

Index

- abolition of second chambers xv, xvi,
32–4, 35–6, 74–5, 107, 186–7,
276
- Bosnia and Herzegovina
proposal to abolish House of
Peoples 262–3
Venice Commission 112–13,
262
- democratic decay 184
- Ireland: failed referendum to abolish
Senate *see* Ireland
- one-chamber-only reform 272
- Peru: abolition of Senate 145,
147–50, 160–61, 186, 276
- rationales for unicameralism 33–4,
185
- Slovenia: failed proposal to abolish
National Council 244
see also functional bicameralism
- abortion 280
- anti-parliamentary ideas/public opinion
270, 274
- anti-perfection thesis 4
- apartheid 184
- Argentina 280
- Aristotle 20
- asymmetric bicameralism 6, 32, 216,
221, 228, 231, 243, 246, 269
see also individual countries
- Australia xvi, 25
- Austria 25, 30, 31, 77, 108, 238, 246
EU: Early Warning System and
Political Dialogue 82, 85–6,
92
- authoritarianism 33, 124, 184, 274
- Bagehot, W. 18, 29–30
- Belgium xviii, xix, 30, 108, 246
Constitution (1831): nation 110
EU: Early Warning System and
Political Dialogue 82, 91, 92,
93, 94, 116–17
linguistic groups 31, 110, 111–12,
114
Senate/House of the Sub-States 31,
111–12, 115
sub-state parliaments 113, 116–17
two types of sub-state 114
Walloon government and
EU-Canada CETA 113
- Bentham, Jeremy 6
- bias
status quo 2
- Bolivia 147
- borderline cases of bicameralism xi
see also functional bicameralism
- Bosnia and Herzegovina 112–13,
248–51, 268–9, 271, 273, 282–3
Assembly of the Canton of Sarajevo
252
Constitution 249–50, 253–4,
260–261
ethnic veto 256
Constitutional Court 258, 264
constitutional identity 254–5
ethnic veto 256–7
sub-state ethnic chamber 250
sui generis system 253

- context of consociational federation
 - 251–4
 - Brčko District 253–4, 263
 - dominant ethnic groups and ‘others’ 251–2
 - ethnic chamber: functioning and capacity 257–9
 - federal character 253–4
 - making sense of bicameralism in 264–6
 - transformations in political regime 254–7
- discrimination 249–50, 252, 259, 260
- ethnic cleansing and genocide 254–5
- forced-together federalism 265–6
- House of Representatives 248–9, 257–9, 261, 262
- mono-ethnic political parties 255–6, 258–9, 264
- reform proposals 264–6
 - abolition 262–3
 - exclusion 259–61
 - inclusion 261
 - transformation 263–4
- Venice Commission 112–13, 249, 262
- Botswana xi
- Brazil 147
- Brexit and House of Lords xiii, 96–106, 278
 - Constitution Committee 100–102, 105
 - Delegated Powers and Regulatory Reform Committee 102
 - devolution 102, 104–5
 - EU Committee and sub-committees 103–5
 - evaluation of outcomes 104–5
 - executive scrutiny 100–104
 - Henry VIII clauses 102
 - as legislator: composition, powers and function 97–100
 - Miller* case 101
 - Bryce Report (1918) 28
 - Bulgaria xi, 74, 181, 276
 - checks and balances 131, 132
 - impeachment 120, 128, 129, 133
 - veto power 120, 139–40
 - Constitution
 - 1879 Turnovo 121–3, 124, 125
 - 1991 (CRB) 121, 124–6, 127, 128–9, 130, 131, 133–4, 135–6, 137, 138–9, 141
 - Constitutional Court 125, 126, 129–39, 140, 141
 - appointment of judges 129, 130–131
 - bicameral features 130–33
 - Citizens’ Referendum* case 137–8, 139
 - interpreting GNA powers 135–9
 - legal consequences of pronouncements 133–5
 - rechtsstaat* principle 138
 - dispersed functional bicameralism 120–141
 - Bulgarian Constitutional Court (BCC) 125, 126, 129–39, 140, 141
 - Grand National Assembly (GNA) 122, 124–6, 127–9, 141
 - President 125–6, 135, 138, 139–40, 141
 - recurring debate 121–6
 - second chamber ‘lookalikes’ 127–40
 - efficiency 141
 - legislative quality 120, 123, 127–8, 131, 140

