

Index

- African Development Bank (AfDB) 99, 122, 235
 - sanctions procedures/policies 123
- Agence française anticorruption (AFA), France 39, 241
- altruism 266
- Andersen, Arthur 80
- anti-bribery enforcement 62
 - application of 242
 - compliance procedures 6, 252–3, 318, 320
 - efficiency of 269–71
 - in foreign bribery cases 44–8
 - global enhancement of 228
 - mitigation of penalty for 42
 - nolo contendere* plea 45–6
 - non-trial resolution 47
 - criminal justice principles of 48–55
 - objectives of 261–3
 - promulgation of 257
 - public/private co-operation in 42
 - resolutions of 261
 - rule-of-law dimensions 43
 - strategy of 42
- anti-bribery management system 284
- anti-bribery regime, legitimacy of 261–3, 273
- anti-bribery statutes 213
 - material costs and benefits of 262
 - objectives of 266
 - voluntary disclosure and 9
- anti-corruption laws 1, 8
 - internationalization of 213
 - proliferation of 228
 - violations of 45
- Anti-Piling On Policy (US DoJ) 14, 229–30, 238–45
 - assessment of 253–7
 - crediting penalties 244–7, 255
 - multi-jurisdictional cooperation 240–44, 253–5
 - promulgation of 240
 - sidestepping 247–9, 256–7
- antitrust violations 34
- Asian Development Bank (ADB) 99
- attorney–client privilege 297, 300
- attorney duties, breach of 298
- Bahamas, foreign bribery cases in 33
- bank fraud 34
- bankruptcy proceedings 75
- Barclays Bank 309
- bargaining freedom
 - de facto* 134
 - de jure* 134
- bribery
 - anti-bribery controls 6
 - in Bahamas 33
 - as business strategy 2
 - corporate 12, 128–9
 - criminalization of 232
 - domestic offences 90
 - failure to prevent 185–6
 - Innospec case 267–9
 - Libyan bribery scheme 247
 - negotiated settlements for offence of 6, 48
 - paid to circumvent laws 266
 - prosecutors' authority to settle cases of 133–4
 - self-report cases of 48
 - SFO's use of civil recovery orders to tackle 317
 - Siemens case 2–3, 33
 - supply side of 213
 - transnational cases of *see* transnational bribery offenses
 - U.S. experience of 202–12
- Bribery Act (U.K.) 38, 56, 185, 226
- burden of proof 6, 306
- business ethics 73, 114

- carbon copy enforcement 233–4
- Carrington, Paul 61
- “Car Wash” (*Lava Jato*) scandal 215, 241
- civil law systems 15
- civil society organization 114
- Clean Company Act (2014), Brazil 54
- code of conduct 290
- Code of Criminal Procedure Chile (CCPC) 50
- Code of Crown Prosecutors (UK) 53, 180
- collected data, protection and admissibility of 299–302
- compensation, issue of 265
- conditional non-debarment 101, 107, 111, 123, 220
- confiscation, Swiss law on 20, 23, 52, 57
- conflicts of interests 6, 62, 73, 75
- consent civil recovery orders 316
- convention judiciaire d’intérêt public (JCPI), France 39, 51
- cooperation
 - incentives for 222–5
 - notion of 116–17
 - NTR process of 46
- cornerstone principle 59
- corporate bribery cases
 - financial secrecy 129
 - negotiate settlements in 12, 128
 - trade-offs relevant to enforcement of
 - enforcement action based on guilt or responsibility 131
 - flexibility or predictability 131–2
 - threat-based for deterrence 131
 - trust-based for detection 131
 - transnational bribery 212
- corporate compliance measures 82
 - effectiveness of 89
- corporate conviction, consequences of 81, 336
- corporate corruption
 - declinations against 30
 - extra-territorial nature of 92
 - prosecution of 20
 - scope, magnitude, and likelihood of 183–6
- corporate crime, method to deter by effective use of corporate liability
 - corporate self-reporting, full cooperation, and remediation 166
 - detering individuals from committing corporate misconduct 167–8
 - ensuring corporations want to prevent misconduct 164–5
 - role of private prevention and private policing 161–4
- corporate criminal enforcement policy 206
 - carbon copy enforcement 233–4
 - criteria for 249–53
- corporate criminal liability 127, 156
 - accountability of 38
 - in Anglo-Saxon countries 19–20
 - assessment of 177–8
 - in France 187–97
 - in United Kingdom 179–87
 - challenges associated with 5
 - for corruption 189–90
 - for crimes requiring proof of *mens rea* 183–5
 - to deter corporate misconduct 160–68, 173–4
 - for economic crime 20
 - enforcement of 131–2
 - efficiency in 132–8
 - for environmental crime 20
 - in France
 - ability of enforcers to detect and investigate misconduct 194–6
 - deferred prosecution agreements (DPAs) 190–94
 - prosecution of individuals 196–7
 - scope of corporate criminal liability 187–90
 - for misconduct by employees 12
 - principles of 4
 - scope and magnitude of 172
 - Spanish Organic Law (2015) exemption from 50
 - in United Kingdom
 - corporate criminal liability for misconduct 183–6

