and cognitive abilities (Desjardins and Warnke, 2012; Meyer, 2011). Empirical studies using individual data show that older people generally are slower than younger people to adopt innovative tools, such as those related to information and communication technology (Borghans and Ter Weel, 2002; Friedberg, 2003; Koning and Gelderblom, 2006; Weinberg, 2004). Research on innovation adoption shows that older individuals often have a more negative attitude to new technologies and often are among the last to adopt and use innovative products, services and ideas (Gilly and Zeithaml, 1985; Lunsford and Burnett, 1992). At the same time there is a substantial heterogeneity among older people in terms of attitudes towards innovation (Szmigin and Carrigan, 2000).

Summing up the existing results concerning the relationship between the age of the founder and employment growth, we find that they seem to be inconclusive, since many other factors than age of founder influence the decisions to become an entrepreneur and to let the firm grow (de Kok et al., 2010). If we control for basic background factors, such as industry, location, business cycle, and so on, we can identify four basic factors that might generate differences in performance, namely: (1) the age of the entrepreneur; (2) the motivations of the entrepreneur to start a firm; (3) the capabilities of the entrepreneur; and (4) the resources of the entrepreneur (Bates, 1995; Beugelsdijk and Noorderhaven, 2005; Dunn and Holtz-Eakin, 2000; Hout and Rosen, 2000; Thurik et al., 2008). There is to date a severe lack of knowledge concerning the performance of the firms started by elderly entrepreneurs.

5. THE CONTENT OF THE HANDBOOK

This edited book consists of 15 chapters besides this introduction. As mentioned above, the chapters are divided into four broad themes: the international perspective; innovation, dynamics and performance; the behavioural perspective; and case studies on what entrepreneurship among the elderly looks like in different parts of the world.

Starting with the first topic, in the chapter ‘Entrepreneurship among older workers: international evidence’, R. Fonseca and S. Parker provide us with a cross-country comparison on elderly entrepreneurs. They start off with discussing the drivers of entering entrepreneurship across the US, England and other European countries. They then disentangle the role of national-level institutional factors. Lastly, they discuss policy implications. Results indicate that English and American institutions do not seem to push older workers into entrepreneurship. Moreover, the high levels of senior entrepreneurship observed in Southern Europe can be explained through the rigidity of institutions and lack of opportunities for flexible work. Those individuals who are not yet retired and who would prefer part-time work are often found to be entrepreneurs. Thus, policy makers are faced with a challenge on how to promote self-employment as a solution to low incomes and pension benefits. Building upon the result that successful entrepreneurs at an older age are more likely to succeed if they were successful entrepreneurs in their younger age, policies that focus on increasing the quality of entrepreneurship should receive more attention.

Keeping the focus on the international perspective, the chapter ‘Senior entrepreneurship: global mapping of supporting initiatives and pro-

grammes' by C. Matos and M. Amaral reviews the existing global practical initiatives which support elderly entrepreneurs in 74 regions worldwide. Building upon previous literature, the authors organized the initiatives into several dimensions. Results show that previous literature has only mentioned and analysed 23 of these initiatives, where 10 of them are no longer active. Therefore, they introduce and analyse 51 other initiatives which are new to the literature. Results show that most existing initiatives are based on information and training, while there is an underrepresentation of those that provide funding and financial support. The authors then discuss implications arising from this assessment for researchers, practitioners and policy makers.

In the last chapter on this topic, 'Entrepreneurship and ageing: exploring an economic geography perspective', H. Mayer and B. Leick discuss the position of old-age entrepreneurship in a regional context and discuss the opportunities and challenges arising from it. The main focus is put on regional characteristics related to demography change, such as population growth or decline, population aging, outflow of younger individuals, and so on, which are potential factors that influence older individuals to start their businesses as well as the aggregate numbers of entrepreneurial activities. At the same time, these older entrepreneurs also have the power to influence the types of businesses started in these regions.

