

Index

- Atea 117
- Austria
 - Donau Chemie* case 68
 - award criteria 183–4, 187–8
- Bacau Appellate Court
 - on misuse of confidentiality by tenderers 265
- Belgium
 - as dual system of remedies 219
- bid rigging
 - electronic detection tool in UK 54, 315
 - European Competition Network and 55
 - excessive transparency and 34–6
- big data analytics 36, 56, 231
- body governed by public law 132
- Bucharest Appellate Court
 - on disclosure of contracts 264–5
 - on transparency of award documentation 256–7
- business secrets *see individual Member States*; *see also* judicial protection and transparency/competition balance
 - as protected in jury minutes 214
 - business secrets vs. 46, 160
 - in competition-first systems 48
 - indirect disclosure of 91–2
- competition vs. transparency balance 33–56
 - classification of current systems 40–51, 54–6
 - competition-first systems 48–51
 - EU-level balancing act 37–40
 - in procurement practice 51–4
 - intermediate systems 45–8
 - transparency first systems 42–5
- competitive distortion 102, 115, 144–5, 159–60, 169, 185, 194–5, 217, 235, 249–50, 281, 291, 314
- confidential information, protection of 11–12, 26–31 *see also* judicial protection and transparency/competition balance
- Conseil d'État*
 - on disclosure of tender evaluations 165, 166–7, 169–70
 - on transparency principle 158–9, 169–70
- CONSIP 213
- contract amendment notices 23–6
- Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms 129
- corruption and transparency rules 13 *see also* individual Member States
- commercial secrets *see individual Member States*; *see also* judicial protection and transparency/competition balance

- Transparency International,
Corruption Perception Index
13, 117
- court documents 143, 149, 150
- Court of Justice of the European Union
(CJEU) *see the* Table of cases
- case law on openness and
democracy 10, 11
- on protection of confidential
information 36
- on tenders evaluation 187–8
- Craiova Appellate Court
on tenders evaluation 249–50
- Croatia
use of VEAT notices 22
- Danske Spil A/S 109
- Denmark and public procurement
106–28
- access to information 12, 107–17
- access to prices 114–15
- Act on Public Access to Documents
in Public Files (2013) 108–17
- as intermediate system 46–7, 53
- Competition and Consumer
Authority 121, 125, 128
- Complaints Board for Public
Procurement 47, 53, 108–9,
112, 117, 126–7
- CGI v. Moderniseringsstyrelsen*
123
- Computerworld Denmark v.*
Statens It 116
- Damasec IAG JV v.*
Udenrigsministeriet 115
- FællesUdbud Sjælland case*
111–12, 116
- GE Healthcare Denmark A/S v.*
Region Midtjylland 116
- Ion Beam Applications S.A. v.*
Region Midtjylland case
111
- Konica Minolta Business*
Solutions Denmark
AS v. Erhvervsskolen
Nordsjælland 122
- Lett Advokatpartnerselskab v.*
Viborg Kommune 113
- MultiLine A/S v.*
Moderniseringsstyrelsen
116
- correspondence with legal advisors
112
- Danish Ombudsman 47, 109,
116–17
- Enforcement Act (2010) 122, 127
- e-procurement 119–20
- ex ante procurement transparency
118–23
- ex post procurement transparency
123–6
- information, which can be excluded
from access 112–13
- private relationships and
business relationship
113–16
- public economic interest
116–17
- internal working documents 110–12
- justification of award decisions 21
- Member State comparison of
transparency rules 42–3
- national FOIA regulations 16, 17
- online publication 118, 119, 126
- Public Administration Act (2014)
108–9
- publication of evaluation method
obligation 19
- public contracts governed by private
law 32
- Public Procurement Act (2015) 107,
117, 118–19, 120–21, 123,
124, 125–6, 127–8