- deferred prosecution
 - agreements (DPAs) 179–82
 - rewards and protection for whistleblowers 186–7
 - vicarious liability 131
 - corporate culture, impact of negotiated settlements in 87–91
 - corporate debarments, rationale for 69, 77–8, 94
 - corporate economic offences 338
 - corporate enforcement
 - convergence of 38–40
 - against non-cooperative firms 174–5
 - Corporate Enforcement Policy 56, 64, 210–12, 223–4, 345
 - corporate fortress 19
 - corporate governance 9, 66, 77, 90, 109, 112, 346
 - corporate malfeasance
 - causes of 87
 - problem of 83
 - corporate offences 5, 8–11, 13, 53, 76, 88, 91, 312–13, 316, 337
 - detection rates of 91
 - sanctions for 2
 - corporate policing 162–4
 - Corporate Prosecution Registry 27, 90
 - corporate prosecutions 16, 26–7, 29, 40, 83, 90, 180, 311
 - foreign 34
 - corporate punishment, criminological theories of 311–15
 - corporate self-enforcement 256
 - corporate self-policing and self-reporting 171, 186, 335
 - to bring appropriate wrongdoers to justice 175–7
 - complexity of 201
 - compliance in 10
 - corporate liability 166–7
 - enforcement against non-cooperative firms 174–5
 - impact of multi-jurisdictional cooperation on 254
 - incentives for 134, 213–17
 - law enforcement to encourage 252
 - whistleblower reports on 174–5
 - corporate structures, transparency of 20
 - corruption
 - business-related 2, 138–9
 - corporate liability for 189–90
 - international fight against 98
 - international rules against 2
 - in judicial systems 6
 - negotiated settlements against 4
 - Council of Europe Criminal law
 - Convention on Corruption (1999) 280–81
 - crediting penalties, practice of 244–7, 255
 - Crime and Courts Act (2013), UK 53, 82, 321, 337
 - crime-detering penalty 6
 - crimes requiring proof of *mens rea*, liability for 183–5
 - Criminal Code 293, 300
 - criminal enforcement, against US corporations 80
 - Criminal Justice Reform Act (2018), Singapore 53
 - criminal justice system 343
 - for crime deterrence 128–31
 - deferred prosecution agreements (DPAs) 334–6
 - efficiency of
 - concepts in 128–32
 - priorities regarding 132–8
 - trade-offs in 131–2
 - in European Union 46
 - fair process in 128–31
 - IBA survey on priorities in 132–8
 - non-trial resolution regimes 48–55
 - principles of 9, 22
 - response to negotiated settlements 6
 - to sanction corruption 6
 - in United States 126
 - value for money 128–31
 - criminal penalties 31
 - in foreign enforcement resolutions 31
 - for foreign firms 34
 - mitigation of 42
 - in United States 31
 - Criminal Procedure Code (Italy) 293, 295, 297
 - Article 103 of 300
 - Article 256(1) of 300
 - criminal proceedings, involving corporations