- political stability 120, 128–9, 131–2, 140
- Grand National Assembly (GNA)
 - 122, 127–9, 135–9, 141, 191
 - membership 127
 - post-socialism 124–6
 - second chamber ‘lookalike’ 127–9
- mid-1930s’ projects 123–4
- post-socialism 124–6
- representation 120, 126, 127, 130–31, 139
- Burke, Edmund 54
- Canada xvii–xviii, xix, 111, 185, 189
 - Constitution 2
 - amendments: procedural complexity 2, 115
- challenges to reform xv–xvi, 2–3, 177, 187, 226–8, 271–2
 - legislatures: inaction and passivity 279–82
 - reform trap 282–4
- Chile 147
- choice for bicameral rather than unicameral systems: variables 107
 - see also* rationales for bicameralism
- Cicero’s puzzle 17
- class 19, 24, 122–3
- Colombia 147
- Comoros 112
- confidence vote 29, 93, 269, 276
 - Italy 38, 45, 48, 65, 222
 - Peru 145, 157, 158, 161
 - United Kingdom 99
- Confucian constitutionalism 4
- consociational federation *see* Bosnia and Herzegovina
- Constant, B. 147
- consumer protection 103
- controversial nature of bicameralism x–xi 186
- Council of Europe
 - Venice Commission 112–13, 249, 262
- Croatia 34, 74, 107, 184, 187, 244
- Czech Republic 30, 31, 74, 215, 217, 238
 - EU: Early Warning System and Political Dialogue 87–8, 94
- defining issues 18–19, 60–61, 120, 161
- democracy
 - and bicameralism xv, xviii, 9, 10, 24, 28, 33, 63, 64–5, 74–5, 107, 184, 192, 268, 275–9
 - democratic decay 184, 275
 - democratic deficit 78
 - direct 270
 - liberal 63, 64–5
 - parliamentary inaction and passivity 279–82
- Denmark xv, 34, 107, 187
- diaspora
 - Irish 178
 - Polish (‘Polonia’) 219, 224–5, 226, 228
- discrimination
 - Bosnia and Herzegovina 249–50, 252, 259, 260
- dispersed functional bicameralism *see* Bulgaria
- drivers *see* rationales for bicameralism
- efficiency-based arguments 63–4
- Egypt 33, 234
- election mode 17, 64, 93, 111, 189, 270, 275, 277
 - Bosnia and Herzegovina 258–9
 - Bulgaria 127, 139, 191
 - France 201–2, 224
 - India 6
 - Ireland 163, 165–7, 177–8, 189
 - Italy 8–9, 38, 45, 47–8, 55, 66, 68, 69, 70–71, 73, 224–5