Moving on to innovation, dynamics and performance, we also find four chapters. In 'Are senior entrepreneurs less innovative than younger ones?', R. Sternberg studies innovative elderly entrepreneurship in 15 innovation-driven countries. GEM data shows that elderly entrepreneurship has increased overall, but it shows the largest increase in those countries where the elderly population is higher. Yet, despite its increase in recent years, senior entrepreneurship is still lower than its share in the population would suggest. The second main conclusion of the chapter is that businesses belonging to elderly entrepreneurs are neither less nor more innovative than those of younger entrepreneurs. However, it is only a small share of businesses of elderly entrepreneurs that are innovative. Taking Germany as a case study, results show that being innovative or not differs across age groups.

In Chapter 5, 'Entrepreneurial dynamics in the third age – a study of trajectories for start-ups by two cohorts of entrepreneurs/self-employed aged 55 and 60', C. Holmquist, E. Sundin and M. Klinthäll analyse the dynamics of entrepreneurship in Sweden with a large turnover of firms and entrepreneurs. The authors claim that such dynamics can be linked to the life course dynamics of individual entrepreneurs where few previous studies focus on the dynamics within age groups 55+. This chapter

addresses this gap as the authors explore entry, survival and exit patterns of two groups: all Swedish 55-year-olds and 60-year-olds who started firms in 2004. The results from the chapter highlight three general patterns among the entrepreneurs: stay as firm owners, take up employment or leave the labour market (which includes for example retirement and other outcomes). The findings show quite a dynamic pattern and challenge many beliefs about entrepreneurship and about the elderly in the labour market as self-employed workers.

M. Cucculelli and G. Micucci, on the other hand, discuss the importance of the age of the firm founder on firm performance in Italy in 'The age effect in entrepreneurship: founder tenure, firm performance, and the economic environment'. Results point towards an inverted U-shaped relationship where in the beginning the relation between the tenure of the founder and performance of the firms (performance is measured as Return on Assets – ROA) is positive. However, this relationship peaks (at approximately 10 years after firm start) and then turns negative during maturity. The impact of the experience of the founder on performance is sector-specific and dependent on the economic environment. A dynamic environment reduces the time interval where experience of the founder is beneficial for performance for high-turbulence sectors. However, low-turbulence sectors benefit largely from the tenure of the founder. Thus, a long-tenured founder could be harmful for firm performance and would require change of leadership. These results raise the need for policies that assure a good matching between the skills of the founder and the environment.

In 'Different age effects by entrepreneur types: an investigation on US boomer entrepreneurs', T. Zhang and Z. Acs extend the literature of occupational choice in the setting of elderly entrepreneurship by comparing the age effects across eight different types of boomer entrepreneurs in the US. Using monthly data during 2006–16 and controlling for individual and regional effects, their findings show that the share of novice- (versus non-novice) and opportunity- (vs. necessity) entrepreneurs rises after the age of 60.

Moving to the behavioural perspective, in 'Ageing and entrepreneurship: a psychological perspective', H. Zacher, M. Mensmann and M. Gielnik provide a literature review of how age influences entrepreneurship with a focus on the psychological factors. Considering individuals as well as contextual characteristics, they define entrepreneurship as a process which includes the identification, evaluation and exploitation of business opportunities. After thereafter reviewing the existing theoretical and empirical literature on aging and entrepreneurship, suggestions for future research are provided.

In ‘Grey entrepreneurship: entrepreneurship later in life and the pursuit of well-being’, A.E. Brouwer and H. Delfmann, through a qualitative study, analyse the motivations behind individuals over 50 to enter entrepreneurship as well as focusing on their well-being. Basing the analysis and approach on the theory of planned behaviour, findings show that the elderly self-employed experience higher rates of well-being. This comes because of reasons such as higher personal control, flexibility in working hours, or even fulfilment of dreams. They also discuss how the elderly self-employed in their sample have a positive view of elderly entrepreneurship, how they are influenced by their social environment in their decision to run a business, as well as how they usually bear lower risks since they also have other sources of income to rely on.