- rank on TI Corruption Perception
 - Index 13, 117
- right of access to documents 79
- Social Appeals Board 108–9
- Tender Act (2007) 114
- transparency in procurement
 - litigation and oversight 126–8
 - transparency rules and corruption 15
 - use of VEAT notices 22, 121–3
- document, definition 86–7
- duty to give reasons *see* judicial
 - protection and transparency/competition balance
- effective judicial protection principle
 - see* judicial protection and transparency/competition balance
- effective legal protection principle
 - 19–21, 58–60, 64–5, 78
- e-procurement 25–6
 - in Denmark 119–20
 - in Finland 26, 130, 135–6
 - in Poland 26
 - in Spain 26
 - in United Kingdom 26
 - publication of scoring matrix 52, 138, 140
- EU Institutional procurement 82–105
 - ex ante procurement transparency 93–7
 - ex post procurement transparency 97–103
 - Financial Regulation/Omnibus Regulation 82–3, 93–5, 97, 102, 104–5
 - FOIA Regulation 82–93
 - Article 4(1), refusal of access 87
 - Article 4(2), overriding public interest 87
 - Article 4(3), decision-making documents 87–8, 91, 100, 104–5
 - Article 4(4), disclosure of third-party documents 88, 101, 103–4
 - partial access 88
 - indirect disclosure of commercial secrets 91–2
 - no publication of evaluation method obligation 19
 - Rules on EU Institutional Procurement 82–3, 85, 93–8, 102, 104
 - transparency in procurement litigation and oversight 103–5
- EU Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) 75–7
- EU procurement transparency
 - questionnaire 1–7
 - documents produced by contracting authority 4–5
 - evaluation documents prepared by contracting authority 5–6
 - general framework for regulation 3–4
 - introduction section 2–3
 - review mechanisms 6–7
 - tenderers' bids 5–6
- European Coal and Steel Community (ECSC) Treaty 71
- European Competition Network 55
- European Union (EU)
 - Charter of Fundamental Rights 58–60, 62, 63, 64–5, 71
 - Financial Regulation/Omnibus Regulation 66–7, 77, 82–3, 93–4, 95, 97–8, 102, 104–5
 - FOIA Regulation 10, 11, 65–6, 82–93
 - Government Procurement in EU Directive 89/665/CEE 274–5

- Legislative Decree 63/2018 217
- Procurement Directive 2014/24 8–9, 11, 17, 18, 20, 23–4, 28–9, 31, 36–7, 77, 83, 93, 95, 96, 97, 102
 - implementation in Denmark 119, 124–5
 - implementation in Finland 136, 140, 151
 - implementation in France 157, 159, 172
 - implementation in Germany 178, 185, 195, 199
 - implementation in Italy 212, 217
 - implementation in Romania 245, 262
 - implementation in Spain 280, 282, 284–5, 292
- Remedies Directive 89/665 8–9, 18, 20, 65, 68, 77, 78
- Remedies Directive 2007/66 20, 21, 121–2, 237, 275, 287
- Rules on EU Institutional Procurement 82–3, 85, 93–8, 102, 104
- Trade Secret Directive 2016/943 27, 39–40, 89–90, 217, 289
- evaluation matrix 96, 165
- excessive transparency 34–6, 171–2, 249 *see also* competition vs. transparency balance; gold-plating of EU law
- Finland and public procurement 129–53
 - access to public information 12
 - Act on Conditional Fines (1990) 152
 - Act on Public Contracts in the Fields of Defence and Security (2011) 136–7
 - Act on Public Procurement and Concession Contracts (2016) 132–3, 135–9, 145, 146, 152
 - Act on the Publicity of Official Documents (1951) 129, 143
 - Administrative Procedure Act (2014) 143
 - appeal process (chart) 153
 - as intermediate system 45–6, 52–3
 - as signatory to Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms 129
 - Competition Act (2011) 144
 - Competition and Consumer Authority 53, 145, 151–2
 - constitutional requirements of transparency 10, 129, 151
 - contract amendment notices 26
 - e-procurement 130, 135–6
 - ex ante procurement transparency 135–9
 - ex post procurement transparency 139–46
 - General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), proposed 130, 134
 - hackathons and innovation 28
 - HILMA website 135–7, 139
 - His Majesty's Gracious Ordinance Relating to Freedom of Writing and of the Press Act (1766) 129
 - justification of award decisions 21
 - Market Court 146–53
 - Member State comparison of transparency rules 42–3
 - National Audit Office 151
 - national court decisions 19, 31, 133–4, 137–8, 143, 148–9
 - national FOIA regulations 13, 16–17
 - Openness Act (2017) 46, 129–35, 136, 140–52