- Poland 214, 215, 217, 222–6, 227
- Slovenia 233
- elite model 3, 21, 23, 25, 31, 64, 67, 107
- England 19, 20, 189
 - English Votes for English Laws 37–44, 50–54, 56–7, 113–14
 - criticism 42–4
 - English Question 38–41
 - Standing Orders 40–43
- entrenchment of constitutions 278–9
- ethnicity
 - Bosnia and Herzegovina *see separate entry*
 - Peru 161
- euro crisis 73
- European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR)
 - Protocol No. 12: discrimination 260
- European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)
 - Bosnia and Herzegovina:
 - discrimination 249–50, 259, 260
- European Union 30–32, 35, 115–18
 - bicameral or unicameral system 4, 58–9
 - Bulgaria 124, 125, 126, 135
 - Canada: CETA 113
 - challenge to bicameralism 58–60, 71–5, 116
 - Commission 76, 80, 83
 - Council of Ministers 4, 76, 78, 116
 - Croatia 187
 - democratic deficit 78
 - Early Warning System and Political Dialogue 76–7, 116–17
 - drivers and barriers 92–5
 - formal-institutional context 93
 - intra-parliamentary cooperation 81, 82, 83–95
 - Lisbon Treaty 80–81
 - literature review 77–80
 - number of opinions and reasoned opinions 82–3
 - political context 93–4
 - preferred procedure 94
 - resources 92–3
 - scrutiny strategy 94
 - time pressure 92–3, 94
- Parliament 76, 85, 86, 90, 91, 104, 116, 224
- Poland 88, 94, 219–20, 224, 227
- proportionality 81, 94
- scrutiny of EU documents in
 - bicameral systems 76–95, 116–17
 - Slovenia 82, 90, 241
- subsidiarity 76, 81, 87, 88–9, 91, 93, 94, 241
- federal states 21
 - bicameralism and 62–3, 107, 108, 110–14, 185, 217, 268
 - false unitary/federal dichotomy 108–10
 - consociationalism and federalism *see* Bosnia and Herzegovina
 - constitutional amendment 278
 - judicial review 112
 - political safeguards of federalism 112
 - representative model 3–4
 - role of upper chambers in 25–6, 50, 51
 - with unicameral system 112
 - variances among 31
 - see also individual states*
- Finland 107
- formal and usable powers diverge xiv
- France xiii, xvii, 21, 30, 31, 246, 268–9, 273, 283
 - Conseil Constitutionnel* 189, 200, 205, 210
 - Constitution

- 1795 195
- 1958 191, 196, 197, 198–9, 200, 202, 203, 205, 207, 208, 210–11, 224
- democratic ‘anomaly’ 197, 204
 - electoral procedure 201–2
 - initial ambiguity 197–200
 - legislative process 202–4
 - main criticisms 200–204
 - operating cost 200–201
- EU: Early Warning System and Political Dialogue 82, 90–91, 94
- impossible reform 204
 - balance of powers 208–9
 - Constitution and fundamental rights 210–11
 - double obstacle 204–7
 - key counter-power 208–11
 - legislative quality 209–10
- Sénat* 194–7, 271
 - democratic ‘anomaly’ 197–204, 269
 - French nationals living abroad 224
 - impossible reform 204–11
- tricameralism 183–4
- trustee model of representation 53–4
- functional bicameralism 34, 120–21, 191–2
 - abolition of 188
 - centralized *see* Peru
 - constitutional
 - constraints and practice: real vs fictional second chambers 188–91
 - history 185–8
 - dispersed *see* Bulgaria
- functional or vocational upper chamber 26
- Ireland 26, 31–2, 166–7, 169, 177, 178, 223
- Morocco 26
- Poland 223
- Slovenia 31–2, 232, 242, 243
- Germany 30, 48, 108, 217, 246, 273
 - Basic Law 272
 - Art 72(2) 49
 - Bundesrat 3, 55, 56, 115, 189, 268
 - scrutiny of EU documents 77, 88–9, 92, 93
 - territorial function 25, 31, 51
 - European Union 77
 - Early Warning System and Political Dialogue 82, 88–9, 92, 93
 - non-centralist court
 - strong representation of sub-states and 112
 - veto power 51
- government and policy, stability in 28–9, 30, 63, 120, 156
- Greece, ancient 19–20, 23–4
- hidden bicameralism *see* functional bicameralism
- history of bicameralism 19–21, 63
- human rights
 - Bosnia and Herzegovina:
 - discrimination 249–50, 252, 259, 260
- Hungary 33, 74, 215, 274, 276
- Iceland 107
- identity claims
 - territorial representation in unitary states 50–51
- impeachment 190
 - Bulgaria 120, 128, 129, 133
 - Norway 188
 - Peru 147–8, 151, 152, 158–9, 160
 - United States 133

