

# Index

---

- Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islanders
    - see* Australia, traditional knowledge
  - Abrams v US* 362
  - Accessible Book Consortium 319, 322
  - Addor, Felix 247–8
  - Africa
    - agriculture in
      - food security, and 53–7
      - informal systems 53–6
      - IP policies, and 40–47, 53–4
      - plant variety rights *see* plant variety rights
    - R&D and technological development policies 55–7
    - seed banks, concerns regarding 53–5
  - Common African Position 40–41, 55
  - copyright, fair dealing exception 16–17
  - Dakar Declaration on Intellectual Property for Africa 45
  - developmental agendas 37–8
  - food security 40–42, 44–6, 53–64
  - international law, constitutional conflicts 87
  - Plant Variety Protection Protocol 47–50
  - public private partnerships 60
  - regional agreements 2, 38
  - Science, Technology and Innovation Strategy for Africa (STISA-2024) 40–42, 44, 55, 64
  - WIPO influences on policy
    - food security, and 40–42, 44–6, 57–65
    - generally 38–9, 42–4, 57–65
    - governance partnerships 60
    - Intellectual Property Development Plans (IPDPs) 58–9
    - meetings and information sessions 60–61
  - National IP Strategies (NIPS) 58–9
  - plant variety protection 61–5
  - technical assistance and education 58–9, 61–4
- African Intellectual Property Organization (OAPI) 38–9, 42–3, 47, 49–51
- African Ministerial Conference 44–7
- African Regional Intellectual Property Organization (ARIPO) 38–40, 42–3, 47–50, 54
- African Union 40, 44–7
- agriculture *see* Africa; plant variety rights
- Ahmed v India* 90–91
- Amazon 172–3
- appellation of origin 242, 244–5
  - see also* geographical indications
- Arfini, Filippo 239
- Armstrong, Carol 30–31
- Ashby Donald v France* (ECtHR) 358–9
- Ashdown v Telegraph* (UK) 379–80
- Asia
  - copyright 4–5, 365–6
  - fair dealing exception 16–17
  - freedom of the expression, and 365–6
  - traditional knowledge conflicts 227
  - UGC protection provisions 297–8
- fundamental rights 254–5
- geographical indications 256–65, 260, 263
- Nepalese tea 260–64
- pashmina wool 260–61
- rural development, influences on 256–60, 265
- sui generis* regime 259–60

- IPR generally
  - challenges for 253–5
  - development 252–3
  - human rights conflicts 90–93, 333
  - policy development 255–6, 265
- national law, engagement with
  - international law
  - constitutional challenges 85–93, 95, 254–5
  - creating international law norms 81–5
  - human rights law, and 90–93, 333
  - IPR policy objectives 88–90
  - US criticism of IP law 88–90, 95
- patents
  - infringement injunctions 5, 397–8, 397–9
  - traditional knowledge, and 221
  - trade marks 258–9, 261
  - traditional knowledge protection 216
    - access applications and approval trends 217–21
  - Australian law compared 224–8, 230–32
  - benefit-sharing arrangements 217–20, 225
  - biodiversity management 220–21, 228
  - biodiversity registers and knowledge databases 225–8
  - commercial uses 219–220, 224
  - IPR conflicts 221, 227
  - limitations 218–19, 224–5, 227–8, 232
- WTO, and
  - membership 251–2
  - TRIPS Agreement 81–5, 251–3, 255
- Association for the Protection of Intellectual Property 412
- Astra v Beckman* (US) 147
- Austin, Graeme 312
- Australia
  - copyright 16–17
  - traditional knowledge
    - benefit-sharing 210, 212–13, 225
    - biological resources, access to 209–13
    - Codes of Ethics 213
    - commercial vs. non-commercial purposes 210, 224
    - consistency, need for 224
    - consultation role in 233–4
    - databases, role of 230–31
    - exploitation trends 208–9, 224
    - Indian law compared 224–8, 230–32
    - intangible heritage 213–14
    - international law obligations 207
    - limitations 209–11, 214–15
    - permits, procedures and grant 210–212
    - Peruvian law compared 224, 229–32
    - reform proposals 232–4
    - rights in, role of 215
    - scope 209–14
    - State and Territory laws, and 211–14
    - value added biodiversity 212–13
- Austria 18
- Baio, Andy 297–8
- Bangladesh 260
- Bangui Agreement 1999 49–50
- Barendt, E.M. 361–3
- Basheer, Shamnad 89
- Baugruppe* case (Ger) 149
- Bavikatte, Kabir Sanjay 218
- Belgium 17
- Benevenisti, Eyal 66–70, 83
- Bently, Lionel 165
- Berne Convention
  - fair use rights and obligations 8–15
    - additional conditions 21, 23, 32–3
    - ancillary obligations 10–11
    - compliance difficulties 34–6
    - compulsory licensing 13–14
    - criticism or review 17–18
    - EU law interpretation and implementation 22–3, 25–6, 32
    - fair dealing exception 16–19
    - mandatory nature 10–12, 22–6
    - minimum standards 316
    - minor exceptions doctrine 20–21, 26–7