Along a similar line of argument, M. Fritsch, A. Sorgner and M. Wyrwich discuss the relationship between age and job satisfaction for self-employed and waged employees in ‘Entrepreneurship and job satisfaction: the role of age’. They find that job satisfaction is higher for the self-employed, but age plays a moderating role in this. Data shows that the self-employed are more likely to experience high levels of job satisfaction, but this is very similar across all age cohorts. On the other hand, the job satisfaction of paid employees differs with age. Thus, the degree of the self-employed being more satisfied is affected by the age of the individuals. Only in the final stage of their working life are paid employees and the self-employed equally likely to experience the same level of job satisfaction.

J. Hessels and P. van der Zwan in ‘Old age self-employment and work-related stress’ compare the stress levels of the older self-employed with those of older paid employees. Data for 2005–15 shows that older self-employed workers show lower stress levels. This difference is partially a result of the fact that the older self-employed have more control over their job compared to waged employees, but partially also because of lower levels of job demand and higher levels of social support. When comparing stress levels between older and younger self-employed workers, results point towards differences among the two, which can be attributed to lower job demands for older entrepreneurs.

The last topic of the book is to examine what entrepreneurship among the elderly looks like across different countries, where we have four case studies. In ‘Senior self-employment – the case of the Netherlands’, M. Damman and H. van Solinge provide an overview of the existing insights of elderly entrepreneurship in the Netherlands. They focus on two streams of literature: (1) the career self-employed and their retirement preparation and behaviour; and (2) entrance into self-employment of previously waged employees. Existing literature suggests that in the

past, prolonged employment was mostly opportunity-driven. However, lately financial motivations are also found to have an increasing role.

Moving to Romania, D. Welsh, M. Dragusin and R.M. Grosu provide an overview of the fast-growing elderly population and entrepreneurship in 'Romania's ageing population: entrepreneurship opportunities and challenges'. The senior population play a big role in the economy, both active and passive, and are therefore important in policy implications. Romanian entrepreneurship in this angle has not been covered before in the literature, delivering such reflections on the challenges and opportunities that arise from an aging population.

For the case study of Australia, A. Maritz analyses the scope and impact of senior entrepreneurship in the chapter 'Senior entrepreneurship perspectives in Australia'. The chapter sheds light on the positive economic and social impact of senior entrepreneurship including an active lifestyle, giving satisfaction through achieving personal and family goals as well as work-life balance, providing satisfaction through networking and avoiding discrimination in the workplace. Data is collected from several entrepreneurship databases and the analysis presented is specific to Australia by providing suggestions for policy makers together with potential future senior entrepreneurs.

In the last chapter, 'Senior entrepreneurship: a case-study for Portugal', M. Amaral and C. Matos provide an overview of the case of Portugal, which has one of the most aged populations in the world. Results show that even if senior entrepreneurship in Portugal is lower than in most European countries, it is quickly increasing. Portuguese senior entrepreneurs start their firms at around the age of 56 and are usually those people who have previous experience but were waged employees right before starting their current business. These companies do not generate high incomes, but those senior entrepreneurs who were surveyed perceive their companies as successful. They also exhibit high levels of job satisfaction and see entrepreneurship as a channel through which they can experience active aging.

REFERENCES

- Aldrich, H.E. and Cliff, J.E. (2003). The pervasive effects of family on entrepreneurship: toward a family embeddedness perspective. *Journal of Business Venturing*, **18**(5), 573–96.
- Alstete, J.W. (2002). On becoming an entrepreneur: an evolving typology. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, **8**(4), 222–34.
- Andersson, M. and Koster, S. (2010). Sources of persistence in regional start-up rates – evidence from Sweden. *Journal of Economic Geography*, **11**(1), 179–201.