- inaction and passivity, parliamentary 279–82
- India and Italy 5–9
- information deficit 78, 80
- information overload 81, 106
- intergovernmental conferences 114
- Internet 270
- Iran xi, 33
- Iraq 33
- Ireland 30, 188, 246, 276
 - Constitution 166
 - 1922 164–5, 186
 - 1937 163, 165, 167, 179, 186
 - EU: Early Warning System and Political Dialogue 82, 84–5, 92, 93
 - lessons on reform 179–82
 - President 168, 179
 - Seanad xvi, 26, 31–2, 163–4, 185–7, 189, 190
 - campaign for and against
 - abolition 171–6, 180, 185
 - composition, electoral system and government control 165–7, 177–8, 223
 - history of 164–5
 - legislative role 168, 169–71, 174–5, 239
 - lessons on reform 179–82
 - powers 167–8, 174, 177, 179
 - reform and future of 176–9, 180–81
 - time limit: legislative procedure 239
 - university senators 165, 169, 171, 173, 175, 177, 178, 223
 - uses of 168–71
- Italy xiv, 4, 29, 30, 31, 111, 161
 - autonomist principle and territorial pluralism 45–6
 - Constitution 65–9, 75, 191
 - Art 1: popular sovereignty 56, 69, 73
 - Art 5: local autonomies 45–6
 - Constitutional Court 38, 46, 51, 70–71
 - electoral law changes in 1993 and later 70–71
 - European Union
 - challenge to bicameralism 58–60, 71–5
 - Early Warning System and Political Dialogue 82, 89
 - failed reform xvi–xvii, 8–9, 37, 38, 50–52, 54–7, 221, 222, 225
 - European integration 59, 72–3, 75
 - legislative process 48–9
 - symmetric bicameralism 38, 45, 46, 48
 - territorial institutions 46–8, 51, 55–6
 - vertical division of powers 45–6
- India and 5–9
- nationals living abroad 224–5
- single driver bicameralism 65–71
- symmetric bicameralism 38, 45, 46, 48, 71, 75
 - elements of differentiation 65–6, 68–9
- territorializing national legislatures in Italy and UK *see separate entry*
- Japan xiv, xv, 279
- knowledge and wisdom 27–8
- legitimacy xii, xiv, 30, 107, 192, 242, 274, 279
 - Bosnia and Herzegovina 258, 264

- Bulgaria 127, 130–31, 132, 139
- European Union 77
- input xiii–xiv
- Ireland 177
- Japan xiv
- output xiii
- Peru 157, 159, 161
- Poland 222, 223, 226
- Slovenia 233, 240, 245
- throughput xiii
- United Kingdom xii–xiv, xvii, 98
- liberal constitutionalism and bicameralism 275–9
- Libya 33
- McKay Commission report (2013) 39–41, 43–4
- Madison, James 24, 28, 29
- media 105, 146, 246
- medieval era 16, 19, 183
- Micronesia 112, 113
- Mill, John Stuart 24, 61
- Miller* case 101
- minorities 9, 24, 25–6, 59, 61, 62–3, 64–5, 164–5, 169, 185, 278
 - Bosnia and Herzegovina 252
 - parliamentary passivity 281
 - reform of rules of procedure 284
- mixed government 20, 23–4
- Modi, Narendra 7
- Montesquieu 24, 62, 147
- Morocco 26
- multi-tiered systems, bicameralism in
 - 107–8, 117–18
 - false unitary/federal dichotomy 108–10
 - giving voice to sub-states in EU decision making 115–18
 - giving voice to territorial sub-entities
 - alternatives 112–14
 - procedural complexity 114–15
 - requirements 110–112
- Netherlands 19, 30, 31, 77, 246
 - EU: Early Warning System and Political Dialogue 86–7, 92, 94
- New Zealand xv, 34, 187
- no confidence vote *see* confidence vote
- normative choice, bicameralism as 273–4, 283
- Northern Ireland 52, 102, 104–5, 177, 178
 - see also* territorializing national legislatures in Italy and UK
- Norway 187–8, 191
- number of bicameral and unicameral systems 32–3, 184
- Organization of American States 146
- overregulation 63
- part-time members of upper house 93
- passivity and inaction, parliamentary 279–82
- path dependency 93, 186, 187
- Peru xi, 34, 142–62
 - abolition of Senate 145, 147–50, 160–61, 186, 276
 - activity of Permanent Commission 153–7
 - constitutional proceedings 154–5
 - legislation 153–7
- Bill 1493/2012 and Bill 03461/2018-CR 157–60, 161
- impeachment 158–9, 160
- legislative power 158, 159–60
- Constitution 161–2
 - 1823 147, 152
 - 1828 147–8
 - 1839 148, 152
 - 1856 143–4