- national law interpretation and implementation 11, 16–21, 32–3
- national treatment rights 23–4
- principles of 10–11
- proportionality condition 14–15
- purpose, limitations by 14–18
- quotation rights 11–15, 18–20, 22–3, 25–6, 33–4
- remix rights, and 304–6
- rulification, and 31–4
- three step test, and 26–7, 320, 352–3
- travaux* interpretation 13–15, 24, 32–3
- TRIPS Agreement impact on 34–5
- Bhutani, Shalini 219
- Bilateral Investment Treaties 123–4
- biotechnological inventions 415
- Birnhack, D. 365, 375
- Blackstone, William 164
- Borden v Borden* (US) 139–40
- Boztosun, Odman 407
- brands 168–9
- Brazil 32
- Bridgeport Music Inc v Dimension Films* (US) 296–7, 303
- Broccoli I & II* cases (CJEU) 116
- Brüstle* case (CJEU) 106, 115–16, 125
- Bunet, Sylvie 56
- Burshtein, Sheldon 160, 166–7
- C Itoh v ICANN* 162
- CAD files, IP status of 454–5, 458
- Calboli, Irene 257
- Callois, Jean-Marc 239
- Canada 16–17, 290
- ccTLDs
  - choice, reasons for 153, 176–7
  - confusion in 157–9
  - geographical terms in 157–8
  - ICANN
    - dispute resolution mechanism 155, 157
    - geographical location policy 172–4
    - WIPO role, compared with 174–5
  - international standards, generally 173–4
  - physical location, relevance of 159
  - property, status as 159–60
    - judicial interpretation 160–63
  - regulation
    - governance models 158–9
    - limitations 153–4, 158–9
    - sui generis* system, benefits of 153–4, 166, 176–7
  - similar IP categories
    - brands 168–70
    - general treatment 166–7
    - geographical indications 168–72
    - trade marks 167–70
- Cevero, Robert 169–70
- champagne 171
- China 397–9
- Chon, Margaret 72
- ClearCorrect* case (ITC) 457–8
- Coca Cola 187
- Colombia 335
- Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights 329, 337, 343–4
- concurrance déloyale* 133–4, 146
- consumer confusion *see* unfair competition
- Contenur v Company Plastic Omnium* (Fra) 148
- Convention on Biological Diversity 1992 207
- Convention on the Law of Treaties 1969 112–13, 126
  - applicable law interpretation 92, 112, 120–21
  - coherence in IP law, and 120–26
  - domestic judicial interpretation of international norms 75–7
  - good faith 32, 121–2, 314
  - pre-existing or subsequent norms 122
  - preparatory works 122
  - state obligations 120–21
  - supplementary means of interpretation 123–6
  - textual interpretation 10, 123–6
  - third party rights and obligations 121
- Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities 2006 317, 331, 344

- Convention on the Rights of the Child 1989 337–8
- copyright
  - challenges of 34–5, 269–73
    - digital works 272–4
    - modern art 285
    - musical works 272–3
    - performances 272–3
    - technological change, and 269–71, 272–4, 279, 285–7, 332
  - creativity, attitudes towards 284–6, 293
  - criticism of 274
  - de minimis* use exception 452–6
  - definition 359
  - derivative works
    - remixes, and 291–2, 305
    - service model reform proposal, and 281–2
  - development and expansion of 293–5, 306
    - anti-circumvention rules 295
    - criminalisation of infringement 295
    - digital rights management 295
    - ISP protection 295
    - subject matter 294
    - technological protection measures 295
    - term 294–5
    - TRIPS Agreement 306
  - engine of free expression, as 358–60, 363–4, 367–72, 375–8, 382
  - fair quotation rights *see* global mandatory fair use
  - freedom of expression, and *see* freedom of expression
  - harmonisation
    - author's rights protection focus, and 341–2
    - challenges for 341–2
    - disabled persons' rights vs. IPR protection conflicts 343–6
    - Marrakesh Treaty, and 342–3, 346–57
  - human rights, and
    - author's rights conflicts with 343–6
    - compatibility 329–39
    - framework for 312–14
    - protective dimension 312–13
    - public interest protection 336–7
    - reporting mechanisms 337–8
    - restrictive dimension 312–14
    - three-step test 336–7
  - ideas/ expression dichotomy 368–75
  - law reform
    - approaches, generally 274–5
    - Creative Commons licences 303
    - digital vs. non-digital works, different rules for 277–9
    - exceptions and limitations 342–3
    - need for 271–2, 286–7
    - no copyright law proposal 283–6
    - service model proposal 275–83
    - voluntary licensing schemes 303–4
  - marketplace influences on 274–5
  - pluralism, dysfunctionality of 20–21
    - causes of 22–35
    - fair practice rulification 31–4
    - mandatory obligations, interpretation of 22–6
    - quotation, interpretation of 28–31
    - three-step test 26–7
    - transnational compliance procedures 34–5
  - public interest protection 336–7
    - purpose of 4, 268–9, 271, 283–4, 307–8
  - remixes 288
    - album cover mash-ups 297
    - characteristics of digital remixes 291–3
    - copyrightability 305
    - definitions 291–3, 301, 305
    - derivative works, and 291–2, 305
    - fair use defence, and 289–90, 301–2, 309
    - ISP protection of 295, 297–8
    - law, limitations of 296–8
    - law reform proposals 289–90, 300–9
    - legal status uncertainty of 289
    - music streaming services 297–8
    - remix creation 288–9, 292
    - remix rights proposals 304–9
    - safe harbour provisions, and 289