- Andersson, M. and Larsson, J.P. (2014). Local entrepreneurship clusters in cities. *Journal of Economic Geography*, **16**(1), 39–66.
- Arkebauer, J.B. (1995). *Golden Entrepreneurship: The Mature Person's Guide to Successful Business*. New York, NY: McGraw Hill Inc.
- Autio, E. (2005). *Global Entrepreneurship Monitor: 2005 Report on High-Expectation Entrepreneurship*. University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign's Academy for Entrepreneurial Leadership Historical Research Reference in Entrepreneurship.
- Backman, M. and Karlsson, C. (2013). Who says life is over after 55? Entrepreneurship and an aging population. CESIS Electronic Working Paper Series No. 325.
- Backman, M. and Karlsson, C. (2018). Entrepreneurship and age across time and space. *Tijdschrift voor Economische en Sociale Geografie*, **109**(3), 371–85.
- Bager, T. and Schött, T. (2004). Growth expectation by entrepreneurs in nascent firms, baby businesses and mature firms: analysis of GEM population data 2000–2003. In T. Bager and M. Hancock (eds), *Global Entrepreneurship Monitor Denmark* (pp. 281–91). Copenhagen: Børsens Forlag.
- Bates, T. (1995). Self-employment entry across industry groups. *Journal of Business Venturing*, **10**(2), 143–56.
- Baucus, D. and Human, S.E. (1994). Second-career entrepreneurs: a multiple case study analysis of entrepreneurial processes and antecedent variables. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, **19**(2), 41–71.
- Becker, G.S. (1965). A theory of the allocation of time. *The Economic Journal*, **75**(299), 493–517.
- Bergmann, H. and Sternberg, R. (2007). The changing face of entrepreneurship in Germany. *Small Business Economics*, **28**(2–3), 205–21.
- Beugelsdijk, S. and Noorderhaven, N. (2005). Personality characteristics of self-employed: an empirical study. *Small Business Economics*, **24**(2), 159–67.
- Birley, S. (1985). The role of networks in the entrepreneurial process. *Journal of Business Venturing*, **1**(1), 107–17.
- Blackburn, R., Mackintosh, L. and North, J. (1998). *Entrepreneurship in the Third Age*. Kingston: Kingston University Small Business Research Centre.
- Blanchflower, D.G. and Oswald, A.J. (1998). What makes an entrepreneur? *Journal of Labor Economics*, **16**, 26–59.
- Blanchflower, D.G., Oswald, A. and Stutzer, A. (2001). Latent entrepreneurship across nations. *European Economic Review*, **45**(4), 680–91.
- Boden Jr, R.J. and Nucci, A.R. (2000). On the survival prospects of men's and women's new business ventures. *Journal of Business Venturing*, **15**(4), 347–62.
- Bond, J.T., Galinsky, E.M., Pitt-Catsoupes, M. and Smyer, M.A. (2005). *The Diverse Employment Experiences of Older Men and Women in the Workforce*. Chestnut Hill, MA: Families and Work Institute.
- Bönte, W., Falck, O. and Heblich, S. (2009). The impact of regional age structure on entrepreneurship. *Economic Geography*, **85**(3), 269–87.
- Borghans, L. and Ter Weel, B. (2002). Do older workers have more trouble using a computer than younger workers? *Research in Labour Economics*, **21**, 139–73.
- Botham, R. and Graves, A. (2009). *Third Age Entrepreneurs: Innovative Business Start Ups in Mid-Life and Beyond – Understanding the Drivers and Removing the Barriers* (Vol. Interim Report), NESTA.
- Brown, R. (2000). *Getting Old and Grey? The Implications of Demographic Change and Population Ageing for the Scottish Labour Market*. Glasgow: Scottish Enterprise.
- Brown, S.K. (2003). *Staying Ahead of the Curve 2003: The AARP Working in Retirement Study*. Washington, DC: AARP.
- Brüderl, J., Preisendörfer, P. and Ziegler, R. (1992). Survival chances of newly founded business organizations. *American Sociological Review*, **57**, 227–42.
- Brusch, C.G. (1992). Research on women: past trends, a new perspective and future directions. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, **16**, 5–30.