- benefits of internal investigations on 302–6
- cost-benefit analysis 303
- cut-off point 305
- Legislative Decree No. 231/2001 (Italy) 302
- modus procedendi* of 307
- pre-trial diversion mechanisms 307
- Remediation Plan 305
- criminal prosecution, methods of 5
- criminalization of bribery 232
- Cronan, John P. 240
- cross-border corruption scheme 237
- cross-border transactions 270
- cross-debarment threshold 220
- Data Collection Plan 292, 293, 308
- debarment, in public procurement
 - American statutes on 70, 72–4
 - approaches to 70–71
 - conditional non-debarment 111
 - with conditional release 109–11
 - corporate 77–8
 - definition of 69
 - determination of non-responsibility 73
 - discretionary 75
 - effect of negotiated settlements on 69, 77, 84–91
 - in European Union (EU) 74–7
 - impact on economic costs of corruption 78
 - imposed on basis of
 - civil judgment 72
 - conviction 72
 - imposed on individuals or corporations 71
 - kinds of measures 70
 - minimum period of 109
 - overview of 70–77
 - ‘present responsibility’ approach to 76
 - principled approached to 311
 - prosecutions for corporate criminality 71–2
 - in public interest 74
 - as punitive measure imposed by courts 71
 - purpose and rationale of 311–18
 - purpose of 85–7
 - risk of 10, 77, 85
 - as way of handling corporate bribery 310
 - from WBG-financed contract 108–9
 - decision-making, process of 75, 93, 275
 - deferred prosecution agreements (DPAs) 12, 16, 53, 60–61, 77, 79, 81, 84, 157, 205, 216, 247, 285, 288
 - Code of Practice 345
 - comparison between UK and US 83
 - conditions governing access to 169–72
 - for corporate criminality 79
 - defined 82–3
 - deterrent value of 325
 - financial penalties under 337–9
 - in France 190–94
 - importance of 331
 - looking forward for 336–46
 - multinational effort to embrace 158
 - against non-cooperative firms 174–5
 - obstacles to success 332–3
 - overview of 318–32
 - prerequisite to effective use of 168
 - rehabilitation and recidivism 344–6
 - relation with individual
 - accountability 340–44
 - Rolls-Royce 323–6
 - sanctions imposed by 169–72
 - Sarclad Ltd 320–23
 - Serco Geografix Ltd 328–32
 - specific policy rationales for introducing 315–18
 - Standard Bank 318–20
 - synergy with the wider criminal justice system 334–6
 - Tesco Stores Ltd 326–8
 - in United Kingdom 83, 179–82, 309, 336–46
 - Democracy Index
 - vs. *de jure* independence 151
 - vs. Discretion Index 141
 - Economist Intelligence Unit (EIU) 140, 144
 - vs. percentage of GDP spent on police 143
 - deterrence and retribution, objectives of 78
 - discretion standard, abuse of 110

- discretionary prosecution, principle of 52, 307
- document analysis and interviewing 293, 308
- Dodd-Frank Act (2010), US 32
- domestic bribery offences 90
- due diligence 89, 115, 118, 292
- due process, principles of 14, 271–2, 274, 276
- duplicative anti-corruption enforcement 232–8, 251
- Dutch Penal Code 51
- economic costs of corruption, impact of debarment on 78
- economic crime 83, 309, 317, 332, 334–5, 337, 343
- corporate liability for 20
- cross-border 201
- prosecution of 307
- Economist Intelligence Unit (EIU)
- Democracy Index 140, 144
- efficient deterrence, concept of 11
- Enron scandal 80
- environmental crimes
- corporate liability for 20
- foreign corporate prosecutions for 34
- equality, concept of 19
- European Anti-Fraud Office 123
- European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD) 99
- sanctions procedures/policies 123
- European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) 59
- European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) 45, 59
- European Union (EU) 92
- debarment system in 74–7
- Public Procurement Directive 75
- Evaluation of Corporate Compliance Programs 28, 64
- fair procedure, principles of 7, 19
- fair settlement, process of 122
- fairness, principle of 15–16, 64, 122, 238, 260, 262–3, 276–8, 339
- Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR), US 72–3
- fight against corruption 17, 51, 65, 87, 98, 159, 198, 228, 231–2, 282
- fiscal responsibility, obligation of 87
- foreign bribery cases, co-operation regimes in 44–8
- foreign corporate prosecutions 34
- Foreign Corrupt Practices Act 1977 (FCPA), US 7–8, 90, 200, 341
- anti-bribery provisions 236
- anti-corruption framework 29
- approach towards foreign bribery enforcement 26
- against bribery of non-U.S. government officials 25
- Corporate Enforcement Policy* 64, 210, 223–4
- corporate prosecution under
- agreements in 26, 30
- enforcement patterns 28–31
- foreign corporate prosecutions 34
- individual prosecutions 32–3
- numbers of 30
- SEC enforcement 26, 32
- settlements in 26–8, 32
- criminal violations of 201, 204, 214
- criminalization of bribery 202
- effect of changing incentives to voluntarily disclose and cooperate 211–12
- enforcement of 25–6, 231, 265
- convergence of 38–40
- DOJ pilot program to guide 35
- evolving practice in 35–40
- expansion in 29
- history of 204–5
- patterns of 28–31
- Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) 26, 32, 203
- U.S. success with 216
- Monsanto* case 205
- non-prosecution agreement 46
- penalties imposed under 30–31
- resolution of 201
- rise in prosecutions 28–34
- Société Générale* case 30
- statutory overview of 202–4
- structured settlements under 47
- VimpelCom case 31