- sampling 297
  - trends 297–8
  - UGC protection exceptions 289, 299
  - UGC protection provisions, and 289, 292–3, 298–300
  - voluntary licensing scheme 303–4, 308–9
  - rights asymmetry 34–5
  - service model reform proposal
    - citation or quotation rights 280
    - derivative works, and 281–2
    - dual system for digital/ non-digital works 277–83
    - exceptions or limitations 280
    - exhaustion of rights, and 280
    - general view of 275–6
    - infringement, and 281
    - online content access rights 276–7, 280
    - parody and pastiche 280
  - structure of
    - exclusivity principle 268–70, 273, 283–4
    - object-copy, physical nature of 269–73, 283–4
    - rights, characteristics of 268–9, 273, 283–4
    - technology, influences of 269–71, 272–4, 279, 285–7
  - symbols 374–5
  - TRIPS Agreement 336–7
    - criminal penalties for infringement 295
    - fair use obligations 8, 26–7, 320
    - freedom of expression, and 380–81
    - protected works, scope of 306
    - three-step test 352–3, 380–81
    - visual impairment, and *see* visual impairment
    - work, definition of 267–71, 283–4
  - Correa, Carlos 240
  - country code top level domain names *see* ccTLDs
  - Creative Commons licences 303
  - Czech Republic 19
  - Dakar Declaration on Intellectual Property for Africa 2015 45
  - Davies, Margaret 163
  - de minimis* use exception 452–6
  - Dejeuner sur L'herbe* (painting) 28–31
  - development agendas, purpose 37–8
  - digital rights management 295
  - digital technologies
    - see also* 3D printing
    - copyright, and 287–8
    - challenges of 272–4
    - digital rights management 295
    - dual system for digital/non-digital works proposal 277–83
    - remixes 291–3
  - Dinwoodie, Greame 71, 73
  - disabled persons
    - see also* visual impairment
    - rights vs. IPR protection 317, 331, 338, 343–6
  - Dogan, Bilge 257
  - domain names
    - confusion in 157–8
    - country code top level domain names *see* ccTLDs
    - generic top level domain names (gTLD) 153
    - geographic terms in 157–8
  - ICANN
    - background 156–7
    - criticism of 156–7
    - dispute resolution 155, 157, 162–3
    - role of 155–7
  - international standards, generally 173–4
  - Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) 174–5
  - property, status as 159–60
    - judicial interpretation 160–63
  - single owner limitation 154–5
  - technical characteristics 154–5
  - trade marks, and 154–5
  - 2LD 154
  - value and importance of 154
- Drahos, Peter 240
  - Dworkin, Gerald 9, 110
  - eBay, Inc v MercExchange* (US) 394–5
  - Eldred v Ashcroft* (US) 358
  - Elkin-Koren, Niva 308
  - Elvis Presley Enterprises (EPE) v Capece* (US) 140–41

- emerging technologies *see* new technologies
- Estonia 18
- EU-Canada Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA) 251
- European Convention on Human Rights 1952 100, 358–9, 361
- European Union
  - authority of
    - constitutional challenges to 78–80, 86
    - legislative interpretation rules 113, 125
  - copyright
    - discrimination conflicts 344–5
    - quotation exception 22–3, 25–6, 32
    - UGC protection provisions 299–300
  - Information Society Directive 22, 25–6, 112–13, 342, 344–5
  - new technologies, legal interpretation 105–6, 115–16
  - patents, injunctions for infringement
    - competition law approach 391–4
    - conflicts management 389–90
    - industry standards and FRAND terms, relevance 388–93
    - IP enforcement law approach 387–91
  - Patent Assertion Entities, and 390–91
  - proportionality, and 390
  - remedies, fairness of 387–8
  - SEP holders, availability for 391–3
- trade marks, refusal grounds
  - absolute grounds 181, 183–4
  - aesthetic functionality 181, 196–200
  - alternative designs criteria 178–9, 183–4, 191–2, 202–4
  - competitive necessity test 202–4
  - design right, compared with 184–5
  - functional exclusions 178–85, 190–200
  - invalidity proceedings 181–2
  - public interest 182, 184–5
  - US law functionality doctrine
    - compared 178–9, 185, 190–96
    - utility functionality 181
  - unfair competition provisions 131–2
  - unitary patents 454
  - utility models 403
  - evergreening 82–3
- Facebook 293
- fair use exception *see* global mandatory fair use
- Farwell, Beatrice 30
- Flickr 292–3
- food security
  - African IP policies regarding 40–42, 44–6, 53–5
  - GMOs, role of 56
  - plant variety protection, and 51–4
  - R&D and biotechnology role in 55–7
  - WIPO and IP role in 39, 57–65
- Forrest, Heather 157, 166
- France
  - copyright 18–19
  - patents 412
  - unfair competition 3, 133–4, 138, 141–3, 146, 148–52
  - utility models 415, 417, 421–2, 424
- Frankel, Susy 92, 171, 238–9
- Franks, Courtney 161
- free riding 169, 406–7
- Free Trade Agreements 123–4
- freedom of expression
  - copyright, and
    - balancing 375–81
    - challenges 381–2
    - conflicts between 358–9, 364–5, 372–5, 376–81
    - creation and progression view 365–7
    - creation and protection view 368–75
    - as engine of 358–60, 363–4, 367–72, 375–8, 382
    - fair use/fair dealing exception, and 373, 378–80
    - ideas/expression dichotomy 368–75

- internal vs. external conflicts 379–80
- limitations and exceptions 372–8
- political suppression, influences of 376
- protection limitations 368–70
- public interest, and 381
- three-step test, and 380–81
- TRIPS Agreement, and 380–81
- development 10–11, 360–61
- human right, as 360–61
- justifications for 361–3
- principles of 361–3, 370–71
- speech, definition 363–4, 370
- trade marks, and 169
- World Press Freedom Index 365–6
- freedom of thought 363–4
- Fried, Michael 30
- Froomkin, Michael 157
- functionality doctrine *see* trade marks, refusal grounds
  
- Gadamer, Hans-Georg 282
- gene editing 2, 117–18, 124
- genetic resources 207
- Geneva Act 2015 249–50
- geographical indications *see also* Nepal
  - ccTLDs, and
    - country of origin conflicts 170–72
    - ICANN policy use for 172–4
    - trade marks and brands 168–70
  - controversy, reasons for 171, 237, 249–50
  - definitions and functions of 170–71, 235–7, 241–4, 246, 250–51
    - appellation of origin 242, 244
    - indication of source 242–4
  - historical development 235–6
  - protection regime
    - characteristics of 170–71, 238–9
    - Geneva Act 249–50
    - grandfather clauses 248–9
    - historical development 242–3
    - international negotiation 248–50
    - international registration 244–5
    - limitations 214, 241–4
    - Lisbon Agreement 244–5
    - Madrid Agreement 243–4
  - Member State powers and obligations 246
  - Paris Convention 242–3
  - pashmina wool 260–61
  - prohibited conduct 246
  - rice 246, 260, 264
  - tea 260–64
  - trade mark law conflicts 249–50
  - TRIPS Agreement 170–71, 236, 245–51
  - TRIPS-plus standards 248
  - US views on 249–51
  - wine and spirits 247–8
  - rural development, value for 237–41
- Germany
  - constitutional challenges to EU/ international authority 78–80, 86
  - copyright 19–20
  - unfair competition 3, 134–5, 138–9, 141, 143–4, 146–52
  - university inventions 429–30, 433–7, 439–40
  - utility models 404–5, 412, 415, 417–19, 421–2, 424
- Gervais, Daniel 250, 257
- Ginsburg, Jane 11–12
- global mandatory fair use
  - additional conditions 21, 23, 32–3
  - compliance difficulties 34–6
  - convention rights and obligations 8–15
  - criticism or review 17–18
  - debate concerning 9–13, 22–6
  - diversity, freedom for 20–21
  - EU law interpretation and implementation 22–3, 25–6, 32
  - fair dealing exception 16–19
  - mandatory nature 10–12, 22–6
  - marginalisation of 9–10
  - minimum standards 316
  - minor exceptions 20–21
  - national law interpretation and implementation 11, 16–21, 32–3
  - national treatment rights 23–4
  - proportionality condition 14–15
  - purpose, limitations by 14–18
  - quantitative limits 18–19