- Cahill, K.E., Giandrea, M.D. and Quinn, J.F. (2007). *Down Shifting: The Role of Bridge Jobs after Career Employment*. Issue Brief No. 6. Chestnut Hill, MA: Boston College Center on Aging & Work/Workplace Flexibility.
- Chiu, W.C.K., Chan, A.W., Snape, E. and Redman, T. (2001). Age stereotypes and discriminatory attitudes towards older workers: an East-West comparison. *Human Relations*, **54**(5), 629–61.
- Colovic, A. and Lamotte, O. (2013). Are third age entrepreneurs innovative? Evidence from GEM data. *Revue Française de Gestion*, **227**, 127–41.
- Crawford, A. and Naar, J. (2016). A profile of American bed and breakfast entrepreneurs: bridging the gap to retirement. *Journal of Human Resources in Hospitality & Tourism*, **15**(1), 103–17.
- Cressy, R. and Storey, D.J. (1995). *New Firms and their Banks*. Warwick: Warwick University Business School and NatWest.
- Curran, J. and Blackburn, R.A. (2001). Older people and the enterprise society: age and self-employment propensities. *Work, Employment and Society*, **15**(04), 889–902.
- Davidsson, P. (2004). *Researching Entrepreneurship*. New York, NY: Springer.
- de Bruin, A. and Firkin, P. (2001). *Self-Employment and the Older Worker*. Massey University of New Zealand, Auckland.
- de Bruin, A. and McLaren, E. (2002). *An Exploration of Networks of the Self-Employed*. Paper presented at the 15th Annual Conference of the Small Enterprise Association of Australia and New Zealand, Adelaide.
- de Kok, J.M.P., Ichou, A. and Verheul, I. (2010). *New Firm Performance: Does the Age of Founders Affect Employment Creation?* EIM Research Report No. H201015, EIM, Zoetermeer.
- Desjardins, R. and Warnke, A.J. (2012). *Ageing and Skills: A Review and Analysis of Skill Gain and Skill Loss over the Lifespan and Over Time*. OECD Education Working Papers No. 72. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- DeTienne, D.R. and Cardon, M.S. (2012). Impact of founder experience on exit intentions. *Small Business Economics*, **38**(4), 351–74.
- Dibden, J. and Hibbett, A. (1993). Older workers – an overview of recent research. *Employment Gazette*, 237–50.
- Dubini, P. and Aldrich, H.E. (1991). Personal and extended networks are central to the entrepreneurial process. *Journal of Business Venturing*, **6**(5), 305–13.
- Dunn, T. and Holtz-Eakin, D. (2000). Financial capital, human capital, and the transition to self-employment: evidence from intergenerational links. *Journal of Labor Economics*, **18**(2), 287–305.
- Evans, D.S. and Jovanovic, B. (1989). An estimated model of entrepreneurial choice under liquidity constraints. *Journal of Political Economy*, **97**(4), 808–27.
- Evans, D.S. and Leighton, L.S. (1987). *Self-Employment Selection and Earnings over the Life Cycle*. Washington, DC: US Small Business Administration, December.
- Evans, D.S. and Leighton, L.S. (1989). Some empirical aspects of entrepreneurship. *The American Economic Review*, **79**(3), 519–35.
- Friedberg, L. (2003). The impact of technological change on older workers: evidence from data on computers. *Industrial and Labour Relations Review*, **56**, 511–29.
- Gilly, M.C. and Zeithaml, V.A. (1985). The elderly consumer and adoption of technologies. *Journal of Consumer Research*, **12**(3), 353–7.
- Gimmon, E., Yitshaki, R. and Hantman, S. (2018). Entrepreneurship in the third age: retirees' motivation and intentions. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business*, **34**(3), 267–88.
- Glaeser, E.L., Rosenthal, S.S. and Strange, W.C. (2010). Urban economics and entrepreneurship. *Journal of Urban Economics*, **67**(1), 1–14.
- Gray, J.H. (1998). *Self-Employment as a Career Option for Redundant Workers* (Vol. Working Paper 51/98): Monash University.
- Grenadier, S.R. and Wang, N. (2007). Investment under uncertainty and time-inconsistent preferences. *Journal of Financial Economics*, **84**(1), 2–39.