- 1860 148
- 1933 144
- 1979 144–5, 148–9, 153, 157–8
- 1993 142, 145, 149–50, 153
- context: history and evolution (1821–2015) 143–6
- ethnic and cultural minorities 161
- President 145, 146, 150, 151, 159, 161
- quality of legislation 156, 159
- scrutiny of executive 156, 157, 158
- ‘second thought’ function 156
- separation of powers 146, 153, 156, 157, 160
- stability in policy and government 156
- structure of Permanent Commission 150–53, 190
 - conservative power 151, 152, 153, 156, 157
 - impeachment 151, 152
 - legislative power 150, 152, 153, 156, 157, 190
- Plato 20
- Poland 30, 31, 74, 212–13, 228–9, 268–9, 276–7, 283
 - asymmetric bicameralism 216, 221, 228
 - Constitution
 - 1791 213–14
 - 1921 214
 - 1935 214
 - 1992: Small 214
 - 1997 212, 214, 216, 220, 222, 227
 - constitutional crisis 212–13
 - Constitutional Tribunal 212–13, 216, 218, 219, 222, 228
 - current structure of bicameralism 215–16, 271
 - functions of Senate 215–16
 - time limit: draft legislation 238
- European Union 219–20, 224, 227
 - Early Warning System and Political Dialogue 88, 94
- flaws of bicameralism 216–19, 228
- Polish diaspora (‘Polonia’) 219, 224–5, 226, 228
- reform feasibility 226–8, 229
 - procedure of constitutional amendment 226–7
 - purpose of Senate 226
 - vested institutional interests 227
- reforms under discussion 219–26, 228–9
 - control function 221–2, 226
 - direct elections and ‘Polonia’ 223–5, 226
 - elective function 222, 226
 - functions of Senate 220–22, 226
 - indirect elections 222–3
 - legislative function 220–21, 226
 - six year term and partial renewal 225–6
- Sejm 213, 214, 215–16, 217, 218, 219–21, 222, 223, 225, 227, 228, 229
- symbolic value of bicameral system 213–15
- policy and government, stability in 28–9, 30, 63, 120, 156
- political science 32
- populism x, xvii, xix, 25, 269–70, 283
 - Ireland xvi
 - Italy xvii
 - United Kingdom xiii
- Portugal 33
- prisoner’s dilemma 263
- procedural bicameralism *see* functional bicameralism
- quad-cameral model 5