- quotation
  - definition and interpretation 28–31
  - freedom of 12–13
  - rights of 11–15, 18–20, 25–6, 33–4
  - remixes, and 289–90, 301–2, 309
  - rulification of 31–4
  - three step test 26–7, 320, 336–7
- GMOs 56, 117–18
- Gokovali, Ummuhan 257
- Goldstein, Paul 11–12
- good faith principle 32, 121–2, 314
- Google 35, 295, 302
- GrabCad 458
- Grand Upright Music Ltd. v Warner Bros. Records Inc* (US) 296, 303
- grandfather clauses 248–9
- Grazioli, Alexandra 247–8
- Greece 17–18
- Grokster 295
- Grosse Ruse-Khan, Henning 12, 416
- Groys, Boris 285
- Hanover Star Mill v Metcalf* (US) 136
- harmonisation of IP law
  - advantages of 96
  - copyright
    - author's rights protection focus, and 341–2
    - challenges for 341–2
    - disabled persons' rights vs. IPR protection conflicts 343–6
    - Marrakesh Treaty, and 342–3, 346–57
  - Europeanisation 113, 125
  - legal framework
    - adjudication and enforcement, multiplicity of 104–5
    - domestic constitutional protections 100–101
    - economic and social rights, and 100
    - human rights, and 100–101
    - jurisdictional overlaps 102–3
    - multi-layered nature of 97–102
    - overlaps between IP law and other areas of law 103–4
    - overlaps between IPRs 103
    - property rights vs. *sui generis* rights 100–101
    - regulatory overlaps 103–4
    - relationship structures 97
    - sources of law 98–100
  - national and international law, interpretation of 112–13, 115–16, 125
  - new technologies, and 105–6, 115–16
  - trends 97, 125
  - Harper & Row v Nation Enterprises* (US) 358–9, 367–72
  - Harpur, Paul 330
  - Harrods v Harrodian School* (UK) 132–3, 142
  - Helfer, Laurence 9, 312, 329
  - Hemingway, Estate of v Random House, Inc* (US) 367
  - Hohfeld, Wesley Newcomb 276
  - Hong Kong 297–8
  - Huawei v Interdigital* (China) 398
  - Huawei v ZTE* (CJEU) 392–3
  - Hughenoltz, Bernt 12
  - human dignity 118, 124–5
  - human rights
    - copyright law, and
      - compatibility, management 329–39
      - convention provisions 317, 344–5
      - disabled persons' rights vs. author's rights 317, 343–6
      - framework proposals 312–14, 319–22
      - limitations 310–311
      - Marrakesh Treaty 310–311, 314–22
      - minimum mandatory flexibilities 315–19
      - public interest protection 336–7
      - reporting mechanisms 337–8
      - three-step test 336–7
    - freedom of expression 360–61
    - freedom of thought 363
    - IPR conflicts with 329–39, 344–5
    - new technologies, morality of 115–16
    - post-war commitments to 9–10
    - public health, IP law conflicts with 86–7, 93



- right to education 344
- TRIPS Agreement applicability 91–3
- visual impairment, and *see* visual impairment
- ICANN
  - background 156–7
  - ccTDLs, and
    - geographical location policy 172–4
    - regulatory role, compared with WIPO 174–5
  - criticism of 156–7, 175–6
  - dispute resolution 155, 157, 162–3
  - role of 155–7
- India
  - copyright 16–17
  - geographical indications 260, 263
  - national law, engagement with
    - international law
      - constitutional challenges 85–93, 95
      - creating international law norms 81–5
      - human rights law, and 90–93
      - IPR policy objectives 88–90
      - TRIPS Agreement compliance 81–5
      - US criticism of IP law 88–90, 95
  - traditional knowledge protection 216
    - access applications and trends 217–21
    - Australian law compared 224–8, 230–32
    - benefit-sharing 217–20, 225
    - biodiversity management 220–21, 228
    - biodiversity registers and knowledge databases 225–8
    - commercial uses 219–220, 224
    - copyright conflicts 227
    - limitations 218–19, 224–5, 227–8, 232
    - patentability restrictions 221
  - indication of source 242–4
    - see also* geographical indications
- intellectual property law, generally
  - see also* harmonisation of IP law; new technologies
  - civil vs. common law traditions, and 106–7
  - coherence in
    - contextual interpretation 122–6
    - influences of 105–7
    - interpretative tool, as 109–13, 119–20, 122–6
    - new technologies, and 111–19
    - treaty interpretation rules, and 120–26
    - types and approaches 107–9
  - interpretation challenges 105–6
  - new forms of 165–6
  - pluralism in
    - influences of 105–7
    - legal reasoning, and 109–11
  - property theories, relationship with 165–6
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights 1966 360–61
- International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights 1966 100
- International Union for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants *see* UPOV Convention
- Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) 174–5
- Internet Service Providers 295, 297
- interpretation of law
  - compliance of national law with international law 112–13
  - EU law 113, 125
  - harmonisation of law, and 125
  - legal reasoning, and 109–11
  - legislative provisions for 113
  - principles of 112–13
  - private actors role in 124
  - sources of 123–4
  - theories of 110–111
  - treaty interpretation rules 9–10, 112–13, 126
    - applicable law 92, 112, 120–21
    - coherence in IP law, and 120–26
    - domestic judicial interpretation of international norms 75–7
    - good faith 32, 121–2