- foreign corrupt practices, criminalization of 258
- forum shopping, risk of 257
- France
 - Anti-Corruption Agency (AFA) 189
 - anti-corruption compliance program 189
 - Judicial Public Interest Agreements (CJIPs) 190–93
 - deterrence effect of 194
 - Public Prosecutor's Office 240
 - reforms in corporate criminal liability
 - ability of enforcers to detect and investigate misconduct 194–6
 - deferred prosecution agreements (DPAs) 190–94
 - prosecution of individuals 196–7
 - scope of corporate criminal liability 187–90
 - Sapin II legislation (2016) 38–9, 190, 193
 - violations of 197
- French Revolution 23
- General Law of Administrative Responsibilities (2017), Mexico 55
- GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) 236
- global anti-corruption enforcement 17, 67, 231–2, 258
- gross domestic product (GDP) 143
- Gurlap Systems Limited 247
- Heon-Cheol, Chi 248
- high-risk societies 1
- Holder, Eric 27
- House of Lords Bribery Act Committee 338–9, 343
- HSBC Private Bank (Suisse) SA (PBRs) 39
- human rights protection 44, 59
- Human Rights Watch 22
- hypothetical consent, concept of 274
- incentives, to combat transnational bribery cases 213–26
 - “Car Wash” (*Lava Jato*) scandal 215
 - for cooperation 222–5
 - issue of penalties 222
 - Odebrecht* case 215
 - other factors relevant to 225–6
 - Panasonic* case 215
 - Siemens* case 213–14
 - transnational bribery statutes and 213
 - for voluntary disclosure 218–22
- Individual Accountability for Corporate Wrongdoing 82
- individual prosecutions 26–7, 32–3, 38, 40, 142, 196–7, 322, 326, 343
- ‘informal bespoke’ agreements 57
- ING Group N.V. 249
- Innospec* bribery case 216, 267–9, 316, 337
- insolvency proceedings 75
- Inter-American Development Bank (IDB) 99
 - Sanctions Procedures 123
- Internal Audit 284, 288, 290, 292, 293, 298–301
- internal investigation
 - assessment and recording of results 294
 - benefits of 302–6
 - code of conduct 290
 - corporate liability implications 291
 - data collection plan 292, 293
 - dawn raids 291
 - decision of 289–94
 - detection of “warning signals” 290
 - document analysis and interviewing 293
 - execution stage of 293
 - following the report of a possible crime 294–8
 - preliminary stage of 292
- International Bar Association (IBA) 4, 43
 - Anti-Corruption Committee 47
 - Structured Criminal Settlements Subcommittee of 47
 - Economist Intelligence Unit (EIU)
 - Democracy Index 140
 - on efficiency priorities of criminal justice system 132–8