- Hamilton, Barton H. (2000). Does entrepreneurship pay? An empirical analysis of the returns to self-employment. *Journal of Political Economy*, **108**(3), 604–31.
- Hechavarria, D.M. and Reynolds, P.D. (2009). Cultural norms & business start-ups: the impact of national values on opportunity and necessity entrepreneurs. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, **5**(4), 417.
- Henley, A. (2005). Job creation by the self-employed: the roles of entrepreneurial and financial capital. *Small Business Economics*, **25**(2), 175–96.
- Henrekson, M. and Sanandaji, T. (2014). Small business activity does not measure entrepreneurship. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **111**(5), 1760–65.
- Hessels, J., Rietveld, C.A. and van der Zwan, P. (2017). Self-employment and work-related stress: the mediating role of job control and job demand. *Journal of Business Venturing*, **32**(2), 178–96.
- Hindle, K. and Rushworth, S. (2002). *Global Entrepreneurship Monitor – Australia 2002*. Hawthorn: Swinburne University of Technology.
- Hout, M. and Rosen, H.S. (2000). Self-employment, family background, and race. *Journal of Human Resources*, **35**(4, Fall), 670–92.
- Hurd, M.D. (1990). Research on the elderly: economic status, retirement, and consumption and saving. *Journal of Economic Literature*, **28**(2), 565–637.
- Jones-Evans, D. (1996). Technical entrepreneurship, strategy and experience. *International Small Business Journal*, **14**(3), 15–39.
- Karoly, L.A. and Zissimopoulos, J.M. (2004). *Self-Employment and the 50+ Population*. Washington, DC: AARP.
- Kautonen, T., Down, S. and South, L. (2008). Enterprise support for older entrepreneurs: the case of PRIME in the UK. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research*, **14**(2), 85–101.
- Kautonen, T., Kibler, E. and Minniti, M. (2017). Late-career entrepreneurship, income and quality of life. *Journal of Business Venturing*, **32**(3), 318–33.
- Kibler, E., Wainwright, T., Kautonen, T. and Blackburn, R. (2012). (Work) life after work?: Older entrepreneurship in London – motivations and barriers. London: Kingston University Small Business Research Centre.
- Kihlstrom, R.E. and Laffont, J.-J. (1979). A general equilibrium entrepreneurial theory of firm formation based on risk aversion. *Journal of Political Economy*, **87**(4), 719–48.
- Kim, P.H., Aldrich, H.E. and Keister, L.A. (2006). Access (not) denied: the impact of financial, human, and cultural capital on entrepreneurial entry in the United States. *Small Business Economics*, **27**(1), 5–22.
- Klinthäll, M. and Sundin, E. (2017). The older as entrepreneurs: a diversified group – illustrated through entrepreneurship in technical consultancy and artistic and literary work. In Aaltio, I. et al. (eds), *Ageing, Organisations and Management* (pp. 277–300). Cham: Springer.
- Koning, J. and Gelderblom, A. (2006). ICT and older workers: no unwrinkled relationship. *International Journal of Manpower*, **27**, 467–90.
- Larson, A. (1991). Partner networks: leveraging external ties to improve entrepreneurial performance. *Journal of Business Venturing*, **6**(3), 173–88.
- Larsson, J.P. (2016). *Det Smittsamma Entreprenörskapet – Från Bruksmentalitet till Gnosjöanda*. In Regional Politiskt Forum No. 2, edited by Entreprenörskapsforum. Entreprenörskapsforum.
- Lau, C.-M. and Busenitz, L.W. (2001). Growth intentions of entrepreneurs in a transitional economy. The People's Republic of China. *Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice*, **26**(1), 5–20.
- Lévesque, M. and Minniti, M. (2006). The effect of ageing on entrepreneurial behaviour. *Journal of Business Venturing*, **21**(2), 177–94.
- Lévesque, M., Shepherd, D.A. and Douglas, E.J. (2002). Employment or self-employment: a dynamic utility-maximizing model. *Journal of Business Venturing*, **17**(3), 189–210.
- Light, I. and Rosenstein, C. (1995). *Race, Ethnicity and Entrepreneurship in Urban America*. New York, NY: Aldine D. Gruyter.