- pre-existing or subsequent norms 122
- preparatory works 122
- state obligations 120–21
- supplementary means of interpretation 122–6
- textual interpretation 10, 122–6
- third party rights and obligations 121
- Inwood Laboratories v Ives Laboratories* (US) 190, 192
- Ireland 409–410, 419, 424
- ISCO case* (CJEU) 106, 115–16
- ISO 3166 173–4
- Israel 16
- Italy
  - geographical indications 239
  - university inventions 427, 429, 431
  - utility models 414–15, 420
- Jamaica 16–17
- Japan 397
- Jif Lemon case* (UK) 149–50
- Judgment of Paris, The* (engraving) 29–31
- Kadi I and II cases* (CJEU) 80, 86
- Kapczynski, Amy 84
- Kindle Words 303
- Knobel, Michele 290–91
- Koh, Harold 36
- Kohli, Kanchi 219
- Koskenniemi, Martti 122
- Kremen v Cohen and Network Solutions* (US) 160–62, 164
- Kur, Annette 12
- Lankshear, Colin 290–91
- Larsen, William 162
- law, evolution of 1–2
- legal coherence
  - general principles of law, and 124–5
  - human dignity, and 124–5
  - influences of 105–7
  - interpretation, supplementary means of 122–6
  - interpretative tool, as 109–13, 119–20
  - legal reasoning, and 109–11
  - negative coherence 118–20
  - new technologies, and 111–19, 126–7
  - pluralism, and 107–9, 114, 118–19, 125–6
  - treaty interpretation rules, and 120–21
  - types and approaches 107–9
- legal reasoning
  - meaning of 109–110
  - pluralism and legal coherence, and 109–11
- Lego case* (CJEU) 179, 190–91, 194–6
- Lego case* (UK) 137–8
- Lemley, M.A. 407–8, 423
- Lessig, Lawrence 291
- Liquid Manure Pumps case* (Ger) 148
- Lisbon Agreement 1958 244–5
- Lithuania 18–19
- Locke, John 164–5
- L'Oréal v Bellure* (CJEU and UK) 168–9
- Madrid Agreement 1891 242–3
- Maduro, Miguel Poineres 81
- Manet, Edouard 28–31
- Marrakesh Treaty 2013 310–311
  - accessible formats 322–4, 326, 348–51
  - adoption 321, 330, 342–3, 346–7
  - authorised entities 350–51, 354
  - author's rights, impact on 354–5
  - beneficiaries of 348
  - challenges of 346–7, 351–2
  - commercial availability, and 324–5
  - cross-border exchange 351–2
  - definitions 348
  - human rights compatibility management 329–39
  - human rights framework, and 312–14, 319–22
  - importance of 353–4, 356–7
  - influences on 346, 353–4
  - limitations of 324, 326, 339, 346–9, 351
  - minimum mandatory copyright flexibilities 315–19, 322–3
  - national discretion, relevance of 349–50
  - purpose 319–20, 346

- reasonable terms criteria 349–50
- remuneration 326–7
- technological protection measures (TPMs) 325–6
- three-step test 352–4, 356
- translation as creation of new work 351
- works, applicable types 348–50
- Meiklejohn, Alexander 362
- Menell, Peter 296
- Merges, Robert P. 235–6
- Mexico 18
- Mill, John Stuart 361–2
- Minister of Health v Treatment Action Campaign (SA)* 87
- misrepresentation *see* unfair competition
- Mohd. Ahmed (Minor) v Union of India* 86–7
- monopolies 147–50
- morality 115–18, 124
- Moran, Warren 239
- Morocco 19
- Morton-Norwich Products, Re (US)* 187–90, 192–3
- musical works 272–3
- Myanmar 365–6
- Nagoya Protocol on Access to Genetic Resources 2010 207
- Napster 295
- national law, international law
  - engagement with 66–8, 93–5
  - accountability and oversight 70–71
  - authority, challenges to 77–81
  - avoidance doctrines 67–8
  - counter-harmonisation, and 84–5
  - domestic constitutional principles, and 77–80, 85–93, 95
  - domestic judicial challenges 77–85, 94
  - domestic judicial cooperation 76–7, 94–5
  - domestic judicial interpretation 73–6, 81–5, 94–5
  - flexibility, opportunities for 72–3, 81–5, 93–5
  - forum shifting, and 71
  - fragmentation and pluralism concerns 71–2
  - international agency involvement obligations 69–70
  - participation justifications 69–72
  - reluctance regarding 66–8, 81
  - top-down vs. bottom-up perspectives 68
  - TRIPS Agreement 81–5
- Nepal
  - economy 256–60
  - fundamental rights 254–5
  - intellectual property rights challenges for 253–5
  - constitutional provisions 254–5
  - development 252–3
  - geographical indications 256–65
  - national ICT policy 253–4
  - pashmina wool 260–61
  - policy development 253–6, 265
  - rural development, GI influences on 256–60, 265
  - sui generis* regime 259–60
  - tea 260–64
  - trade mark law 258–9, 261
  - TRIPS Agreement incorporation 251–3, 255
  - WTO membership 251–2
- Netanel, Neil W. 367–8
- Netherlands 411–12, 423
- Network Solutions v Umbro International (US)* 162–3
- Neutrogena v Gold (UK)* 141–2
- new technologies
  - see also* plant variety rights; 3D printing
  - agricultural R&D in Africa 55–7
  - benefits of 443
  - copyright, and challenges for 269–71, 273–4, 287–8, 332
  - digital works 272–4, 277–9, 287–8
  - gene editing 2, 117–18, 124
  - horizontal impacts of 116–17
  - human dignity, and 118, 124–5
  - IPR limitations 116–17
  - legal challenges of 114–19
  - legal interpretation, and challenges of 105–6, 269–70
  - legal coherence 111–19, 126–7
  - legal pluralism, and 111–19
  - morality, and 115–18