- on settlement regulations and practices 127
 - structured settlements 47
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights 271
- international financial institutions (IFIs) 119, 212
- investigation, conditional adjournment of 50
- involuntary engagement, risk of 219
- Italian Statute of Limitation 20

- Judicial Public Interest Agreements (CJIPs), France 190–96
 - deterrence effect of 194

- Kant, Immanuel 274
- Kleptocracy Asset Recovery (KAR) Initiative 8, 37
- Korea Institute of Geoscience and Mineral Resources (KIGAM) 248

- labor law 293
- L'Agence Française Anticorruption (France) 241
- Lauren, Ralph 3
- Lava Jato* scandal *see* “Car Wash” (*Lava Jato*) scandal
- law enforcement, in corruption
 - anti-corruption enforcement 251
 - budget for 142–4
 - encouraging self-control and self-reporting 252
 - government spending on 153–4
 - impact of legitimacy on 250
 - importance of 251
 - level of deterrence 251–2
 - principled approach towards 5–7
 - to promote culture of compliance 252–3
- “lead enforcer” system 256
- legal entities in Italy
 - Audit Committee of the Board of Directors 295
 - Criminal Procedure Code 295, 297
 - Article 103 of 300
 - Article 256(1) of 300
 - Internal Audit function 299
 - internal investigations 289–94
 - benefits of 302–6
 - Legislative Decree No. 231/2001 283–4
 - Article 55 of 291
 - Article 57 of 291, 305
 - Article 59 of 301
 - Article 391 of 302
 - compatibility of pre-trial diversion agreements
 - with 284–9
 - liability for 283–4
 - protection and admissibility of the collected data 299–302
 - Supervisory Body 299–300
- legality and mandatory prosecution, principle of 19, 43, 48–50
- Legg Mason Inc 246
- Legislative Decree No. 231/2001 (Italy) 283–4
 - Article 55 of 291
 - Article 57 of 291, 305
 - Article 59 of 301
 - Article 391 of 302
 - compatibility of pre-trial diversion agreements 284–9
- legitimacy
 - of anti-bribery regime 273
 - concept of 272–6
 - decision-making procedures 275
 - determinant of 274
 - ex crimine* liability 280
 - and hypothetical consent 274
 - of negotiated resolutions 276
 - in transnational bribery cases 275
- Lockheed Martin Corporation 204

- mens rea*, crimes requiring proof of 183–5
- money laundering 6, 20, 70, 75, 121, 184, 200, 248–9, 334
- Monsanto* case 205
- Morgan Stanley 36
- multi-jurisdictional anti-corruption enforcement 230–38, 240
 - Anti-Piling On Policy (US DoJ) 240–44, 253–5
 - carbon copy enforcement 233–4
 - challenges in 237–8
 - collateral effect of 238