- Procurement Act (*HE 108/2016*),
 - proposed 133, 136–7, 144–5
 - protection of confidential information 31
 - publication of evaluation method obligation 19
 - public contracts governed by private law 32
- Publicity in Administrative Courts Act (2007) 143, 147, 148–50
- rank on TI Corruption Perception Index 13
- right of access to documents 79
- Trade Secrets Act, proposed 130, 142, 143
- transparency in procurement litigation and oversight 146–52
- transparency rules and corruption 15
- tutkihankintoja.fi (website for government purchases) 26, 146
- use of VEAT notices 22
- voluntary ex ante transparency notice (VEAT) 138–9
- Finnish Supreme Administrative Court
 - on confidential documents 143
 - on disclosure of preliminary pricing tales 148–9
 - on disclosure of tender evaluations 133–4, 148
 - on disclosure of tenders before award decision 31
 - on publication of evaluation method 19, 31, 137–8
- fishing expeditions 30, 199, 300–301, 313, 316
- France and public procurement 154–76
 - as competition-first system 48–9, 53–4
 - as dual system of remedies 219
- BOAMP (*Bulletin officiel des annonces des marchés publics*) 161, 163, 165
- business secret types 169
- CADA (*Commission d'accès aux documents administratifs*) 154–5, 157–8, 160, 166–75
- Code of Public Procurement 155, 157, 159–60, 161–6, 171, 174–6
- Competition Authority (*Autorité de la Concurrence*) 54, 172
- contentieux objectif* system 68
- CRPA (Code of relations between the public and the administration) 154, 155–61, 167–9, 171–3
- Déclaration des Droits de l'Homme et du Citoyen* 154
- Economy, Ministry of 165–6
- ex ante procurement transparency 161–5
- ex post procurement transparency 165–73
- justification of award decisions 21
- Law on access to documents 154–5
- laws against bid rigging 54
- Member State comparison of transparency rules 42–3
- national court decisions 158–9, 165, 166–7, 169–70
- national FOIA regulations 16
- non-disclosure agreements 174
- no publication of evaluation method obligation 19
- Order on Buyer Profiles 162, 163
- protection of confidential information 30
- right of access to documents 79
- sanctioned cartels 172
- transparency in procurement litigation and oversight 173–6

- transparency rules and corruption
 - 14–15
 - use of VEAT notices 22, 165
- Fredensborg VVS-Teknik A/S 125
- German Federal Court (BGH)
 - on tenders evaluation 188
- Germany and public procurement
 - 177–200
 - Act against Restraints of
 - Competition (ARC) (2014)
 - 178, 181, 183–4, 187–8,
 - 189–91, 193, 195, 196–200
 - Administrative Procedure Act
 - (APA) 179–82, 197
 - as competition-first system 50–51,
 - 54
 - business secret types 192
 - Civil Code (BGB) 182, 195
 - Code of Civil Procedure 197
 - Competition Authority 54
 - Economic Affairs and Energy,
 - Ministry of 196, 199, 200
 - Environmental Information Act
 - (1994/2004) 177
 - ex ante procurement transparency
 - 185–8
 - ex post procurement transparency
 - 189–96
 - Freedom of Information Act (2005)
 - 12, 177–8, 179–85, 189–96
 - General Data Protection Regulation
 - 185
 - Higher Regional Court as
 - Complaints Board 197, 198
 - Judicature Act 197
 - justification of award decisions 20
 - Member State comparison of
 - transparency rules 42–3
 - national court decisions 188
 - national FOIA regulations 12–13, 16
 - no publication of evaluation method
 - obligation 19
 - private law contracts 32
 - protection of confidential
 - information 29, 30
 - Public Procurement Law and
 - Cascade-System 178–9, 184
 - Public Procurement Tribunals
 - 180–81, 193, 197, 198, 200
 - rank on TI Corruption Perception
 - Index 13
 - Regulation on Statistics of
 - Procurement Procedure
 - (VergStatVO) 196, 199–200
 - Regulation on the Award of Public
 - Contracts (RPC) 183–7,
 - 189–93, 195
 - Regulation on the Award of
 - Public Contracts in the area
 - of Defense and Security
 - (VSVgV) 187
 - right of access to documents 80
 - transparency in procurement
 - litigation and oversight
 - 197–200
 - transparency rules and corruption 14
 - use of VEAT notices 188
 - Ginter, Carri 25
 - gold-plating of EU law 19
 - hackathons 28
 - Halonen, Kirsi Maria 249
 - Hansel Ltd. 132, 146
 - High Court of Justice in England
 - (EWHC)
 - on claims and amendments 306
 - on claw back due to advertising
 - failure 307
 - on disclosure of public contracts
 - 304–5
 - on disclosure of tender rates and
 - methods 314–15