- pace of invention vs. pace of law-making 114–16
  - patent infringement injunctions 396
  - social phenomena, and 111–12, 114–15, 117–18
  - technical assistance and education 58–9, 61–4
  - technology transfer 63–4, 336
  - New Zealand
    - ccTLDs 167–8, 171–72
    - copyright 16–17, 165, 332
    - geographical indications 171–2
    - human rights and IPR conflicts 332, 338
    - new technologies 332
    - property, law on rights of 164
  - Nimmer, B. 372–3
  - Novartis AG v Union of India* 82–3, 85–6
  - P v Universal Pictures Video France* 142–3
  - Pan African Intellectual Property Organization 38, 42–4
  - paper, geographical indications 264
  - Paris Convention 1883
    - geographical indications 242–3
    - unfair competition 131, 243, 246
  - passing off/ palming off 132–3, 135–8
  - Patent Assertion Entities 385–6
  - Patent Cooperation treaty 1970 411
  - patents
    - see also* university inventions; utility models
    - commercial life cycle of 407–8
    - injunctions, for infringement 5
      - abuse of dominance, and 392–3, 397–9
    - burden of proof of harm 395
    - China, in 397–9
    - conditions for grant 384, 394–5
    - equitable framework for 394–5
    - EU competition law, and 391–4
    - EU IP enforcement law, and 387–91
    - flexibility, benefits of 399–401
    - industry standards and FRAND terms, relevance 388–95, 397–9
    - Japan, in 397
    - jurisdictional approach differences 386–7, 399–401
    - new technologies, challenges of 396–9
    - NPEs, availability for 395
    - Patent Assertion Entities, and 385–6, 390–91, 395–6
    - public interest defence 396, 398
    - SEP holders, availability for 391–5, 397–9
    - South Korea, in 397–8
    - strategic use of 384–6
    - unfair impacts of 384–6
    - US, in 394–6
  - litigation 407–8
  - patentability criteria
    - evergreening or layering 82–3
    - traditional knowledge 223
  - purpose 384, 392–3
  - second-tier patent protection *see* utility models
- performances, copyright 272–3
- Peru
  - traditional knowledge 216
    - access and benefit-sharing 217, 221–3, 225
  - application requirements 222–3, 229–30
  - Australian law compared 224, 229–32
  - databases and registers 225, 229–30
  - decision-making responsibility 221–2
  - Fund for the Development of Indigenous Peoples 223
  - limitations and conflicts 222–3, 225, 230
  - public domain knowledge 223, 229
- Petersmann, Ernst-Ulrich 91
- Philips case* (CJEU) 182–3, 191–2
- Phones4U case* (UK) 141–2, 145
- plant variety rights
  - African regional agreements 38, 45–6, 47–50
  - concerns regarding 53–5
  - GMOs, and 56
- African Union Model Law 40
- Bangui Agreement 1999 49–50

- food security in Africa, and 51–4,  
     55–7  
 less developed countries, and 51–2  
 new technologies, and 116  
 patentability 116–17  
 seed banks, concerns regarding  
     53–5  
 TRIPS Agreement protection 48–9,  
     51  
 UN Sustainable Development Goals,  
     and 53  
 UPOV Convention 45–7, 49–51  
     African PRP Protocol, and 49  
     less developed countries,  
         suitability for 51–2  
     membership requirements 49, 51  
     purpose of 45–7, 50–51  
     WIPO, relationship between  
         63–4  
 WIPO influences on African policy  
     developments 61–5  
     food security, and 40–42, 44–6,  
         57–65  
     governance partnerships 60  
     meetings and information sessions  
         60–61  
     technical assistance and education  
         58–9, 63–4  
 pluralism, generally  
     legal coherence, and 107–9, 114,  
         118–19, 125–6  
     legal reasoning, and 109–11  
     negative impacts 118  
     new technologies, and 111–19  
 Poland  
     copyright 19, 345  
     utility models 414, 419–22, 424  
 Portugal 17  
 property, generally  
     domain names, status as 159–63  
     IPR, relationship between 165–6  
     rights of, development 163–5  
     theory of 164  
 public health, IP law conflicts with  
     86–7, 91–3  
  
*Qualitex v Jackson Products* (US) 179,  
     188, 190, 196, 201–2  
 quotation rights *see* global mandatory  
     fair use  
  
 Raimondi, Marcantonio 29–31  
 Raphael 29–31  
 Raz, Joseph 110, 112  
 reading disabilities 348  
*Reed Executive v Reed Business  
     Information* (UK) 142  
 regional agreements, in Africa 2,  
     38–42, 44–7  
 Reichman, Jerome H. 407  
 remixes *see* copyright  
 RepRap 450, 458  
 rice 246, 260, 264  
 Richardson, Nora 170  
 Ricketson, Sam 11–12  
 Righthaven 297–8  
 ‘right2remix’ initiative 293  
 Roberts, Anthea 74  
*Rubik’s cube* case (CJEU) 183, 197  
  
*Samsung v Apple* (Jap) 397  
*Samsung v Apple* (S Korea) 398  
 Scanlon, Thomas 362  
 Schauer, Frederick 361–3, 370  
 Schottenstein, Noah 165  
 Science, Technology and Innovation  
     Strategy for Africa (STISA-2024)  
     40–42, 44, 55, 64  
 second-tier patents *see* utility models  
 Senftleben, Martin 12–13, 27  
 shape  
     consumer confusion, and 148–52  
     utility models 414  
 Shapiro, C. 407–8, 423  
 Sherman, Brad 165  
*Societe AMG v Les Societe Auchan et  
     Atac* (Fra) 138  
*Societe Paris-Rome v Davitian* (Fra)  
     146  
*Solange I* case (Germany) 78–80, 86  
*Sony v Universal* (US) 368  
 South Africa 16–17, 87  
 South Korea 397–8  
 Southern African Development  
     Community (SADC) 38–9, 42–3,  
     47, 49–50  
 Spain  
     copyright 19  
     university inventions 430, 433–5,  
         438–9  
     utility models 419–20