- duplicative anti-corruption enforcement 232–7
 - global anti-corruption enforcement 231–2
 - predictability of 230
 - self-reporting 254
- multilateral development banks (MDBs) 99, 212
 - settlements in
 - policy 122–4
 - practice 120–22
- multinational corporations (MNCs) 113
- national authorities, referrals to 11, 117–18, 220, 222
- Natsvlshvili and Togonidze v. Georgia* 45, 59
- ne bis in idem* rule 23, 221, 272
- negotiated resolution agreements (NRAs) 47, 83
 - to deter corporate misconduct 160–68
 - legitimacy of 276
 - against non-cooperative firms 174–5
 - potential promise and perils of 168–77
 - bringing individual wrongdoers to justice 175–7
 - corporate liability 172
 - enforcement against
 - non-cooperative firms 174–5
 - sanctions imposed by DPA 169–72
- Negotiated Settlements for Corruption Offences: A European Perspective (2014) 45
- negotiated settlements, in corruption cases 4–5
 - to address the root problem of corporate malfeasance 83
 - advantages of 20–21
 - ambiguity of 19–20
 - for bribery offences 6, 48
 - brokering by private mediators 21
 - by Canada’s politicians 22–3
 - carrot-and-stick dynamic in 334
 - challenges of 21–2
 - compatibility with principles of criminal justice 15
 - corporate bribery cases 12
 - in corporate culture 87–91
 - credibility of 23
 - criminal justice systems for 6, 22–3
 - debarment system and 69, 84–91
 - definition of 45
 - different approaches to 119–24
 - MDB and RDB settlements in policy 122–4
 - MDB and RDB settlements in practice 120–22
 - to end criminal proceedings 19
 - in fight against procurement corruption 87–91
 - international harmonization and 23–4
 - legitimacy of 276
 - models and practice of 6
 - necessity for a coordinated approach 91–4
 - need to regulate 23
 - non-trial resolution 47
 - precondition for 6
 - privatization of justice and 21
 - purpose of 85–7
 - rationales of 79–84
 - reasons given for 20
 - World Bank Group sanctions proceedings and 100–107
 - “no piling on” policy (World Bank) 220
 - nolo contendere* plea 45–6, 81
 - non bis in idem*, principle of 271
 - non-criminal sanctions 146
 - non-debarment, conditional 111
 - non-prosecution agreement (NPA) 53, 79, 81, 157, 206–7, 285, 288, 339
 - for corporate criminality 79
 - for resolving FCPA violations 341
 - non-responsibility, determination of 73
 - non-trial resolutions (NTRs) 43–4
 - adoption of 48
 - effects of 61
 - enforcement of corporate liability using 127–8
 - explicit and non-explicit 55–8
 - for foreign bribery offences 56
 - legitimacy of NTRs 63
 - non-conviction-based settlement 62
 - non-explicit frameworks of 56
 - opportunity, principle of

- operation of 50–52
 - prosecutorial discretion 52–4
 - principle of legality and mandatory prosecution 49–50
 - operation of principle of opportunity 50–52
 - prosecutors' authority in 134
 - regimes in the administrative liability sphere 54–5
 - rule of law and 58–66
 - oversight by the court 62–3
 - 'tangibility' of reward 64–6
 - transparency 63–4
 - of transnational bribery offenses 217
- obstruction of justice 80
- Odebrecht* case 3, 215, 243, 245, 275–6
- OKOKRIM 57
- opportunity, principle of 50–52
- Organic Law (2015), Spain 50
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) 61, 115, 228
- Anti-Bribery Convention (1997) 1, 3, 29, 213, 221, 231, 281, 334
- ex crimine* liability 280
- Good Practice Guidance 110
- non-trial resolutions 5
- Working Group on Bribery (WGB) 2–4, 17, 24, 141, 282, 315
- Osofsky, Lisa 182, 310, 323, 328
- out-of-court settlement 17, 46, 52
- Panasonic* case 215
- PEMEX oil company 204
- penalties
 - crediting of 244–7, 255
 - under deferred prosecution agreements (DPAs) 337–9
- penalty writs 52, 56–7
- Petrobras (Brazilian company) 214, 236, 241–5
- Pirate of Prague 33
- plea bargaining, mechanism of 17, 49, 51, 57–9, 81, 127, 133, 148, 308
- political lobbying 22
- PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP 318
- privatization of justice 21
- Procedural Justice Theory* 250
- procedural rights, waiver of 59, 271
- productive efficiency, concept of 269–71
- profit-motivated crime 129
- Prosecutor Discretion Index 134–8, 139
 - vs.* Democracy Index 141
 - IBA survey of 148–9
 - vs.* percentage of GDP spent on law courts 153
 - Rule of Law Index *vs.* 155
- prosecutorial sentencing 133
- prosecutors' authority, in law enforcement
 - freedom to conclude cases without trial 128
 - limits on 133
 - non-trial resolution system and 134
- Prosecutor Discretion Index 134–8, 139
- prosecutorial discretion *see* prosecutors' prosecutorial discretion
- under rule of law 154
- set of rules that determines 133
- to settle bribery cases 133–4
- prosecutors' prosecutorial discretion
 - degree of 136–8
 - de jure* and *de facto* 144, 151–2
 - for different groups of countries 144–6
 - governance indicators in 138–44
 - relationship with
 - budget for law enforcement 142–4
 - business-related corruption 138–9
 - system legitimacy 139–42
- public financial management system 68
- public procurement
 - corruption in
 - fight against 69, 90
 - debarment *in see* debarment, in public procurement
 - process of 68
 - violations of rules for 69
- public welfare 68
- Rawls, John 274
- regional development banks (RDBs) 11, 119
- settlements in