- Italy and public procurement 201–22
- access to public information 12
 - as dual system of remedies 219
 - as transparency-first system 43–5, 51
 - civic access 203, 204, 209, 210
 - Code for public procurement (2006 PPC) 202, 212, 217
 - Code for public procurement (2016 PPC) 203
 - Code of Administrative Procedure (CAP) 216–17, 219–21
 - contract amendment notices 25
 - early transparency and competitive concerns 32
 - ex ante procurement transparency 210–13
 - ex post procurement transparency 213–18
 - Finances, Ministry of 213
 - goals of transparency rules 208
 - Infrastructure, Ministry of 211
 - Law 24/2014 212–13
 - Law 142/90 207, 208–10
 - Law 190/2012 205
 - Law 241/90 (*Law about administrative process and right to access to administrative documents*) 201–3, 205, 206, 207–8
 - Legislative Decree 33/2013 202–6, 208–10, 212, 215, 218
 - Legislative Decree 50/2016 (2016 PPC) 205–12, 213–18, 220–22
 - Legislative Decree 53/2010 220
 - Member State comparison of transparency rules 42–3
 - National Authority Against Corruption (ANAC) 205, 211, 212–13, 215, 217–18, 220, 222
 - National Database on Public Contracts 221–2
 - national FOIA regulations 15
 - Official Gazette of the Italian Republic 211
 - Procurement Code (2016) 44
 - protection of confidential information 29–30
 - rank on TI Corruption Perception Index 13
 - right of access to documents 79
 - transparency in procurement litigation and oversight 218–22
 - transparency rules and corruption 14, 201–5
 - use of VEAT notices 213
- journalists, rights of 10–11
- judicial protection and transparency/competition balance 57–81
- comparative law analysis 79–80
 - Donau Chemie* case 60–62, 68
 - duty to give reasons 57–8, 71–8
 - EU Charter and principles of EU law 58–60, 62, 63, 64–5, 71
 - Germany v Commission* case 72–3
 - Heylens* case 73
 - Ispas* case 58–9, 62–4, 68
 - procurement case law 75–8
 - right of access to documents 57–71
 - Secolux* case 66–9, 78
 - Varec* case 69–71, 78–9
- justification of award decisions 19–21, 134–5, 139–40, 146
- Lithuania
- right of access to documents 79, 80
- minutes of jury 214

- most economically-advantageous tender (MEAT) 52, 95–6, 164, 212, 213, 232
- non-discrimination principle 1
- Norway
 - as forerunner in transparency 201
- Official Journal of the European Union (OJEU) 93–5, 121, 125–6, 161, 172–3, 187, 215, 229, 230, 236, 239, 255, 279, 284, 301, 306
- online publication 94, 103 *see also* individual Member States; Tenders Electronic Daily (TED)
- openness in public procurement transparency 8–32
 - active transparency 17–26
 - contract amendment notices 23–6
 - Directive provisions 18
 - evaluation method 18–19
 - justification of award decisions 19–21
 - protection of confidential information 11–12, 26–31
 - scope of transparency rules 15–17
 - transparency objectives 10–15
 - voluntary ex ante transparency notice (VEAT) 21–3, 25
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)
 - Competition and Procurement 36
 - GOV/SIGMA report 219
 - Recommendation on Public Procurement 35
- Parrest, Nele 25
- party to procurement procedure 131, 147
- Pitesti Appellate Court
 - on transparency and right to complaint 267–8
- Poland and public procurement 223–40
 - access to public information 12
 - Act on Combating Unfair Competition 45, 236
 - Act on Competition and Consumer Protection 225–6
 - Act on the Transparency of Public Life, proposed 224
 - as transparency-first system 45, 51
 - business secret types 227–8, 234
 - Code on Civil Procedure 237–8, 239
 - constitutional requirements of transparency 10, 223–4, 225
 - contract amendment notices 26
 - early transparency and competitive concerns 32
 - ex ante procurement transparency 229–32
 - ex post procurement transparency 232–7
 - justification of award decisions 21
 - lack of big data requirement 231
 - Member State comparison of transparency rules 42–3
 - National Chamber of Appeal 234–5, 236, 237–9
 - national court decisions 45, 228–9
 - national FOIA regulations 16
 - no publication of evaluation method obligation 19
 - Office of Competition and Consumer Protection 51, 235–6
 - online publication 26
 - Polish Ombudsman 224
 - Procedural Ordinance 237
 - protection of confidential information 30–31
 - Public Information Act (2001) 12, 223, 224–6, 228–9, 233, 237
 - publicly available registry of public contracts 224, 236