- Starbucks v Wolfe's Borough Coffee* (US) 135
- Statt Blumen X-Kaffee* (Ger) 139
- Statute of Anne 272, 293, 306–7
- Stern et al v Islamic Republic of Iran* (US) 162–3
- Stringfellow v McCain Foods* (UK) 137, 140–41, 145
- Sullivan, Jill 357
- Suthersanen, Uma 410–411
- Suzor, Nicholas 330
- Sweden 16, 431
- symbols, copyright 374–5
- tea 260–64
- technological protection measures (TPMs) 295
- visual impairment, copyright flexibilities for 325–6, 336
- Thailand
- copyright 4–5, 365–6
- freedom of the expression 4–5, 365–6
- IPR and human rights conflicts 333
- Thingiverse 458
- three-step test
- author's rights vs. human rights 336–7
- Berne Convention 26–7, 320, 352–3
- fair use rights and obligations 26–7, 320, 352–3
- Marrakesh Treaty, and 352–4, 356
- 3D printing
- additive manufacturing, and benefits of 455–6
- methods of 448–9
- principles of 444–5
- concerns regarding 446, 449–50
- consumer access to 445–6, 450
- IP law, and
- CAD files, status of 454–5, 458
- challenges for 460–62
- data transfer 456–8
- de minimis* use exception 452–6
- duties of care 459
- essential elements of invention 454–5
- import prohibitions 456–8
- infringement claims 451–3
- intermediary service bureaus/platforms 458–9
- judicial interpretation 460
- liabilities 459
- licensing 450, 459
- limitations regarding 116–17, 448
- making vs. copying 451–2, 454–6
- patenting 3D technology 448–9
- regulatory implications 446–7, 460–61
- tangibility requirement 457–8
- 3D printing of essential parts 452–6
- 3D printing a patented object 451–2
- unintended consequences, and 454–5
- 2D and 3D printing compared 447–8
- uses for 445–7
- TIGAR Project 322
- Tomato I & II* cases (CJEU) 116
- top level domain names *see* ccTLDs
- trade dress protection
- burden of proof 186
- functionality doctrine, and 192–3
- judicial interpretation 186–8
- unfair competition 149, 189–90
- trade marks
- ccTLDs, similarities with 167–70
- definition and interpretation 167–8
- fanciful or arbitrary marks 186–8
- refusal grounds
- absolute grounds 181, 183–4
- actual benefit test 200–201
- aesthetic functionality 181, 196–202
- alternative designs criteria 178–9, 183–4, 191–2, 202–3
- commercial success test 201
- competitive necessity test 190–98, 202–5
- consumer motivation test 200–201
- essential characteristics 184, 193–4
- EU law functional exclusions 178–85, 190–200
- generic features 197–8
- indicia of source test 200–201

- invalidity proceedings 181–2
- need to copy vs. right to copy
  - approaches 185, 188–90, 192–6, 205
- prior patents, relevance of 195–6
- public interest 182, 184–5
- US law functionality doctrine
  - 178–9, 185–96
- utility functionality 181
- regulation
  - brands 168–70
  - deception or confusion, causing 167–8
  - geographical origins 168
  - reasons for non-registration 167–8
- trade dress protection
  - burden of proof 186
  - judicial interpretation 186–8
  - unfair competition, and 149, 189–90
- traditional knowledge
  - see also* Australia; India; Peru
  - Africa, developmental agendas in 37–8
  - generally 3, 206–7
  - protection mechanism developments 206–7
- TrafFix Devices v Marketing Displays* (US) 149, 179, 190, 192–3, 196
- Trans Pacific Partnership Agreement 166
- treaties, interpretation of *see* interpretation of law
- Trinidad 19
- TrippTrapp* case (EU) 179, 183–5, 196–9
- TRIPS Agreement 1994 34–5, 460
  - copyright
    - criminal penalties for infringement 295
    - fair use obligations 8, 26–7, 320
    - freedom of expression, and 380–81
    - protected works, scope of 306
    - three-step test 352–3, 380–81
  - geographical indications 170–71, 236, 248–51
  - definition 170, 245–6
  - Trips-plus standards 248
  - good faith principle, and 314
  - impact of 34–5, 70
  - import prohibitions 456
  - national law, engagement with
    - constitutional law conflicts 85–6
    - evergreening or layering exclusions 82–3
    - human rights obligations 91–3
  - India, in 81–5
  - obligations 70, 81–6
  - plant variety protection 48–9, 51
  - public health obligations 81–6
  - public interest protection 336–7
  - technology transfer rules 336
- Troller, Alois 268
- Tvedt, Morten Walloe 218
- Twentieth Century Music Corp. v Aiken* (US) 368
- Two Pesos, Inc. v Taco Cabana* (US) 136
- Uganda 52
- unfair competition
  - actionable misrepresentation
    - competitive relationship 137–41
    - confusion, assessment of 141–4
    - contributing factors 137–41
    - differences in 3, 129–36, 151
    - misleading practices 131–2
    - remedies for 129–30, 136
    - restrictions on 145–50
  - consumer confusion, and 129–36
    - assessment of 141–4
    - commercial designations 133
    - common field of activity 137–41
    - competitive characteristics or individuality 148–50
    - concurrance déloyale* 133–4, 146
    - functional shapes 148–52
    - geographical indications, and 243, 246
    - identical or similar marks 133
    - misrepresentation, criteria for 137–44
    - monopolies, and 147–52
    - non-actionable misrepresentation 145–50
    - passing off/ palming off 132–3, 135–8, 151
    - tolerated confusion 145–7, 145–8