- policy 122–4
- practice 120–22
- remote monitoring, of employees 293
- reprimand, notion of 98, 107, 111–12
- res judicata*, principle of 271
- Resource Guide to the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, A* (2012) 209
- Rolls-Royce plc (Rolls-Royce) 3, 77, 83, 145, 214, 243, 256, 323–6, 338, 342, 344
- Rosenstein, Rod 33, 229, 238–9
- Rose Revolution 140
- rule of law 62
 - criticisms of NTRs 62
 - non-trial resolutions and 58–66
 - procedural safeguards 59
- Sapin II legislation (2016), France 38–9, 190–91, 193
 - convention judiciaire d'intérêt public (CJIP) 39, 190–96
 - violations of 197
- Sarclad Ltd DPA 320–23, 331, 335, 344
- Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), US 25, 201
 - civil FCPA cases 32
 - enforcement under the FCPA 26, 32, 203
 - FCPA Unit 25
 - forms of resolutions of 206–7
 - high priority area 25
 - policy encouraging voluntary disclosure 208–11
 - Seaboard report 208–9
- self-incrimination, right against 7, 15, 19, 23, 234, 255, 293, 303
- Serco Geografix Ltd 83, 328–32
- Serious Crime Prevention Orders 335
- Serious Fraud Office (SFO), UK 22, 56, 82, 236, 248, 309
 - civil settlements of foreign bribery cases 316
 - use of civil recovery orders to tackle bribery 317
- settlement-based enforcement 8, 10
- Siemens 2, 33
 - bribery case 2–3, 33, 213–14
- slush funds 20
- Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) 114–15
 - social contract 68
 - social fairness, notion of 318
 - social network 293
 - social security 75
 - societas delinquere non potest* 281
 - Société Générale case 30, 240–41
 - Standard Bank plc. 65, 67, 83, 318–20, 342–3
 - standard of living 278
 - suicides 79–80
- taxes
 - fraud associated with 34
 - payment of 75
- TechnipFMC 242, 245
- Tesco Stores Ltd 322, 326–8
- Thompson, Larry 27, 30
- Thompson Memo 27, 30
- TRACE (non-profit anti-corruption organization) 3
- transnational bribery offenses 20, 212
 - corporate resolution of 213
 - enforcement of laws against 271
 - incentives for
 - for cooperation 222–5
 - voluntary disclosure 218–22
 - multi-jurisdictional investigation of 213–26
 - ne bis in idem* rule 221
 - negotiated resolutions in 263
 - non-trial resolutions of 217, 276
 - objectives of law against 266
 - resolutions of 275, 278
 - risk of involuntary engagement 219
- Transparency International 1
- Trudeau, Justin 22
- Tulloch, Gordon 46
- U.K. Privy Council 33
- 'ultimate victims' of foreign bribery 9, 64–5
- UN General Assembly 231
- UNCITRAL 92
- UNI ISO 37001 "Anti-Bribery Management Systems" guidelines 284
- United Kingdom (UK)