- qualified unicameralism *see* functional bicameralism
- quality of legislation 22, 28, 107, 156, 159, 185
 Bulgaria 120, 123, 126, 131, 140
 France 209–10
 Slovenia 244, 247
- rationales for bicameralism 3, 22–3, 60–61, 107–8, 120, 156, 164, 184–5, 192, 275–6
- abolition of upper chamber 33–4, 35
- counter-majoritarian 282–3
- drivers and potential beneficial effects 63–4
- EU challenge to bicameralism 58–60, 71–5, 116
- protection of minorities 24, 25–6, 59, 61, 62–3, 64–5, 185, 278
- reflection 3, 23, 26–30, 169, 277–8
- representation 3, 8–9, 22–6, 62–3, 74–5, 107–8, 116, 120, 169
- separation of powers 59, 61–2, 64–5, 74, 116
- Italy 65–73, 75
- tyranny 30
- of individual 62
- of majority 24, 61–2, 273
- of minority 62
- rationales for unicameralism 33–4, 185
- reciprocity 40
- referendums xiii, xvii, 115
- Bulgaria 129, 137–9
- France 200, 205–6, 207
- Ireland xvi, 163–4, 168, 171–6, 177, 179, 180, 187
- Italy xvii, 38, 45, 49, 54–5, 70, 72, 75, 221, 222
- Japan xv
- Peru 161, 162, 186
- Poland 227–8, 229
- Scotland 38, 39
- Slovenia 230, 232, 239–40, 243–4
- UK: Brexit 101, 104
- reflection rationale 3, 23, 26–30, 169, 277–8
- reform
- challenges to xv–xvi, 2–3, 177, 187, 226–8, 271–2
- legislatures: inaction and passivity 279–82
- trap 282–4
- representation rationale 3, 8–9, 22–6, 62–3, 74–5, 107–8, 116, 120, 169
- Romania 30, 31, 74
- EU: Early Warning System and Political Dialogue 89–90, 92, 94
- Rome, ancient 18–19, 20
- rule of law 63, 212, 229, 275, 276, 277–8
- rules of procedure 10, 85, 86, 188, 283–4
- Slovenia 230, 231, 233, 234–7, 241, 245
- Russia 121, 122, 238
- same-sex marriage 280
- Scotland 37–8, 39, 44, 52, 102, 104–5
- see also* territorializing national legislatures in Italy and UK
- scrutiny of the executive 22, 29–30, 32, 96–7, 100–104, 156, 215, 221–2, 229, 278
- secession 50, 253
- secondary-ness misconception 17, 268
- Sejdić and Finci* 249–50
- Senegal xv
- separation of powers 59, 61–2, 64–5, 74, 116
- Bulgaria 132, 139
- Italy 65–73, 75
- Peru 146, 153, 156, 157, 160
- Poland: balance and 222, 229
- Sieyès, Emmanuel x, 6, 60, 63, 73, 143, 160, 184, 277, 282

- Slovenia xi, 30, 31–2, 189, 190, 230, 246–7, 268–9, 283
- asymmetric bicameralism 231, 243, 246
- Constitution 231, 232, 236–7, 240, 241, 243
- Art 88: proposers of laws 233
- Art 97: legislative initiative 233–4
- Art 98: opinion request 235
- Constitutional Court 230, 231, 234, 235–7, 240, 241, 244, 245
- European Union 241
- Early Warning System and Political Dialogue 82, 90, 241
- evaluation of bicameral system 242–3
- National Assembly 230, 232, 233, 246
- European Union 82, 90, 241
- first reading 234–5
- inquiries 240–241, 245
- legislative referendum 239–40, 243–4
- national budget 241
- overrule National Council's veto 238, 246
- reconsideration procedure 237, 238, 246
- reform of Rules of Procedure 245
- request opinion from National Council 234–6
- second reading 235, 237
- third reading 239
- National Council 230, 231–2, 246, 247, 269, 271
- amending laws 236–7
- civil society and 242
- committees 235
- composition 232–4, 246
- constitutional reform of 243–5
- European Union 82, 90, 241
- evaluation of 242–3
- failed proposal to abolish 244
- inquiries 240–41, 245
- legislative referendum 239–40, 243–4
- legislative role 233–40, 246
- other competences 240–41
- reform of 243–5, 246–7
- suspensive veto 234, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 245, 246
- time limits 238, 239, 241
- quality of legislation 244, 247
- transition to bicameral system 230–31, 246–7
- social cohesion 280
- social media 270
- South Africa 184
- Spain 30, 31, 111, 148, 161, 238, 246
- Constitutional amendment 281
- EU: Early Warning System and Political Dialogue 82, 84, 92, 93
- St Kitts & Nevis 112
- stability in policy and government 28–9, 30, 63, 120, 156
- Strathclyde Review (2015) 221
- Sweden xv, 5, 32, 34, 107, 187
- Switzerland 217
- symmetric bicameralism 32
- Italy 38, 45, 46, 48, 65–6, 68–9, 71, 75
- terminology 18–19, 60–61, 120, 161
- redundancy 26
- territorial aspects of federalism in Bosnia and Herzegovina 263–4
- territorial model 3, 21, 25–6, 30
- territorial sub-entities in multi-tiered systems 107–8
- giving voice to 110–14