- trade dress protection 149
- trader *vs.* consumer interests 132–6, 151–2
- international laws
  - EU Directive 131–2
  - Paris Convention 131, 243, 246
- national laws
  - France 133–4, 138, 141–3, 146, 148–52
  - Germany 134–5, 138–9, 141, 143–4, 146–52
  - UK 132–3, 137–8, 140–42, 145–6, 149–52
  - United States 135–6, 139–41, 146–52
- reputational damage, and 129–30, 132–4, 151–2
- United Kingdom
  - unfair competition law 3, 132–3, 137–8, 140–42, 145–6, 149–52
  - university inventions, ownership 427, 429–31, 434–5, 437–8, 440
  - utility models 404
- United Nations
  - authority, constitutional challenges to 79
  - Sustainable Development Goals 44, 53
- United States
  - copyright
    - fair use test 9, 15–16
    - freedom of expression, and 364, 367–8, 380
    - quotation rights 15–16
    - safe harbours 295
    - UGC protection provisions 299–300
  - domain names 160–63, 173
  - freedom of expression 364, 367–8
  - geographical indications 173, 249–50
  - patents 394–6, 455
  - trade marks, refusal grounds
    - actual benefit test 200–201
    - aesthetic functionality 196–202
    - alternative designs criteria 178–9, 202–3
    - commercial success test 201
    - competitive necessity test 202–5
  - consumer motivation test 200–201
  - EU law functional exclusions compared 178–9, 185, 190–96
  - functionality doctrine 186–90
  - indicia of source test 200–201
  - need to copy *vs.* right to copy approaches 185, 188–90, 192–6, 205
  - trade dress protection 186–90
  - unfair competition, and 188–9
  - unfair competition law 3, 135–6, 139–41, 146–52
  - functionality doctrine, and 189–90
  - university inventions 430, 436, 439
- Universal Copyright Convention 1952 376
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights 344, 360–61
- university inventions
  - definition 427–8
  - ownership
    - academic staff *vs.* student inventions 428–30
    - benefit-sharing 441–2
    - challenges and conflicts 427–8, 432–40, 440–42
    - communication, duties of 434–9, 441–2
    - concept tests 432
    - funding agreements 436
    - identification and acquisition of 438–40
    - institutional ownership 427, 430–32
    - patent rights, and 438–42
    - professor's privilege model 427, 431, 434
    - regulation mechanisms 433–5, 441–2
- UPOV Convention 1961
  - African PRP Protocol, and 49
  - less developed countries, suitability for 51–2
  - membership requirements 49, 51
  - purpose of 45–7, 50–51
  - WIPO, relationship between 63–4



- utility models
  - background 404–5
  - biotechnological inventions, and 415
  - commercial life cycle of 408–9
  - criticism of 408–9, 413
  - developed countries, use in 411–13, 424–5
  - duration of protection 422–243
  - exclusions 414–15
  - first-tier patents, and
    - as alternative to 424–6
    - possibility of conversion to 421–2
    - relationship with 405–6
  - France, in 415, 417, 421–2, 424
  - free riding, and 406
  - Germany, in 404–5, 412, 415, 417–19, 421–2, 424
  - grant, criteria for 416–20
  - incremental innovation/ small inventions 406–7
  - industrial applicability 416, 419–20
  - infringement, enforcement claims 423–4
  - Ireland, in 409–410, 413, 419, 424
  - Italy, in 414–15, 420
  - law reforms 410–411
  - national protection differences 402–3, 412–22
  - novelty, and 416–17
  - patentability criteria 5
  - Poland, in 414, 419–22, 424
  - purpose 402, 405–9
  - registration proceedings 420–22
  - shape 414
  - short-term patents 409–410, 413, 419
  - SMEs, benefits for 409
  - Spain, in 419–20
  - standards for 403, 425
  - subject of protection 403, 414–16
- Viacom 295, 302
- visual impairment
  - book famine 310
  - Braille books, costs 350
  - copyright flexibilities 4
    - accessible formats 322–4, 326, 348–51
  - bottom-up approaches 321–2
  - commercial availability 324–5
  - convention provisions 310–311, 315–19
  - creation of new original work, and 351
  - human rights compatibility, and 328–39
  - human rights framework, and 4, 311–14, 319–22
  - law reform 311, 340
  - Marrakesh Treaty 310–311, 314–22, 330, 348
  - minimum mandatory standards 315–19, 322–3
  - piracy risks, and 323–4
  - remuneration 326–7
  - technological protection measures (TPMs) 325–6, 336
  - definition and interpretation 348
  - von Bogdandy, Armin 77
- wine and spirits 247–8
- WIPO, generally
  - Accessible Book Consortium 319, 322
  - Africa, influences on policy in
    - food security, and 40–42, 44–6, 57–65
    - generally 38–9, 42–4, 57–64, 63–5
    - governance partnerships 60
    - influences on 38–9, 42–4, 57–65
    - Intellectual Property Development Plans (IPDPs) 58–9
    - meetings and information sessions 60–61
    - National IP Strategies (NIPS) 58–9
    - plant variety protection 61–4
    - technical assistance and education 58–9, 61–4
  - ccTLDs, ICANN role compared with 174–5
  - geographical indications, international registration 244–5
  - Internet Treaties 295
  - technology transfer 63–4
  - UPOV Convention, relationship between 63–4
- WIPO Copyright Treaty 1996
  - exceptions and limitations 342–3, 353
  - three step test 26–7, 353

- WIPO Green 63–4  
WIPO Performances and Phonograms  
Treaty 1996 353  
WIPO Re:Search 64  
World Blind Union 345  
World Press Freedom Index 365–6  
WTO  
    *see also* TRIPS Agreement  
    accountability and oversight 71  
    dispute settlement, applicable law  
    interpretation 92–3  
    Google dispute 35  
    human rights obligations, and  
    91–3  
    national law obligations 69–70  
Xalabarder, Raquel 12  
YouTube 292–3, 303  
Yu, Peter 312  
*Z4 v Microsoft* (US) 396  
Zanetti, Cristina Mora 239  
Ziegler, Katja S. 79