- Bribery Act (2010) 38, 56, 185, 226
- Code of Crown Prosecutors 53, 180
- Crime and Courts Act (2013) 53, 82
- reforms in corporate criminal liability
 - deferred prosecution
 - agreements (DPAs) 179–82
 - for misconduct 183–6
 - rewards and protection for whistleblowers 186–7
- United Nations Convention against Corruption (UNCAC) 1, 14, 44, 231, 281
 - Article 1 of 261
 - Article 39 of 44
 - Article 51 of 261
 - on due process 271–2
 - effectiveness of 263–9
 - on features of negotiated resolutions 261
 - on objectives of anti-corruption initiatives 260, 261–3
 - Preamble to 261
 - on rejection of a culture of corruption 262, 264–5
- US Attorney's Manual 238
- U.S. Attorney's Offices 28
 - Manual guidance 27, 36, 38
- US Department of Justice (DoJ) 25, 201, 285, 320
 - 'anti-piling on' policy of May 2018 14, 229–30, 238–45
- Anti-Trust Corporate Leniency Policy 204
- anti-trust leniency policy grants 204
- corporate compliance programs 37, 56
- Corporate Fraud Task Force 80
- Evaluation of Corporate Compliance Programs* (2017) 64
- Federal Sentencing Guidelines for Organizations 207
- forms of resolutions of 206–7
- Fraud Section 64
- guidelines for corporate prosecutions 27
- Kleptocracy Asset Recovery (KAR) Initiative 37
- organizational prosecution guidelines 35
- on out-of-court settlement 46
- pilot program guide FCPA investigations and settlements 35, 210
- policy encouraging voluntary disclosure 208–11
- Policy on Coordination of Corporate Resolution Penalties 31, 38
- Principles of Federal Prosecution of Business Organizations* 208, 210
- on rationale for negotiated settlements 81
- Thompson Memo 27, 30
- Yates Memo 27, 33
- U.S. enforcement policy, evolution of 212
- U.S. Sentencing Commission 26–7
- U.S. Sentencing Guidelines Manual* 209
- VimpelCom case 31, 244
- voluntary disclosure 10, 55–6, 111, 201, 205–6, 208–11, 223, 225–6, 302
 - anti-bribery laws and 9
 - benefits of 224
 - downsides of 212
 - of FCPA violations 35
 - incentives for 6, 13, 211, 218–22, 303
 - of wrongdoing/self-reporting 149
- Watergate scandal 29, 42, 231
- Weissmann, Andrew 210
- welfare maximization, concept of 269
- whistleblowers 222
 - evidence provided by 174–5
 - protections for and rewards to 13, 186–7
 - on reporting FCPA violations to the SEC 32
- white-collar offenders 13, 315, 344
- Wilson-Raybould, Jody 22
- winding up proceedings 75
- wire fraud 34, 80
- World Bank Group (WBG) 1, 92
 - agreed-upon sanction 107–12
 - conditional non-debarment 111

- debarment 108–11
- reprimand 111–12
- anti-corruption framework 117
- Articles of Agreement 96
- business-focused trainings 100
- conditional non-debarment 220
- cross-debarment threshold 220
- Department of Institutional Integrity 98
- Enterprise Survey 138
- Evaluation Office (EO) 99
- Integrity Compliance Guidelines 109, 113, 115
- Integrity Compliance Officer (ICO) 100
- Integrity Compliance Program (ICP) 113
- Integrity Vice Presidency (INT) 10–11, 95, 101, 106, 116–17, 220, 224
- letter of reprimand 111
- negotiated resolution agreements (NRAs) 47, 83
- “no piling on” policy 220
- Office of Suspension and Debarment (OSD) 99
- Preventive Services and Corporate Initiatives team (PSCI) 118
- Procurement, Consultant, and Anticorruption Guidelines 96
- respondent’s non-contestation or admission 112
- rule of law principles 97
- sanctions system 10, 95–7
 - agreed-upon sanction 107–12
 - brief history of 98–100
 - compliance 112–16
 - current settlement process 103–7
 - definitions of 99
 - internal reviews and audits of 97
 - key settlement terms 112–17
 - lessons learned 118
 - purpose of 97
 - referrals to national authorities 117–18
 - respondent’s non-contestation or admission 112
 - sanctions proceedings 101–3
 - settlement process 100–107
 - Show Cause Letter 101
 - Suspension and Debarment Officer (SDO) 99, 101–2, 106
 - sustainable business development strategies 100
 - World Justice Project (WJP) Rule of Law Index 154
 - vs. Discretion Index 155
 - World Trade Organization 92
 - wrongdoing 93, 112, 190, 293, 304–5, 309, 324, 332–3, 342, 344, 346
 - corporate 61, 81
 - determination of 16
 - penalties for 317
 - pervasiveness of 285
 - remediation of 201, 204
 - self-disclosure of 13, 16, 93, 327, 339
- Yates Memorandum (2015) 27, 33, 56, 209–11, 223, 342
- Yates, Sally 27, 82
- zero tolerance, policy of 16, 306, 308