- Public Procurement Bulletin
 - 229–30, 231, 232
- Public Procurement Law (PPL) 223, 224, 226–9, 231–3, 237–9
- rank on TI Corruption Perception Index 13
- right of access to documents 79
- transparency in procurement
 - litigation and oversight 237–40
 - transparency rules and corruption 14
 - use of VEAT notices 22, 232
- Polish Supreme Administrative Court
 - on disclosure of business secrets 228–9
 - on protection of business secrets 45
- price-fixing 31
- price visibility/opacity *see* competition
 - vs. transparency balance
- private enforcement principle 68
- publication of evaluation method *see individual Member States*
- public information, mandatory 42–3
- public law *see individual Member States*

- quantitative criteria 140

- remedies *see* judicial protection and transparency/competition balance
- right of access *see* judicial protection and transparency/competition balance
- Romania and public procurement 241–71
 - access to public information 12
 - access to remedies principle and transparency 251–2
 - all information, use of term 244
 - as intermediate system 47–8, 53
 - assessment of transparency in public sector 241–3
 - box-ticking strategy by contracting authorities 243
 - constitutional requirements of transparency 10, 242
 - Department for Fighting against Fraud 269
 - DIGITAL AGENDA 256
 - ex ante procurement transparency 252–61
 - ex post procurement transparency 261–6
 - free and unrestricted competition
 - among economic operators principle and transparency 249–50
 - good administration principle and transparency 248–9
 - Handbook for the awarding of public procurement contracts* 244, 247
 - justification of award decisions 21
 - Member State comparison of transparency rules 42–3
 - National Agency for Public Procurement 243, 246–7, 255, 260–61, 269
 - National Council for Solving Legal Complaints, Review Board (CNSC) 248, 251, 256–7, 258, 259–60, 262–3, 266–7, 268
 - national court decisions 246, 249–50, 256–7, 264–5, 267–8
 - National Court of Accounts 268, 269
 - national FOIA regulations 15, 242–4, 247–8, 251–2, 263–5
 - National Strategy for Public Procurement* (2015) 244, 248, 249–50, 257
 - Order of the Ministry of European Funds 247

- protection of commercial and technical secrets and transparency 250–51
- protection of confidential information 29
- publication of evaluation method obligation 19
- Public Procurement Law (PPL 2016) 242, 243–6, 249, 250, 251, 254, 255–6, 257–67
- rank on TI Corruption Perception Index 13, 245–6
- right of access to documents 80
- Romanian Association for Standardization 256
- SICAP (Collaborative Informatic System for a Performant Environment for Conducting Public Procurement) 245, 253–6, 257–8, 260, 263, 264, 266, 269, 270–71
- transparency, definitions 244
- transparency in procurement litigation and oversight 266–9
- transparency rules and corruption 13–14
- use of VEAT notices 22
- Utilities Procurement Law (2016) 243–4
- Romanian High Court of Cassation and Justice
 - on transparency and competition 246
- Sanchez-Graells, Albert 249
- Simovart, Mari Ann 25
- SKI A/S 109
- Slovakia
 - use of VEAT notices 22
- Slovenia
 - use of VEAT notices 22
- Spain and public procurement 272–95
 - access to public information 12
 - Administrative Procurement
 - Consultation Boards 278, 287
 - as transparency-first system 44–5, 51–2
 - Autonomous Communities/Cities 279, 294
 - collaboration with competition authorities 291–2
 - Contentious-Administrative Courts 274
 - contract amendment notices 25
 - Cooperation Committee 294
 - Court of Auditors 294
 - early transparency and competitive concerns 32
 - ex ante procurement transparency 278–84
 - ex post procurement transparency 284–92
 - Finance, Ministry of 294
 - Independent Office of Regulation and Supervision of Public Procurement 295
 - justification of award decisions 21
 - LCSP (Law 9/2017) 272–95
 - LTAIPBG (Law 19/2013) 272–80, 286, 288, 290, 292–3
 - Member State comparison of transparency rules 42–3
 - National Commission on Markets and Competition (CNMC) 52
 - National Competition and Markets Authority (CNMC) 291–2
 - national court decisions 289
 - national FOIA regulations 12–13, 15
 - Official State Gazette 290
 - online publication 8, 25, 26
 - publication of evaluation method obligation 19
 - Public Sector Procurement Platform (PSPP) 277, 278–9, 284, 286