- Lucas, Jr, R.E. (1988). On the mechanism of economic development. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, **22**, 3–42.
- Lunsford, D.A. and Burnett, M.S. (1992). Marketing product innovations to the elderly: understanding the barriers to adoption. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, **9**(4), 53–62.
- Lussier, R.N. and Pfeifer, S. (2001). A crossnational prediction model for business success. *Journal of Small Business Management*, **39**(3), 228–39.
- McClelland, E., Swail, J., Bell, J. and Ibbotson, P. (2005). Following the pathway of female entrepreneurs: a six-country investigation. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research*, **11**(2), 84–107.
- Metcalfe, H. and Thompson, M. (1990). *Older Workers: Employers' Attitudes and Practices*. IMS Report No. 194, Institute of Manpower Studies, June.
- Meyer, J. (2011). Workforce age and technology adoption in small and medium-sized service firms. *Small Business Economics*, **37**(3), 305–24.
- Miller, R.A. (1984). Job matching and occupational choice. *Journal of Political Economy*, **92**(6), 1086–1120.
- Min, P.G. (1984). From white-collar occupations to small business: Korean immigrants' occupational adjustment. *Sociological Quarterly*, **25**(3), 333–52.
- Mueller, P. (2006). Entrepreneurship in the region: breeding ground for nascent entrepreneurs? *Small Business Economics*, **27**(1), 41–58.
- Neuman, S. and Weiss, A. (1995). On the effects of schooling vintage on experience-earnings profiles: theory and evidence. *European Economic Review*, **39**, 943–55.
- Parker, S.C. (2004). *The Economics of Self-Employment and Entrepreneurship*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Parker, S.C. (2009). *The Economics of Entrepreneurship*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Parker, S.C. (2013). Do serial entrepreneurs run successively better-performing businesses? *Journal of Business Venturing*, **28**(5), 652–66.
- Parker, S.C. (2018). *The Economics of Entrepreneurship*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Parker, S.C. and Rougier, J.C. (2007). The retirement behaviour of the self-employed in Britain. *Applied Economics*, **39**, 697–713.
- Parsons, D. and Mayne, L. (1996). Ageism and work in the EU: a comparative review of corporate innovation and practices. In I. Glover and M. Branine (eds), *Ageism in Work and Employment* (pp. 237–54). Burlington, VT: Ashgate.
- Patel, S.H. and Gray, C. (2006). *The Grey Entrepreneurs in UK*. Milton Keynes: Open University Business School.
- Peters, M., Cressy, R. and Storey, D. (1999). *The Economic Impact of Ageing on Entrepreneurship and SMEs*. Warwick, UK and Zoetermeer, the Netherlands: Warwick Business School and EIM.
- Platman, K. (2003). The self-designed career in later life: a study of older portfolio workers in the United Kingdom. *Ageing and Society*, **23**(3), 281–302.
- Platman, K. (2004). 'Portfolio careers' and the search for flexibility in later life. *Work, Employment & Society*, **18**(3), 573–99.
- Quinn, J.F. (1999). Retirement patterns and bridge jobs in the 1990s. Employee Benefit Research Institute Brief No. 206.
- Ratten, V. (2019). Older entrepreneurship: a literature review and research agenda. *Journal of Enterprising Communities: People and Places in the Global Economy*, **13**(1/2), 178–95.
- Robinson, P.B. and Sexton, E.A. (1994). The effect of education and experience on self-employment success. *Journal of Business Venturing*, **9**(2), 141–56.
- Rotefoss, B. and Kolvereid, L. (2005). Aspiring, nascent and fledgling entrepreneurs: an investigation of the business start-up process. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, **17**(2), 109–27.
- Ruhm, C.J. (1990). Bridge jobs and partial retirement. *Journal of Labor Economics*, **8**(4), 482–501.
- Ruis, A. and Scholman, G. (2012). *Ageing and Entrepreneurship. An Empirical Study of the*