- territorializing national legislatures in
 - Italy and UK 37–57
 - English Votes for English Laws (EVEL) 37–8, 50–54, 56–7, 113–14
 - boundaries of devolution 43
 - certification 41, 42, 43
 - criticism 42–4
 - English Question 38–41
 - legislative consent motions 42
 - Speaker 41, 42, 43
 - Standing Orders 40–43
 - Italy: failed reform 37, 38, 50–52, 54–7
 - functions of Senate 48–9
 - legislative process 48–9
 - national interest clause 49
 - symmetric bicameralism 45, 46, 48
 - territorial institutions 46–8, 51, 55–6
 - vertical division of powers 45–6
 - territorial representation in unitary states 50–57
- totalitarianism 65, 124
- tricameral model 4, 183–4
- trustee model of representation 53–4
- Turkey x, 184
- unitary states 4, 25, 26
 - false unitary/federal dichotomy 108–10
 - territorial representation in *see* territorializing national legislatures in Italy and UK
- United Kingdom 30
 - Burke 54
 - devolution and legislative supremacy 40
 - EU: Early Warning System and Political Dialogue 82, 87
 - history of bicameralism 19, 20–21
 - House of Commons 20–21, 51–2, 96–7, 99, 100, 103, 105–6
 - EU: Early Warning System and Political Dialogue 82, 87
 - territorializing national legislatures in Italy and UK *see separate entry*
 - House of Lords xv, xvii, xix, 20–21, 31, 32, 186, 189
 - Brexit and *see separate entry*
 - composition 97–8
 - English Votes for English Laws 42, 51–2
 - EU: Early Warning System and Political Dialogue 82, 87
 - evaluation of outcomes 104–5
 - executive scrutiny 100–104
 - House of Lords Act 1999 39, 98
 - legislator 97–100
 - legitimacy xii–xiv, xvii, 98
 - Liberal Democrats and cross-benchers 98
 - Life Peerage Act 1958 97
 - Parliament Act 1911 97
 - Parliament Act 1949 97
 - select committees 100–106
 - Strathclyde Review (2015) 221
 - Salisbury Convention 98–9
 - Sewel Convention 40
 - Supreme Court 43
 - United States xvi, 25, 217
 - history of bicameralism 21, 24, 28, 29, 63, 68
 - impeachment 133
 - judicial review 112
 - political safeguards of federalism 112
 - States: equal share of representation in Senate 62, 268
 - university senators 165, 169, 171, 173, 175, 177, 178, 223

- Venezuela 112, 113, 147, 184
 Venice Commission 112–13, 249, 262
 veto power xix, 23, 109, 111, 120, 191, 278–9, 280, 281
 Belgium 113
 Bosnia and Herzegovina 112–13, 251, 252, 255–8, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266
 Bulgaria 120, 139–40
 Germany 51
 India 6
 Italy xvi, 49
 cross-vetoes 67
 Japan xiv
 Poland 215, 216, 217, 218, 221
 reform trap 282, 283
 Slovenia 234, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 245, 246
 United Kingdom 100
 English Votes for English Laws (EVEL) 40–41, 42, 43–4, 52–3
 vocational or functional upper chamber 26
 Ireland 26, 31–2, 166–7, 169, 177, 178, 223
 Morocco 26
 Poland 223
 Slovenia 31–2, 232, 242, 243
 Wales 52, 102, 104–5
 see also territorializing national legislatures in Italy and UK
 West Lothian Question *see* territorializing national legislatures in Italy and UK
 zero-sum politics 263