- rank on TI Corruption Perception Index 13
- Registry of Public Sector Contracts 294
- Transparency and Good Governance Board 44–5, 274, 288–9, 290
- transparency in procurement
 - litigation and oversight 292–5
 - transparency rules and corruption 14
- Spanish Supreme Court
 - on trade secrets 289
- Strasbourg Criminal Convention against corruption (1999) 202–3
- Sweden
 - as dual system of remedies 219
 - as forerunner in transparency 57, 201
- Tenders Electronic Daily (TED) 22, 95, 97, 118, 120, 126, 135, 137, 185, 187, 229, 230, 236, 306
- trade secret, definition 27
- transparency first systems, Member State comparisons 42–5
- Transparency International, Corruption Perception Index 13, 117
- Treaty on European Union (TEU)
 - effective judicial protection principle 19–21, 58–60, 64–5, 78
 - transparency principle 61–2
- Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU)
 - courts and tribunals 237
 - duty to give reasons 71–3
 - good governance principle 62, 64–5
 - mandated annual reports 104–5
 - openness principle 11
 - right of access to documents 61, 62
 - right to compensation 61
- Troels Jørgensen A/S 125
- UN Convention against Corruption (2003) 202–3
- United Kingdom and public procurement 296–322
 - as competition-first system 49–50, 54
 - Cabinet Office 319–20
 - Civil Procedure Rules (CPR) 299–301, 316
 - Competition Act (1998) 315
 - Competition and Markets Authority (CMA) 54, 315
 - confidentiality rings 314, 317
 - contract amendment notices 25
 - Contracts Finder 25, 301, 306–7, 311, 315–16
 - Crown Commercial Service (CCS) 22, 305, 307–9, 311, 322
 - Defence Reform Act (DRA) (2014) 310
 - Department for Work and Pension (DWP) 303–4
 - electronic detection tool for bid rigging 54, 315
 - English exception to duty to give reasons 74
 - Enterprise Act (2002) 315
 - Environmental Information Act/Regulations (1994/2004) 298, 299, 302, 305–6, 308–10, 313, 315, 317–19
 - ex ante procurement transparency 306–11
 - ex post procurement transparency 312–16
 - fishing exercise 300–301, 313, 316
 - Freedom of Information Act (2000) 296–9, 302, 305–6, 308–10, 313, 315–16, 317–19, 322
 - Information Commissioner's Office (ICO) 296, 298–9, 302–4, 310–11, 317, 318–19

- Information/First-Tier Tribunal 298–9, 303–4
- justification of award decisions 21
- laws specific to countries within 297, 299, 300
- Local Audit and Accountability Act (LAA) (2014) 315–16
- Local Authority Transparency Code 320, 321–2
- London Garden Bridge project 320–21
- Lord Chancellor’s Department 298
- Member State comparison of transparency rules 42–3
- National Audit Office (NAO) 311, 320, 321–2
- national court decisions 304–5, 306, 307, 314–15
- national FOIA regulations 16
- National Health Service (NHS) 306, 307–8, 317
- no publication of evaluation method obligation 19
- open government guidelines 26
- public contracts governed by private law 32
- Public Contracts Regulations (PCRs) (2015) 296, 297, 306–14, 319–20, 322
- right of access to documents 80
- separate regime for light-touch procurements 307–8
- Technology & Construction Court Guide (TCC) 309, 314, 317–18
- trade secrets and commercially sensitive information 302–6
- Transparency Agenda 301–2, 313, 315–16
- transparency in procurement litigation and oversight 316–22
- transparency rules and corruption 15
- use of VEAT notices 22, 311
- United States (US)
 - Administrative Procedure Act (APA) 74
 - national court decisions 74
 - US Supreme Court
 - on duty to give reasons 74
 - voluntary ex ante transparency notice (VEAT) 18, 21–3, 25, 96–7, 121–3, 138–9, 165, 188, 213, 232, 260–61, 284, 311
- World Trade Organization (WTO) Appellate Body cases 75