- Relationship between Entrepreneurial Age and Objectives Strategy and Performance* (Vol. Research Reports H201206): EIM.
- Santarelli, E. and Lotti, F. (2005). The survival of family firms: the importance of control and family ties. *International Journal of the Economics of Business*, **12**(2), 183–92.
- Schultz, N. (1995). *Unternehmensgründungen und Markteintritt*. Heidelberg: Physica.
- Shane, S. (2003). *A General Theory of Entrepreneurship: The Individual-Opportunity Nexus*. Cheltenham, UK and Northampton, MA, USA: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Shapero, A. and Sokol, L. (1982). The social dimensions of entrepreneurship. In C.A. Kent, D.L. Sexton and K.H. Vesper (eds), *Encyclopedia of Entrepreneurship* (pp. 72–88). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Singh, G. and DeNoble, A. (2003). Early retirees as the next generation of entrepreneurs. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, **27**(3), 207–26.
- Smeaton, D. and McKay, S. (2003). Working after state pension age: quantitative analysis. DWP Research Report No. 182, Leeds: CDS.
- Sorenson, O. and Audia, Pino G. (2000). The social structure of entrepreneurial activity: geographic concentration of footwear production in the United States, 1940–1989. *American Journal of Sociology*, **106**(2), 424–62.
- Steiner, M.P. and Solem, O. (1988). Factors for success in small manufacturing firms. *Journal of Small Business Management*, **26**, 51–5.
- Storey, D. (1994). *Understanding the Small Business Sector*. London: Routledge.
- Szmigin, I. and Carrigan, M. (2000). The older consumer as innovator: does cognitive age hold the key? *Journal of Marketing Management*, **16**(5), 505–27.
- Thurik, A.R., Carree, M.A., van Stel, A. and Audretsch, D.B. (2008). Does self-employment reduce unemployment? *Journal of Business Venturing*, **23**(6), 673–86.
- van Solinge, Hanna (2014). Who opts for self-employment after retirement? A longitudinal study in the Netherlands. *European Journal of Ageing*, **11**(3), 261–72.
- Verheul, I. and van Mil, L. (2008). What determines the growth ambition of Dutch early stage entrepreneurs? Working Paper H 200811. Zoetermeer: Scientific Analysis of Entrepreneurship and SMEs (SCALES).
- Verheul, I., Thurik, P., Hessels, J. and van der Zwan, P. (2010). Factors influencing the entrepreneurial engagement of opportunity and necessity entrepreneurs. EIM Research Reports, Report no. H201011, March, 1–24. The Netherlands: EIM.
- Wagner, J. and Sternberg, R. (2004). Start-up activities, individual characteristics, and the regional milieu: lessons for entrepreneurship support policies from German micro data. *The Annals of Regional Science*, **38**(2), 219–40.
- Wainwright, T. and Kibler, E. (2014). Beyond financialization: older entrepreneurship and retirement planning. *Journal of Economic Geography*, **14**(4), 849–64.
- Walker, E. and Webster, B. (2007). Gender, age and self-employment: some things change, some stay the same. *Women in Management Review*, **22**(2), 122–35.
- Wallin, T. (2017). *Firm Renewal in the Regional Economy*. Jönköping University, Jönköping International Business School.
- Weber, P. and Schaper, M. (2004). Understanding the grey entrepreneur. *Journal of Enterprising Culture*, **12**(02), 147–64.
- Webster, B. and Walker, E. (2005). Smart training for the older entrepreneur. Paper presented at the International Council of Small Business World Conference, Melbourne.
- Weinberg, B. (2004). Experience and technology adoption. March. IZA Discussion Paper No. 1051.
- Wennberg, K., Wiklund, J., DeTienne, D.R. and Cardon, M.S. (2010). Reconceptualizing entrepreneurial exit: divergent exit routes and their drivers. *Journal of Business Venturing*, **25**(4), 361–75.
- Zhang, T. (2008). *Elderly Entrepreneurship in an Aging US Economy: It's Never Too Late*. Singapore: World Scientific Publishing.
- Zissimopoulos, J.M. and Karoly, L.A. (2007). Transitions to self-employment at older ages: the role of wealth, health, health insurance and other factors. *Labour Economics*, **14**(2), 269–95.